

Questions for Gulf Council Reef Fish Committee
per
Framework Action to Address Red Snapper Uncaught Harvest

1. Who will this measure apply to?
 - a. Recreational sector only
 - b. Recreational and commercial sectors
2. For the recreational sector, will this measure apply to the private recreational and for-hire components of the recreational sectors individually, or in a combined manner?
3. Should there be a threshold that triggers an underage carryover, e.g., at least x% uncaught harvest, or x number of pounds uncaught harvest, or x number of additional fishing days?
 - a. Should each group (sector or component) have a different threshold for determining eligibility for a credit, or should there be a combined (total ACL) uncaught harvest threshold?
 - b. If combined, should any credit be divided equally, proportionally, based on allocation, etc?
4. Should the credit be 100% of the uncaught harvest or some smaller amount (e.g., 75% or 50% of the uncaught harvest)?
5. How should any credit be applied?
 - a. As a supplemental spring season (e.g., after January 1)?
 - b. As an add-on to the ACL/ACT for the regular June 1 opening?
6. Should credits be applied during payback years? In king mackerel management, the intent is for any credit to be added to the ACL *after* a payback has been applied.
7. At what point should the SSC be asked to consider a temporary increase in ABC? When preliminary landings are available? Final landings? Some other time period?
8. For years when there is a new stock assessment, should an uncaught harvest credit be applied to the following year after new projections are produced (projections would assume that the ACL was caught in the current year), or incorporated into the new yield projections (which would spread the effect across multiple years)?