

**NOAA** FISHERIES

Office of Science and Technology

Marine Recreational Information Program

### NOAA Fisheries' Fishing Effort Survey Pilot Study and Next Steps

October 4, 2023

Rob Andrews NOAA Fisheries Office of Science and Technology Recreational Fisheries Statistics Branch

## **Evaluation of Measurement Error**

- <u>Report</u> describes studies that evaluated the Fishing Effort Survey for possible measurement errors and resulting bias:
  - Questionnaire development
  - Evaluation of one-month waves
  - Question order effects



- Tested several questionnaire versions that differed in number of reference periods
- Single 2-month period (unbounded) versus multiple discrete periods (bounded)
- Conducted "cognitive interviews"

- In the past 2 months, between <u>March 1</u> and <u>April 30, 2013</u>, on how many days did this household member go recreational saltwater fishing in <u>North Carolina</u> from:
  - The shore include docks, bridges, causeways, beaches, banks or any other shore-based structure or area.



b. A boat – include a private or rental boat that returned to shore in <u>North</u> <u>Carolina</u>. Do not include charter boats - rental or commercial boats that include a captain or crew who help locate and catch fish.



- 11. For each time period below, on how many days did this person go recreational saltwater fishing in <u>Maryland</u> from:
  - a. The shore include docks, bridges, causeways, beaches, banks or any other shore-based structure or area. Enter "0" if none.



b. A boat – include a private or rental boat that returned to shore in <u>Maryland</u>. Do not include charter boats - rental or commercial boats that include a captain or crew who help locate and catch fish. Enter "0" if none.



 Bounded design resulted in lower prevalence estimates in 9 of 10 comparisons

|                  | Q1 (unbounded) | Q2 (bounded) | Relative   |                 |  |
|------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|--|
| Measure          | % (SE)         | % (SE)       | difference | <i>p</i> -value |  |
| Shore prevalence |                |              |            |                 |  |
| Overall          | 9.42 (0.62)    | 9.27 (0.62)  | 1.59       | 0.8659          |  |
| FL               | 16.20 (1.31)   | 19.34 (1.43) | -19.40     | 0.105           |  |
| MA               | 6.61 (1.15)    | 3.40 (0.81)  | 48.65      | 0.022           |  |
| NY               | 4.76 (0.87)    | 3.13 (0.77)  | 34.20      | 0.1602          |  |
| NC               | 7.37 (1.35)    | 5.86 (1.19)  | 20.50      | 0.402           |  |
| Boat prevalence  |                |              |            |                 |  |
| Overall          | 7.54 (0.55)    | 4.92 (0.43)  | 34.75      | 0.0002          |  |
| FL               | 13.39 (1.18)   | 11.62 (1.12) | 13.27      | 0.2731          |  |
| MA               | 4.17 (0.81)    | 1.38 (0.51)  | 67.00      | 0.0035          |  |
| NY               | 4.89 (0.91)    | 0.64 (0.37)  | 86.94      | < 0.0001        |  |
| NC               | 3.71 (0.92)    | 2.77 (0.60)  | 25.39      | 0.3911          |  |

- Bounded design implemented for several successive waves
- Provided independent estimates for a fixed reference period
- Varied in recall length and question order
- Collective effect of recall length and question order on estimates

- 11. For each time period below, on how many days did this person go recreational saltwater fishing in <u>Maryland</u> from:
  - a. The shore include docks, bridges, causeways, beaches, banks or any other shore-based structure or area. Enter "0" if none.



| Year  | 2012 |       |       |       |                          |       | 2013              |                 |       |        |        |      | 2014    |       |       |       |       |      |      |      |    |      |   |   |   |   |
|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|--------|--------|------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|----|------|---|---|---|---|
| Month | 7    | 8     | 9     | 10    | 11                       | 12    | 1                 | 2               | 3     | 4      | 5      | 6    | 7       | 8     | 9     | 10    | 11    | 12   | 1    | 2    | 3  | 4    | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|       | Wa   | ave 4 | - Wav | e 5   | 5 Wave 6 - Wave 1 Wave 2 |       |                   | Wave 3          |       |        |        |      |         |       |       |       |       |      |      |      |    |      |   |   |   |   |
| es    |      |       | Wa    | ave 5 | - Wav                    | e 6   | Wa                | ave 1           | - Wav | 'e 2   | Wav    | re 3 | Wa      | ve 4  |       |       |       |      |      |      |    |      |   |   |   |   |
| VaV   |      |       |       |       | Wa                       | ave 6 | - Wave 1 Wave 2 - |                 |       | - Wave | 23     | Wa   | ve 4    | Wa    | ve 5  |       |       |      |      |      |    |      |   |   |   |   |
| ~     |      |       |       |       |                          |       | Wa                | Wave 1 - Wave 2 |       |        | Wa     | ve 3 | Wav     | e 4   | Wa    | ve 5  | Wa    | ve 6 |      |      |    |      |   |   |   |   |
| I've  |      |       |       |       |                          |       |                   |                 | W     | ave 2  | - Wave | 23   | Wa      | ave 4 | - Wav | e 5   | Wa    | ve 6 | Wa   | ve 1 |    |      |   |   |   |   |
| Su    |      |       |       |       |                          |       |                   |                 |       |        | Wa     | ve 3 | Wav     | e 4   | Wa    | ave 5 | - Wav | 'e 6 | Wa   | ve 1 | Wa | ve 2 |   |   |   |   |
|       |      |       |       |       |                          |       |                   | Wa              | ave 4 | -Wav   | e 5    | Wa   | ave 6 · | - Wav | 'e 1  | Wa    | ve 2  | Way  | ve 3 |      |    |      |   |   |   |   |



|         |         |              | Shor         | e          | Boat    |              |              |            |         |
|---------|---------|--------------|--------------|------------|---------|--------------|--------------|------------|---------|
|         |         | 2-mo recall  | 4-mo recall  | Relative   |         | 2-mo recall  | 4-mo recall  | Relative   |         |
| State   | Wave    | % (SE)       | % (SE)       | difference | p-value | % (SE)       | % (SE)       | difference | p-value |
| FL      | May/Jun | 21.63 (1.11) | 18.73 (1.32) | 13.42      | 0.0929  | 11.6 (0.81)  | 11.04 (0.99) | 4.84       | 0.6605  |
| FL      | Jul/Aug | 20.47 (1.38) | 21.86 (1.62) | -6.75      | 0.5161  | 10.98 (0.99) | 14.50 (1.34) | -32.04     | 0.0345  |
| FL      | Sep/Oct | 19.21 (1.55) | 16.51 (1.33) | 14.03      | 0.1874  | 12.04 (1.25) | 9.71 (1.00)  | 19.40      | 0.1442  |
| MA      | May/Jun | 10.83 (0.91) | 9.94 (1.19)  | 8.23       | 0.5513  | 6.03 (0.65)  | 5.80 (0.94)  | 3.83       | 0.8402  |
| MA      | Jul/Aug | 15.86 (1.50) | 15.58 (1.62) | 1.71       | 0.9024  | 9.39 (1.13)  | 11.07 (1.30) | -17.85     | 0.3295  |
| MA      | Sep/Oct | 7.33 (1.17)  | 8.72 (1.23)  | -19.05     | 0.4101  | 5.15 (0.89)  | 5.37 (1.03)  | -4.24      | 0.8726  |
| NY      | May/Jun | 8.86 (0.77)  | 7.35 (1.11)  | 17.10      | 0.2617  | 5.81 (0.64)  | 4.64 (0.88)  | 20.22      | 0.2802  |
| NY      | Jul/Aug | 12.93 (1.46) | 12.62 (1.51) | 2.41       | 0.8823  | 8.25 (1.18)  | 10.87 (1.47) | -31.81     | 0.1639  |
| NY      | Sep/Oct | 7.06 (1.13)  | 6.21 (0.89)  | 12.10      | 0.5528  | 6.70 (1.16)  | 4.93 (0.82)  | 26.43      | 0.2140  |
| NC      | May/Jun | 11.5 (0.91)  | 11.32 (1.21) | 1.54       | 0.9075  | 5.87 (0.61)  | 4.89 (0.71)  | 16.80      | 0.2926  |
| NC      | Jul/Aug | 13.38 (1.28) | 12.43 (1.21) | 7.07       | 0.5907  | 5.93 (0.77)  | 7.82 (1.04)  | -31.80     | 0.1436  |
| NC      | Sep/Oct | 10.71 (1.19) | 11.19 (1.45) | -4.50      | 0.7973  | 6.13 (0.93)  | 5.18 (0.90)  | 15.49      | 0.4627  |
| NC      | Nov/Dec | 6.42 (1.09)  | 4.57 (1.12)  | 28.84      | 0.2354  | 2.69 (0.61)  | 2.86 (0.92)  | -6.47      | 0.8750  |
| NC      | Jan/Feb | 3.06 (1.08)  | 3.59 (1.30)  | -17.28     | 0.7549  | 1.14 (0.26)  | 0.84 (0.18)  | 26.95      | 0.3279  |
| NC      | Mar/Apr | 8.77 (1.88)  | 5.30 (0.83)  | 39.59      | 0.0913  | 3.20 (1.09)  | 2.36 (0.54)  | 26.30      | 0.4872  |
| Overall |         | 13.1 (0.34)  | 12.04 (0.36) | 8.09       | 0.0338  | 7.53 (0.25)  | 7.57 (0.29)  | -0.53      | 0.9054  |

• Estimates are generally larger when the recall period is shorter and the reference period is presented first in the question sequence



## **Questionnaire Development Key Points**

- "Bounding" of the desired reference period against other time periods resulted in lower estimates than an unbounded design
- Estimates were higher when the length of the recall period was shorter and when the reference period was presented first in the question sequence
  - Forgetting trips (omission error) or reporting trips at the first opportunity (telescoping error)
  - Analysis can't disentangle effects
- Cognitive interviews suggest that anglers want to be identified as such and are eager to report fishing activity
- Questionnaire testing and cognitive interviews informed the current design of FES questionnaire, which includes a 2-month recall period followed by a 12-month bounding period



|  | FES Questionnaire  | Treatment 1 Questionnaire  | Treatment 2 Questionnaire   |
|--|--|--|---|
|  | (Q's 15 and 16)  | (Q's 15 and 16)  | (Q's 15 and 16)   |
| Tested   | Please think only about recreational saltwater   | Please think only about recreational <u>saltwater</u>  | Please think only about recreational <u>saltwater</u>   |
|  | fishing in <merged state="">.</merged>   | fishing in <u><merged state="">.</merged></u>  | fishing in <u><merged state="">.</merged></u>   |
| questionnaires<br>with shorter<br>reference periods<br>Questionnaires<br>differed in<br>presentation of<br>reference periods<br>Additional<br>evaluation of<br>question order<br>and recall period<br>length | <ul> <li>How many days did you go recreational saltwater fishing from the shore in <merged state="">? The shore includes docks, bridges, causeways, beaches, banks, or any other shore-based place or area.</merged></li> <li>No shore recreational saltwater fishing in last 12 months → Go to question 16</li> <li>Number of days in July and August of 2015</li> <li>Total number of days in last 12 months</li> <li>How many days did you go recreational saltwater fishing from a private or rental boat that returned to shore in <merged state="">? Do not include charter boats or commercial boats that have a captain or crew who help locate and catch fish.</merged></li> <li>No private boat recreational saltwater fishing in last 12 months → Go to Household Member 2</li> <li>Number of days in July and August of 2015</li> <li>Total number of days in July and August of 2015</li> <li>If total number of days in July and August of 2015</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>How many days did you go recreational saltwater fishing from the SHORE in <u>Amerged State&gt;?</u> The shore includes docks, bridges, causeways, beaches, banks, or any other shore-based place or area. Do not include freshwater fishing. <ul> <li>Did not recreational saltwater fish from shore in last 12 months → Go to question 16</li> <li>Number of days shore fishing in Jun. of 2015</li> <li>Number of days shore fishing in Jul. of 2015</li> <li>Total number of days shore fishing in last 12 months, including Jun. and Jul. </li> <li>How many days did you go recreational saltwater fishing from a private or rental BOAT that returned to shore in <u>Amerged State&gt;?</u> Do not include freshwater trips or trips where a paid captain or crew helped locate and catch fish. <ul> <li>Did not recreational saltwater fish from private boat in last 12 months.</li> <li>Number of days boat fishing in Jul. of 2015</li> <li>Number of days boat fishing in Jul. of 2015</li> <li>Cont include freshwater trips or trips where a paid captain or crew helped locate and catch fish.</li> <li>Did not recreational saltwater fish from private boat in last 12 months.</li> <li>Number of days boat fishing in Jul. of 2015</li> <li>Number of days boat fishing in Jul. of 2015</li> <li>Total number of days boat fishing in Jul. of 2015</li> <li>Total number of days boat fishing in Jul. of 2015</li> <li>Total number of days boat fishing in Jul. of 2015</li> <li>Total number of days boat fishing in Jul. of 2015</li> <li>Total number of days boat fishing in Jul. of 2015</li> <li>Total number of days boat fishing in Jul. of 2015</li> <li>Total number of days boat fishing in Jul. of 2015</li> <li>Total number of days boat fishing in Jul.</li> </ul></li></ul></li></ul> | <ul> <li>How many days did you go recreational saltwater fishing from the SHORE in &lt;a href="https://www.shore.org/action/light/shore-based-place-org/action/light/shore-based-place-based&lt;/td&gt;</li></ul> |
|  |  | continue to Household Member 2.  |   |



- Study implemented for several successive months
- Provided independent estimates for a fixed month
- Reference periods presented in chronological order
- Allowed us to evaluate effects of recall length and question order on estimates



The shore includes docks, bridges, causeways, beaches, banks, or any other shore-based place or area. Do not include freshwater fishing.

Did not recreational saltwater fish from shore in last 12 months → Go to question 16



Number of days shore fishing in Jul. of 2015



umber of days shore fishing in Aug. of 2013



Total number of days shore fishing in last 12 months, including Jul. and Aug.





- Longer recall period (presented first) resulted in larger estimates
- Question order is primary effect rather than the length of recall period
- Suggests telescoping is predominant form of error

|                  | Month 1 %    | Month 2     | Relative   |         |
|------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|---------|
| Measure          | (SE)         | % (SE)      | Difference | p-value |
| Shore prevalence |              |             |            |         |
| Overall          | 9.26 (0.69)  | 5.97 (0.53) | 35.53      | 0.0002  |
| July             | 7.82 (1.23)  | 7.25 (1.29) | 7.30       | 0.7508  |
| August           | 12.05 (1.77) | 6.16 (1.08) | 49.68      | 0.0041  |
| September        | 10.66 (1.86) | 7.0 (1.30)  | 34.33      | 0.1121  |
| October          | 7.01 (1.36)  | 4.97 (1.10) | 29.15      | 0.2494  |
| November         | 8.75 (1.44)  | 4.6 (1.11)  | 47.48      | 0.0246  |
| Boat prevalence  |              |             |            |         |
| Overall          | 6.35 (0.54)  | 3.85 (0.40) | 39.37      | 0.0003  |
| July             | 6.46 (1.10)  | 3.44 (0.78) | 46.73      | 0.0275  |
| August           | 7.62 (1.30)  | 4.43 (0.88) | 41.92      | 0.0499  |
| September        | 6.06 (1.28)  | 4.2 (0.96)  | 30.69      | 0.2521  |
| October          | 4.67 (1.13)  | 3.51 (0.90) | 24.89      | 0.4242  |
| November         | 6.95 (1.24)  | 3.66 (0.95) | 47.39      | 0.0386  |

## **One-Month Waves Key Points**

- Bounding reduces estimates and is likely more effective at reducing telescoping error when bounding questions precede reference period
- 2-month FES reference period may mitigate some telescoping error relative to shorter reference periods
- Estimates were higher when the recall period was longer and when the reference period was presented first in the question order
  - Suggests that question order has a greater effect than recall length
  - Telescoping error likely to be the predominant source of measurement error rather than omission error



## **Question Order Effects**



#### EXP2: PR:SH/2:12



#### EXP3: PR:SH/12:2





## **Question Order Effects**

|                        | SH:PR/2:12 (FES) | SH:PR/12:2  | PR:SH/2:12  | PR:SH/12:2  |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                        | % (SE)           | % (SE)      | % (SE)      | % (SE)      |
| Shore Prevalence       | 6.01 (0.20)      | 4.61 (0.61) | 4.82 (0.28) | 3.95 (0.27) |
| <b>Boat Prevalence</b> | 4.61 (0.18)      | 3.37 (0.23) | 5.19 (0.31) | 3.16 (0.21) |

- Estimates were highest for the mode that was presented first and the 2-month question preceded the 12-month question
- Within the 2-month/12-month order, estimates were significantly lower when the mode was presented second
- Mode order was not significant when 12-month question preceded 2-month question



## **Question Order Change Key Points**

- The order of the 2-month/12-month questions has a stronger effect than the mode order
- Presenting the 12-month trip question prior to the 2-month trip question resulted in lower estimates
- Asking the 12-month question before the 2-month question appears to reduce telescoping error, resulting in more accurate estimates than the current FES design.



## **Overall Key Points**

- Telescoping error is likely the predominant form of measurement error in the FES
- "Bounding" is likely to reduce telescoping error
- Bounding is most effective when the bounding period precedes the reference period
- Implementing a more effective questionnaire design will likely result in lower estimates



## Why do we think pilot study estimates are more accurate?

- Anglers want to report fishing activity
- Approach is consistent with studies examining measurement error for other data collection modes
- Fewer illogical responses



# Why didn't we implement the new questionnaire in the first place?

- FES questionnaire is based upon a standard practice of asking easier questions first and then proceeding to more difficult questions
- FES questionnaire was informed by cognitive interviews and tested through a series of pilot studies
- The design was informed by survey methodologists and peer reviewed by NASEM and ASA



## **Follow-up Study & Next Steps**

- Revised design administered concurrently with current FES over full course of 2024 (larger sample size over longer duration).
- New study design is informed by results of two previous pilot studies (one month waves, question order) and additional cognitive interviewing.
- Revised design includes **both questionnaire changes and increasing the administration of the survey** from every two months to monthly.
  - Study will determine **combined effects**, which allows for a more efficient transition/calibration process.
  - Monthly sampling is a priority of our partners and will produce more frequent estimates and a shorter respondent recall period that may also improve reporting error.



## Follow-up Study & Next Steps

- Existing FES calibration will be updated to account for new design changes
- Calibration update work has started and will continue as needed into 2024 and 2025 pending results from the 2024 follow-up study
- Full implementation of an improved FES design would occur no earlier than <u>2026</u> and would be dependent on
  - Successful completion of the follow-up study and calibration updates
  - Favorable technical peer review and updated FES Transition Plan developed in coordination with partners on the MRIP Transition Team
  - Fully calibrated historic time series of catch and effort estimates

## **Questions?**





**NOAA** FISHERIES

Office of Science and Technology

Marine Recreational Information Program

### NOAA Fisheries' Fishing Effort Survey Pilot Study and Next Steps

October 4, 2023

Rob Andrews NOAA Fisheries Office of Science and Technology Recreational Fisheries Statistics Branch