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## Purpose and Need

- The Great Red Snapper Count (GRSC) estimate of absolute abundance has been updated since the last time catch advice was considered (March 2021).
- Council requested that catch advice be produced and considered in light of the updated estimates of absolute abundance.
- GRSC catch advice was previously used to set the OFL but not adopted for setting the ABC which remains based on projections derived during SEDAR 52.


## GRSC catch advice

General approach

- Turn GRSC estimate of age 2+ into numbers-at-age by region (east/west).
- Update fishing mortality rate estimates through projections to account for unique GRSC recruitment and biomass distribution properties.
- Use numbers-at-age, F-at-age, and mean landed weight-at-age to estimate catch


## GRSC catch advice

Two approaches used to quantify uncertainty.

- Deterministic projections at 75\%FSPR26\%
- Monte Carlo simulation incorporating uncertainty for
- Number of 2+
- Recruitment
- Fishing mortality rates
- Initial depletion


## Methods (age 2+ estimate)

- Two candidate estimates of absolute abundance were considered for this analysis
- Re-analysis of the GRSC estimate with the random forest sampling design in Florida accounted for in the estimation procedure.
- Results no longer presented; numbers shown for reference
- Florida Post-stratification of the above data into shallow water (10-25 meter) and mid water (25-40 meter) depth strata. LGL Louisiana abundance estimate


## Methods (age 2+ estimate)

| State/Region | Habitat Type | Post-strat \& LGL | CV(\%) | GRSC | CV(\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TX | Natural | $7,037,443$ | 36 | $7,037,443$ | 36 |
|  | Artificial | 417,761 | 21 | 417,761 | 21 |
|  | Uncharacterized Bottom | $14,569,830$ | 46 | $14,569,830$ | 46 |
|  | Total | $22,025,035$ | 32 | $22,025,035$ | 32 |
|  | Natural | 118,647 | 30 | $3,852,652$ | 43 |
| LA | Platform | 727,210 | 14 | NA | NA |
|  | Artificial | 86,954 | 13 | $3,849,325$ | 15 |
|  | Uncharacterized Bottom | $7,444,780$ | 16 | $9,729,387$ | 59 |
|  | Total | $8,377,591$ | 14 | $17,431,364$ | 34 |
|  | Natural | $3,751,988$ | 20 | $3,751,988$ | 20 |
| AL/MS | Artificial | $1,509,625$ | 11 | $1,509,625$ | 11 |
|  | Uncharacterized Bottom | $3,199,472$ | 51 | $3,199,472$ | 51 |
|  | Total | $8,461,085$ | 21 | $8,461,085$ | 21 |
| FL | Natural \& Uncharacterized Bottom | $46,838,220$ | 22 | $48,124,414$ | 22 |
|  | Artificial | 127,560 | 17 | 127,560 | 17 |
| ALL | Total | $46,965,780$ |  | $48,251,974$ | 22 |
| Gulf of Mexico | Pipeline | 507,661 | 43 | 507,661 | 43 |

## Methods (age 2+ estimate)

- Florida natural and UCB split into components assuming $37.13 \%$ from natural reef and the rest from UCB.
- Percentage derived from random forest model
- Pipeline abundance separated into ecoregions using proportions from original analysis
- 18\% TX, 65\% LA, 16\% MS/AL, 1\% FL


## Methods (age 2+ estimate)

- 3 scenarios projected

All Structure All Structure + 10\% All Structure + 15\%

|  | East | $23,344,070$ | $26,689,599$ | $28,362,364$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRSC estimate | West | $15,578,540$ | $18,008,461$ | $19,223,422$ |
|  | Total | $38,922,610$ | $44,698,061$ | $47,585,786$ |
| FL Post-strat. \& LGL | East | $22,866,506$ | $26,131,172$ | $27,763,505$ |
|  | West | $8,809,374$ | $11,010,835$ | $12,111,565$ |
|  | Total | $31,675,880$ | $37,142,007$ | $39,875,070$ |

- (All Structure) - numbers from reefs (natural and artificial) and pipelines
- (All Structure + ) - All Structure plus $10 \%$ or $15 \%$ of uncharacterized bottom


## Methods (age 2+ estimate; Monte Carlo)

Total number East Gulf AS10


Total number West Gulf AS10


Example depicted from projection using all structure plus 10\% UCB

## Methods (Numbers-at-Age)

GRSC subsets of $2+$ fish needed to be separated by age.

- 2016 composition data from SEDAR 52 used to construct age 2+ age frequency distributions (AFDs) by area.
- 2016 last year fully informed by data



## Methods (Numbers-at-Age; Monte Carlo)

- Frequency of age 2 fish randomly selected assuming normal distribution with mean and SD determined by last 10 years of available data (2007-2016)
- Age 3 and older fish follow the distribution from 2016 rescaled to sum to one

East Gulf Recruitment AS10


Age 2 composition frequency

West Gulf Recruitment AS10


Example depicted from projection using All structure plus 10\% UCB

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { East }- \text { mean }=0.41, S D=0.10 \\
& \text { West }- \text { mean }=0.38, S D=0.09
\end{aligned}
$$

## Methods (Initial depletion and SSBO)

- Needed a GRSC adjusted value of virgin biomass to carryout SPR based projections to re-estimate fishing mortality rates.
- $\operatorname{SSB} 0=$ SSB2019/SPR2019
- SPR 2019 taken from SEDAR 52 (0.207, sd = 0.013)
- $\operatorname{SSB2019}=\sum_{a}$ fec $_{a}$ mat $_{a} N_{a}$; for ages 0-20+
- fec = fecundity, mat = maturity, $N=$ GRSC numbers


## Methods (Initial depletion and SSBO)

Initial Depletion AS10


[^0]
## Methods (Fishing Mortality)

- 3 year average (2014-2016) fleet-specific F's used to set relative relationship among the fleet F 's.
- In Monte Carlo simulation fleet-specific F's were randomly generated assuming a mean equal to the three year average and $\mathrm{CV}=0.1$
- Projections were used to adjust the magnitude of the directed fleet F's to achieve SPR target in equilibrium.
- Catch was calculated using Baranov's catch equation with the estimates of F-at-age, M-at-age, GRSC numbers-atage, and mean landed weight-at-age


## Results (Catch Advice)

- Catch produced by deterministic projections of $F_{\text {SPR26\% }}$ and:
- Projections of $75 \% \mathrm{~F}_{\text {SPR26\% }}$ for the directed fleets.
- Incorporate uncertainty into the projections through Monte Carlo simulation and apply $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ approach to landings distribution.


## Results (Catch, deterministic projections)

|  | Year | All Structure | All Structure $+\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | All Structure $+\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2022 | 16.61 | 19.33 | 20.69 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{\text {SPR26\% }}$ | 2023 | 16.97 | 19.72 | 21.10 |
|  | 2024 | 17.31 | 20.09 | 21.49 |
| 2025 | 17.59 | 20.40 | 21.80 |  |
|  | 2026 | 17.77 | 20.60 | 22.02 |
|  | 3 yr. avg. ('22-'24) | 16.97 | 19.72 | 21.09 |
|  | 5 yr. avg. ('22-'26) | 17.25 | 20.03 | 21.42 |


|  | Year | All Structure | All Structure $\mathbf{+ 1 0 \%}$ | All Structure $\mathbf{+ 1 5 \%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2022 | 14.58 | 16.98 | 18.18 |
| $75 \%$ F SPR26\% | 2023 | 15.24 | 17.71 | 18.94 |
|  | 2024 | 15.79 | 18.33 | 19.60 |
|  | 2025 | 16.23 | 18.82 | 20.12 |
|  | 2026 | 16.52 | 19.15 | 20.47 |
|  | 3 yr. avg. ('22-'24) | 15.67 | 18.20 | 19.46 |
|  | 5 yr. avg. ('22-'26) | 15.67 | 18.20 | 19.46 |

- Catches are in millions of pounds ww and are landings and dead discards (i.e., no dead B2's)


## Results (Monte Carlo simulation)

Avg. Landings (2022-2026) AS10


| Year | All Structure |  |  |  | All Structure + 10\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | SD | P*0.4 | $\mathrm{P} * 0.3$ | Mean | SD | P*0.4 | $\mathrm{P} * 0.3$ |
| 2022 | 16.64 | 3.67 | 15.71 | 14.71 | 19.40 | 4.04 | 18.37 | 17.28 |
| 2023 | 16.63 | 4.05 | 15.61 | 14.51 | 19.33 | 4.48 | 18.20 | 16.99 |
| 2024 | 16.71 | 4.34 | 15.61 | 14.43 | 19.39 | 4.82 | 18.17 | 16.86 |
| 2025 | 16.78 | 4.55 | 15.63 | 14.40 | 19.46 | 5.06 | 18.18 | 16.81 |
| 2026 | 16.83 | 4.68 | 15.64 | 14.37 | 19.50 | 5.20 | 18.19 | 16.78 |
| 3 yr. avg. ('22-'24) | 16.66 | 4.01 | 15.64 | 14.56 | 19.37 | 4.43 | 18.25 | 17.05 |
| 5 yr . avg. ('22-'26) | 16.72 | 4.24 | 15.64 | 14.49 | 19.42 | 4.70 | 18.23 | 16.95 |
|  | All Structure + 15\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Mean | SD | P*0.4 | $\mathrm{P} * 0.3$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 20.60 | 4.32 | 19.51 | 18.34 |  |  |  |  |
| 2023 | 20.52 | 4.76 | 19.31 | 18.02 |  |  |  |  |
| 2024 | 20.56 | 5.11 | 19.27 | 17.88 |  |  |  |  |
| 2025 | 20.62 | 5.36 | 19.27 | 17.81 |  |  |  |  |
| 2026 | 20.66 | 5.52 | 19.27 | 17.77 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 yr. avg. ('22-'24) | 20.56 | 4.72 | 19.37 | 18.09 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 yr. avg. ('22-'26) | 20.59 | 4.99 | 19.33 | 17.97 |  |  |  |  |

- Catches are in millions of pounds ww and are landings and dead discards (i.e., no dead B2's)


## Ensemble advice across UCB levels

- Estimate a grand mean and variance for the catch advice from three levels of UCB ( $0 \%, 10 \%$, \& 15\%)
- Provides a broader uncertainty in the catch advice by incorporating the uncertainty in \%UCB.

Avg. Landings (2022-2026) AS10


## Ensemble advice across UCB levels

|  | Ensemble UCB |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Mean | SD | $\mathbf{P * 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{P * 0 . 3}$ |
| 2022 | 18.88 | 4.35 | 17.78 | 16.60 |
| 2023 | 18.83 | 4.73 | 17.63 | 16.35 |
| 2024 | 18.89 | 5.03 | 17.61 | 16.25 |
| 2025 | 18.96 | 5.25 | 17.62 | 16.20 |
| 2026 | 19.00 | 5.39 | 17.63 | 16.17 |
| 3 yr. avg. ('22-'24) | 18.87 | 4.71 | 17.67 | 16.40 |
| 5 yr. avg. ('22-'26) | 18.91 | 4.96 | 17.65 | 16.31 |

## Final Thoughts

- Projections capable of running additional scenarios on request
- \% F
- $P^{*}$
- Alternate 2+ scenarios (e.g., 20\%UCB etc.)
- While we attempted to incorporate uncertainty into the catch advice the full scientific uncertainty was not captured in this analysis.


## Thank You


(Y) NOAA FISHERILES

## Results (Catch, deterministic projections)

$F_{\text {SPR26\% }}$

| Year | All Structure | All Structure $\mathbf{+ 1 0 \%}$ | All Structure $\mathbf{+} \mathbf{1 5 \%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | 16.61 | 19.33 | 20.69 |
| 2023 | 16.97 | 19.72 | 21.10 |
| 2024 | 17.31 | 20.09 | 21.49 |
| 2025 | 17.59 | 20.40 | 21.80 |
| 2026 | 17.77 | 20.60 | 22.02 |
| 3 yr. avg. ('22-'24) | 16.97 | 19.72 | 21.09 |
| 5 yr. avg. ('22-'26) | 17.25 | 20.03 | 21.42 |
|  | $75 \%$ F $_{\text {SPR26\% }}$ |  |  |
| Year | All Structure | All Structure $+\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | All Structure + 15\% |
| 2022 | 14.58 | 16.98 | 18.18 |
| 2023 | 15.24 | 17.71 | 18.94 |
| 2024 | 15.79 | 18.33 | 19.60 |
| 2025 | 16.23 | 18.82 | 20.12 |
| 2026 | 16.52 | 19.15 | 20.47 |
| 3 yr. avg. ('22-'24) | 15.67 | 18.20 | 19.46 |
| 5 yr. avg. ('22-'26) | 15.67 | 18.20 | 19.46 |


| Year | All Structure |  |  |  | All Structure + 10\% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | SD | $\mathrm{P} * 0.4$ | $\mathrm{P} * 0.3$ | Mean | SD | P*0.4 | P*0.3 |
| 2022 | 16.64 | 3.67 | 15.71 | 14.71 | 19.40 | 4.04 | 18.37 | 17.28 |
| 2023 | 16.63 | 4.05 | 15.61 | 14.51 | 19.33 | 4.48 | 18.20 | 16.99 |
| 2024 | 16.71 | 4.34 | 15.61 | 14.43 | 19.39 | 4.82 | 18.17 | 16.86 |
| 2025 | 16.78 | 4.55 | 15.63 | 14.40 | 19.46 | 5.06 | 18.18 | 16.81 |
| 2026 | 16.83 | 4.68 | 15.64 | 14.37 | 19.50 | 5.20 | 18.19 | 16.78 |
| 3 yr . avg. ('22-'24) | 16.66 | 4.01 | 15.64 | 14.56 | 19.37 | 4.43 | 18.25 | 17.05 |
| $5 \mathrm{yr} . \mathrm{avg}$. ('22-'26) | 16.72 | 4.24 | 15.64 | 14.49 | 19.42 | 4.70 | 18.23 | 16.95 |
|  |  | Il Stru | + 15\% |  |  | Ensem | e UCB |  |
| Year | Mean | SD | $\mathrm{P} * 0.4$ | P*0.3 | Mean | SD | P*0.4 | P*0.3 |
| 2022 | 20.60 | 4.32 | 19.51 | 18.34 | 18.88 | 4.35 | 17.78 | 16.60 |
| 2023 | 20.52 | 4.76 | 19.31 | 18.02 | 18.83 | 4.73 | 17.63 | 16.35 |
| 2024 | 20.56 | 5.11 | 19.27 | 17.88 | 18.89 | 5.03 | 17.61 | 16.25 |
| 2025 | 20.62 | 5.36 | 19.27 | 17.81 | 18.96 | 5.25 | 17.62 | 16.20 |
| 2026 | 20.66 | 5.52 | 19.27 | 17.77 | 19.00 | 5.39 | 17.63 | 16.17 |
| 3 yr . avg. ('22-'24) | 20.56 | 4.72 | 19.37 | 18.09 | 18.87 | 4.71 | 17.67 | 16.40 |
| 5 yr . avg. ('22-'26) | 20.59 | 4.99 | 19.33 | 17.97 | 18.91 | 4.96 | 17.65 | 16.31 |


[^0]:    Example depicted from projection using All structure plus 10\% UCB

