



SEDAR

SouthEast Data, Assessment, and Review

4055 Faber Place Drive #201
North Charleston SC 29405

Phone (843) 571-4366
Fax (843) 769-4520
www.sedarweb.org

West Florida Hogfish Benchmark Assessment Scope of Work

DRAFT: June 2019

Data Workshop Terms of Reference

1. Review stock structure and unit stock definitions and consider whether changes are required.
2. Review, discuss, and tabulate available life history information.
 - Evaluate age, growth, natural mortality, and reproductive characteristics.
 - Provide appropriate models to describe growth, maturation, and fecundity by age, sex, or length as applicable.
 - Evaluate the adequacy of available life-history information for conducting stock assessments and recommend life history information for use in population modeling.
3. Recommend discard mortality rates.
 - Review available research and published literature.
 - Consider research directed at these species and similar species from the SEUS and other areas.
 - Provide estimates of discard mortality rate by fishery, gear type, depth, and other feasible or appropriate strata.
 - Include thorough rationale for recommended discard mortality rates.
 - Provide justification for any recommendations that deviate from the range of discard mortality provided in the last benchmark (SEDAR 37) or update (SEDAR 37 Update).
4. Provide measures of population abundance that are appropriate for stock assessment through 2020 as practical.
 - Consider and discuss all available and relevant fishery-dependent and -independent data sources.
 - Document all programs evaluated; address program objectives, methods, coverage, sampling intensity, and other relevant characteristics.
 - Provide maps of fishery and survey coverage.
 - Develop fishery and survey CPUE indices by appropriate strata (e.g., age, size, area, and fishery) and include measures of precision and accuracy.
 - Discuss the degree to which available indices adequately represent fishery and population conditions.

Commented [RR1]: Updated

Commented [RR2]: New



- Recommend which data sources are considered adequate and reliable for use in assessment modeling for each index considered.
 - Rank the available indices with regard to their reliability and suitability for use in assessment modeling.
5. Provide commercial catch statistics, including both landings and discards in both pounds and number through 2020.
 - Evaluate and discuss the adequacy of available data for accurately characterizing harvest and discard by species and fishery sector or gear.
 - Provide length and age distributions for both landings and discards if feasible.
 - Provide maps of fishery effort and harvest.
 - Ensure characterization of any changes in CPUE resulting from changes to the regulatory environment.
 6. Provide recreational catch statistics, including both landings and discards in both pounds and number through 2020.
 - Evaluate and discuss the adequacy of available data for accurately characterizing harvest and discard by species and fishery sector or gear.
 - Provide length and age distributions for both landings and discards if feasible.
 - Provide maps of fishery effort and harvest.
 - Ensure characterization of any changes in CPUE resulting from changes to the regulatory environment.
 - Document changes in MRIP data, both pre- and post-recalibration, in terms of the magnitude of changes to catch and effort.
 7. Provide recommendations for future research in areas such as sampling, fishery monitoring, and stock assessment. Include specific guidance on sampling intensity (number of samples including age and length structures) and appropriate strata and coverage.
 8. Prepare the Data Workshop report providing complete documentation of workshop actions and decisions in accordance with project schedule deadlines (Section II of the SEDAR assessment report).

Commented [RR3]: New

Commented [RR4]: New

Commented [RR5]: New

Commented [RR6]: New

Commented [RR7]: New

Assessment Workshop Terms of Reference

1. Review any changes in data following the Data Workshop and any analyses suggested by the Data Workshop. Summarize data as used in each assessment model. Provide justification for any deviations from Data Workshop recommendations.
2. Develop population assessment models that are compatible with available data and document input data, model assumptions and configuration, and equations for each model considered.
3. Provide estimates of stock population parameters, if feasible.
 - Include fishing mortality, abundance, biomass, selectivity, stock-recruitment relationship, and other parameters as necessary to describe the population.

- Include appropriate and representative measures of precision for parameter estimates.
4. Characterize uncertainty in the assessment and estimated values.
 - Consider uncertainty in input data, modeling approach, and model configuration.
 - Provide a continuity model consistent with the prior assessment configuration (SEDAR 37 Update), updated to include the most recent observations. Alternative approaches to a strict continuity run that distinguish between model, population, and input data influences on findings, can be considered.
 - Evaluate and characterize differences in model performance as it relates to the characterization of uncertainty.
 - Consider other sources as appropriate for this assessment.
 - Provide appropriate measures of model performance, reliability, and 'goodness of fit'.
 - Provide measures of uncertainty for estimated parameters, and justification for fixed parameters.
 5. Provide estimates of yield and productivity.
 - Include yield-per-recruit, spawner-per-recruit, and stock-recruitment models.
 6. Provide estimates of population benchmarks or management criteria consistent with the available data, applicable FMPs, proposed FMPs and Amendments, other ongoing or proposed management programs, and National Standards.
 - Evaluate existing or proposed management criteria as specified in the management summary.
 - Recommend and justify proxy values when necessary.
 7. Provide declarations of stock status relative to management benchmarks, or alternative data poor approaches if necessary.
 8. Perform a probabilistic analysis of proposed reference points, stock status, and yield.
 - Provide the probability of overfishing at various harvest or exploitation levels.
 - Provide a probability density function for biological reference point estimates.
 - If the stock is overfished, provide the probability of rebuilding within mandated time periods as described in the management summary or applicable federal regulations.
 9. Project future stock conditions (biomass, abundance, and exploitation) and develop rebuilding schedules if warranted; include estimated generation time. Stock projections shall be developed in accordance with the following, with $F_{Current}$ being equivalent to the geometric mean of previous three years:
 - A) If stock is overfished (if $MSST < 0.5 * B_{MSY}$):
 - $F = 0, F_{Current}, F_{MSY}, F_{Target}$
 - $F = F_{Rebuild}$ (max to rebuild in 10 years)
 - B) If stock is undergoing overfishing:
 - $F = F_{Current}, F_{MSY}, F_{Target}$, or $F_{Rebuild}$ (if overfished)
 - C) If stock is neither overfished nor undergoing overfishing:
 - $F = F_{Current}, F_{MSY}, F_{Target}$

Commented [RR8]: New

Commented [RR9]: New

Commented [RR10]: Updated

- D) If data limitations preclude classic projections (i.e. A, B, C above), explore alternate models to provide management advice.
- E) Annual yield projections should be completed for a five year period, with corresponding constant catch scenarios for three and five years.

Commented [RR11]: New

10. Provide recommendations for future research and data collection.
- Be as specific as practicable in describing sampling design and sampling intensity.
 - Emphasize items which will improve future assessment capabilities and reliability.
 - Consider data, monitoring, and assessment needs.
11. Complete the Assessment Workshop Report in accordance with project schedule deadlines (Section III of the SEDAR Stock Assessment Report).

Review Workshop Terms of Reference

1. Evaluate the data used in the assessment, addressing the following:
 - a) Are data decisions made by the DW and AW sound and robust?
 - b) Are data uncertainties acknowledged, reported, and within normal or expected levels?
 - c) Are data applied properly within the assessment model?
 - d) Are input data series reliable and sufficient to support the assessment approach and findings?
2. Evaluate the methods used to assess the stock, taking into account the available data.
 - a) Are methods scientifically sound and robust?
 - b) Are assessment models configured properly and used consistent with standard practices?
 - c) Are the methods appropriate for the available data?
3. Evaluate the assessment findings with respect to the following:
 - a) Are abundance, exploitation, and biomass estimates reliable, consistent with input data and population biological characteristics, and useful to support status inferences?
 - b) Is the stock overfished? What information helps you reach this conclusion?
 - c) Is the stock undergoing overfishing? What information helps you reach this conclusion?
 - d) Is there an informative stock recruitment relationship? Is the stock recruitment curve reliable and useful for evaluation of productivity and future stock conditions?
 - e) Are the quantitative estimates of the status determination criteria for this stock reliable? If not, are there other indicators that may be used to inform managers about stock trends and conditions?
4. Evaluate the stock projections, addressing the following:
 - a) Are the methods consistent with accepted practices and available data?
 - b) Are the methods appropriate for the assessment model and outputs?
 - c) Are the results informative and robust, and useful to support inferences of probable future conditions?
 - d) Are key uncertainties acknowledged, discussed, and reflected in the projection results?

5. Consider how uncertainties in the assessment, and their potential consequences, are addressed.
 - Comment on the degree to which methods used to evaluate uncertainty reflect and capture the significant sources of uncertainty in the population, data sources, and assessment methods
 - Ensure that the implications of uncertainty in technical conclusions are clearly stated.
6. Consider the research recommendations provided by the Data and Assessment workshops and make any additional recommendations or prioritizations warranted.
 - Clearly denote research and monitoring that could improve the reliability of, and information provided by, future assessments.
 - Provide recommendations on possible ways to improve the SEDAR process.
7. Provide guidance on key improvements in data or modeling approaches which should be considered when scheduling the next assessment.
8. Prepare a Peer Review Summary summarizing the Panel's evaluation of the stock d addressing each Term of Reference.

In-person Workshop

Individual in-person data, assessment, and review workshops are recommended for this assessment. The nature of the work to be conducted will necessitate incorporation of an in-person workshop in tandem with webinars for the data and assessment components, with an IPT-style approach leveraged where applicable and appropriate during the assessment component.