

GULF OF MEXICO FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
SHRIMP MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

Beau Rivage Resort & Casino Biloxi, Mississippi

October 24, 2022

VOTING MEMBERS

- Chris Schieble (designee for Patrick Banks).....Louisiana
- Kevin Anson (designee for Scott Bannon).....Alabama
- Billy Broussard.....Louisiana
- Jonathan Dugas.....Louisiana
- Bob Gill.....Florida
- Dakus Geeslin (designee for Robin Riechers).....Texas
- Andy Strelcheck.....NMFS
- Joe Spraggins.....Mississippi

NON-VOTING MEMBERS

- Susan Boggs.....Alabama
- Dale Diaz.....Mississippi
- Dave Donaldson.....GSMFC
- Phil Dyskow.....Florida
- Tom Frazer.....Florida
- Michael McDermott.....Mississippi
- Bob Shipp.....Alabama
- C.J. Sweetman (designee for Jessica McCawley).....Florida
- Greg Stunz.....Texas
- Troy Williamson.....Texas

STAFF

- Matt Freeman.....Economist
- John Froeschke.....Deputy Director
- Beth Hager.....Administrative Officer
- Lisa Hollensead.....Fishery Biologist
- Ava Lasseter.....Anthropologist
- Mary Levy.....NOAA General Counsel
- Jessica Matos.....Administrative and Accounting Technician
- Natasha Mendez-Ferrer.....Fishery Biologist
- Emily Muehlstein.....Public Information Officer
- Ryan Rindone.....Lead Fishery Biologist/SEDAR Liaison
- Bernadine Roy.....Office Manager
- Carrie Simmons.....Executive Director
- Carly Somerset.....Fisheries Outreach Specialist

OTHER PARTICIPANTS

- Chester Brewer.....SAFMC
- John Walter.....SEFSC
- Nathan Putman.....LGL

1 John Quinlan.....NOAA
2 Katie Siegfried.....SEFSC
3 Farren Wallace.....NOAA

4
5
6

- - -

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3 Table of Contents.....3
4
5 Adoption of Agenda and Approval of Minutes and Action Guide and
6 Next Steps.....4
7
8 Update on Testing and Development of Options Proposed as
9 Replacements for the Historical cELB Devices for the Gulf Shrimp
10 Fishery.....5
11 NMFS' Side-by-Side Pilot Testing of cVMS and Historical
12 cELB Units on Gulf Shrimp Vessels.....5
13 LGL's Research on P-Sea WindPlot Modifications.....17
14
15 Other Business.....25
16 EDM Working Group.....25
17
18 Adjournment.....27
19
20 - - -
21

1 The Shrimp Management Committee of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery
2 Management Council convened at the Beau Rivage Resort & Casino
3 in Biloxi, Mississippi on Monday morning, October 24, 2022, and
4 was called to order by Chairman Chris Schieble.

5
6 **ADOPTION OF AGENDA**
7 **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**
8 **ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS**
9

10 **CHAIRMAN CHRIS SCHIEBLE:** At this time, I would like to call the
11 Shrimp Committee to order, and I will go off the updated member
12 sheet here. Of course, myself as Chair, and Mr. Gill is Vice
13 Chair, Mr. Anson, Mr. Broussard, Ms. Boggs, Mr. Donaldson, Mr.
14 Dugas, Mr. Geeslin, and General Spraggins and Mr. Strelcheck are
15 all members of the committee. All are present today in the
16 room.

17
18 The first item on the agenda is Adoption of the Agenda, Tab B,
19 Number 1. Is anyone opposed to adopting the agenda as written?
20 Does anybody have any -- Go ahead.

21
22 **DR. MATT FREEMAN:** Yes, sir. I did want to follow-up to see if,
23 under Other Business, you wanted to receive an update on the
24 progress of the EDM Workgroup.

25
26 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Yes, sir, and I was going to bring that up
27 when we get to that point, but thank you. So, the agenda, and
28 is any opposed to adopting the agenda, Tab D, Number 1, as
29 written? Seeing the Other Business item added, that agenda is
30 adopted as written.

31
32 Next up is the Approval of the June 2022 Meeting Minutes. This
33 is Tab D, Number 2. Are there any additions, deletions, or
34 edits to those minutes from the June meeting? Seeing none, the
35 minutes are adopted as presented in the briefing book. Next up
36 on the agenda is the Action Guide and Next Steps, which is Tab
37 D, Number 3, and we'll let Dr. Freeman run us through the action
38 guide, briefly.

39
40 **DR. FREEMAN:** Thank you, sir. For the first item, it's an
41 update on testing and development of options proposed as
42 replacements for the historical cELB devices for the Gulf shrimp
43 fishery. For this item, the committee will receive two
44 presentations related to the testing and development of the
45 current options proposed as replacements for the historical cELB
46 devices for the Gulf shrimp fishery.

47
48 The first presentation will be an update from NMFS on its side-

1 by-side field testing of cellular VMS units and cELB units for
2 the purpose of collecting comparable data for vessel location an
3 estimated speed. The second presentation will be an update from
4 Dr. Nathan Putman, with LGL Ecological Research Associates, on
5 its council-funded pilot study to test the P-Sea WindPlot
6 program for vessel position data collection and on that
7 transmission on shrimp vessels. The committee should consider
8 the presentations, ask questions, and provide feedback. This
9 information does not require any formal committee action.

10
11 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Thank you, Dr. Freeman.

12
13 **UPDATE ON TESTING AND DEVELOPMENT OF OPTIONS PROPOSED AS**
14 **REPLACEMENTS FOR THE HISTORICAL CELB DEVICES FOR THE GULF SHRIMP**
15 **FISHERY**
16 **NMFS' SIDE-BY-SIDE PILOT TESTING OF CVMS AND CELB UNITS ON GULF**
17 **SHRIMP VESSELS**

18
19 **DR. FARRON WALLACE:** Good morning. My name is Farron Wallace,
20 and I am the Chief of the FATES Division, which stands for
21 Fisheries Assessment, Technology, and Engineering Support. We
22 are essentially in the service industry, and so we test new
23 technologies that we can put into the fisheries and also deploy
24 into our various surveys. With me today is Dr. John Quinlan,
25 and he did all of the analytics that you'll be seeing on our
26 slides here today, and so, if you want to drill down into some
27 of the data, I will probably have him answer some of those
28 questions.

29
30 As you all know, the original cELB program was based on the 3G
31 network, and is no longer support by any of the cell companies,
32 and so, over the last couple of years, fishermen have had to
33 remove their SSDs, or the data cards, and send them to NOAA
34 Fisheries, in order to download them, and so it's quite a
35 process to do that, and it's not timely whatsoever, and a
36 potential alternative, of course, is the cVMS, and that's what
37 this study was all about, is essentially comparing the cELB data
38 with the cVMS data, to make sure we're getting the same types of
39 answers that we would in GPS locations between the two different
40 systems.

41
42 There are three different systems that we deployed and tested.
43 We have the Faria system cellular VMS, the Woods Hole Group
44 system, also a cVMS system, and, of course, the bottom of this
45 slide is the old 3G cELB box that had been deployed into the
46 fishery for a number of years.

47
48 The GPS technology is -- essentially to use triangulation of the

1 satellites overhead to estimate the position of the vessel.
2 Those positions are actually quite accurate, within a few
3 meters, the data that we collect these days, and the recording
4 device is -- In the past, we were able to automate the entire
5 data process, where a vessel would get near port and hook up
6 into a cellphone tower, and the data would automatically be
7 downloaded to our office here.

8
9 Then one of the things that we also deployed is a data logger,
10 which is a motion sensor, and this is some of the advanced
11 technologies that we're working on to sort of automate that
12 process of determining when somebody is hauling and when haul-
13 back is occurring.

14
15 We examined data from five different vessels, the R/V Caretta,
16 which is the shrimp vessel that we have as part of our survey
17 fleet, and also the Southern Journey. We have data that came
18 from three different shrimp vessels, which also had the cELB on
19 each of these vessels, and they carried the Faria cellular VMS.
20 If you recall, we had another three vessels that we actually
21 deployed the Woods Hole data collector, the cVMS. However, two
22 of those vessels were sold, and another one did not fish, and so
23 we do not have any data from shrimp vessels carrying the Woods
24 Hole cellular VMS system.

25
26 All of the VMS systems were retrieved from the Woods Hole
27 group, through the Thoriumweb.com, and it's a password-protected
28 webservice, and it's very clean and easy to use, and the
29 fishermen mailed back the miniUSB drives for the device itself,
30 and those will be paired to the cellular VMS. The data were
31 cleaned, to find any overlap and ensure ten-minute ping rates
32 for both sensors, and it was processed and plotted in Matlab.

33
34 Here is a plot for the Caretta, and you can see the gold is the
35 cVMS, and the purple is the ELB data, and these tracks -- We can
36 see the gold, when we first got the VMS system, deployed before
37 the cellular system, and so that's why you don't see those
38 tracks completely overlap.

39
40 Here, we're zooming-in on several tows, and it's very, very
41 difficult to see the gold here, because it is so tight, and, if
42 you go to the next slide, I think we zoom-in on one of those
43 tracks, and, here, you can start seeing that the VMS data is
44 sort of hidden behind it again, and, again, there's a very, very
45 tight overlap here, and there is a number of tows in here, and
46 each of those straight lines is a tow.

47
48 Here is an upper segment of the Caretta, and, here, again, we

1 can see very tight overlap, and there are a little bit of
2 differences, probably mostly due to when the unit itself is
3 pinging during the tow, because they only ping at ten-minute
4 intervals, and so they don't ping exactly at the same time.
5 This is looking down at the lower portion, and, again, it's the
6 same story here.

7
8 Here is a lower segment, and, here, you can see, if you zoom-in,
9 how the different ping rate times -- The difference it makes in
10 the track, and you can see a little bit, but, overall, deploying
11 in the same direction, and we have very, very good
12 correspondence.

13
14 Next, we're going to talk about the Southern Journey data, and
15 the Southern Journey is our survey trawl vessel. Now we're
16 going to look at the couple of trip that were made, and we're
17 going to zoom-into some of the data from the upper and lower
18 segments here that you see in the graph.

19
20 Here again, we have near-perfect correspondence, and it's
21 because, even though it's ten-minute ping rates, the vessel is
22 traveling much slower, and so we get this perfect overlay of the
23 cellular VMS data and the ELB data.

24
25 Next to the lower segment, and we'll zoom-in on this lower
26 segment next, and, again, it's the same story. Because it's a
27 lower steam speed, we can't see a separation, and it's 100
28 percent overlay in these data.

29
30 Unfortunately, the three industry vessels really had no usable
31 data, as neither of the sensors were operating at the same time
32 and/or there was little or no general temporal overlap, and I'll
33 talk more about why this happened in just a second here.

34
35 Here is what we're looking at for the -- These are the Faria
36 vessel monitoring systems that were deployed, and you can see we
37 are in the general same area, and so there's a little bit of
38 correspondence, but the data are all over the place, and the
39 upper-hand-left is Vessel 1, and below that is Vessel 2, and
40 then Vessel 3, the data all together from the Faria VMS units
41 stopped working, and so we had no overlap at all in the Vessel
42 3, and so we were collecting cellular VMS data, but they were
43 not -- The systems were not working correctly, and there has
44 been a substantial number of systems that have failed from
45 Faria, and, unfortunately, they were the ones that we deployed
46 on the three vessels that ended up fishing in part of our study.

47
48 The next slide is conclusions, and, number one, we had very good

1 overlap between the two system tracks, and differences arise due
2 to vessel turning and different ping rates for the two sensors.
3 Slower vessel speeds, as we've shown in the Southern Journey
4 data, really eliminated these differences, and it's very easy to
5 do remote adjustment of the ping rates for the cellular VMS, and
6 it's really clear cut that the VMS data accessed through the
7 Thoriumweb is very easy to use and download data. The industry
8 will have their own separate pages that they could go on and
9 look exactly where they've been in the past trips.

10
11 There was a number of people at the Center that worked on
12 deploying these systems, and also making sure that the data were
13 usable, including Becky Smith, Jo Williams, Christian Jones,
14 Jeff Gearhart, and the crews of the Caretta and Southern
15 Journey, and I especially want to thank all three of the
16 industry vessels, and I'm not sure if you got the last slide,
17 but what it is is --

18
19 I have a picture of it here, and there's a bulletin that was
20 released from the Woods Hole Group that they had purchased the
21 Faria company, and they replacing all of the Faria units that
22 are now out in the field, because many of them have failed, and
23 so we only have one -- Well, we have several different potential
24 vendors out there, but Faria is no longer -- There it is.

25
26 This bulletin just went out a few weeks ago, and, as you can
27 see, if you can scroll down and read this, Woods Hole is going
28 to be replacing all of the systems that are out there right now
29 with their Nemo unit, which we found actually -- I think all the
30 data that came from the Nemo units were quite flawless. With
31 that, I can take any questions you may have.

32
33 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Thank you, Mr. Wallace. Great presentation.
34 I especially like the tracks, and that was a good example of
35 exactly how this works, to kind of get an understanding of this,
36 and does anyone have any questions or comments for Mr. Wallace?
37 Ms. Boggs.

38
39 **MS. SUSAN BOGGS:** I have lots of questions, and so the first
40 question -- At the beginning of the presentation, I thought it
41 said you all were piloting the Faria as well as the Woods Hole,
42 and I would like a little clarification on that, but, on Slide
43 7, the cleaning is what I don't understand. We have all these
44 tracks, and then we come back down to the next couple of slides,
45 and then you say you're cleaning the tracks, and can you help me
46 understand what does that -- I mean, I guess it's because it
47 didn't match up, and so you're taking out all the mismatches?

48

1 **MR. WALLACE:** I can answer that, and, no, it's not the
2 mismatches. What happens is that the unit will not have a good
3 calculation, and so it will only record a ninety-for that
4 calculation, and so, essentially, it didn't give a correct
5 position, and what the system does automatically is that then,
6 if it doesn't get a usable position, it will take another read
7 of the satellites and then get another GPS coordinate, and so,
8 if that one there looks like it's correct, then it will wait ten
9 minutes to take the next ping, and so the ninety-nine's are just
10 part of the system cleaning, because it shows all the data,
11 those data where we good calculations and those data that we
12 have bad calculations.

13
14 **MS. BOGGS:** I guess this is above my paygrade, but it just -- It
15 seems like we have data, and then it's not matching up, but then
16 I wanted to ask another question, if I may, Mr. Chair. On Slide
17 19, now we're looking at all the industry vessels, and I
18 apologize that I just went blank on my question, but I was just
19 curious, and so the VMS -- If this doesn't match up here to what
20 the research vessels did, and so what is the difference? How
21 come it isn't matching?

22
23 **MR. WALLACE:** These are -- All three industry vessels were from
24 the same fleet, and so recall that we deployed both the Faria
25 VMS units and the Woods Hole Group VMS units, and all three of
26 the industry vessels installed the Faria, and the Faria are the
27 ones that have had significant problems failing, and, indeed,
28 they failed on all three of our vessels that we had in our
29 study, and that's why the yellow line from the Faria -- Those
30 are GPS coordinates that we got from that unit, and you can tell
31 it's not working.

32
33 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Ms. Boggs.

34
35 **MS. BOGGS:** So are we going to go back to these industry vessels
36 with the Woods Hole and do another pilot, to see how it tracks?

37
38 **MR. WALLACE:** Yes, I think it would be a good idea, and it would
39 certainly me out here if you could find some additional vessels,
40 in the short-term, and we would certainly deploy Woods Hole
41 Group VMS units, and, since they own the Faria group, they now
42 own the Faria group, we no longer will be testing any of the
43 Faria, because they are gone, and so I think it would be a good
44 idea to go ahead and test the industry vessels.

45
46 Then I think what it also does is help people get accustomed to
47 VMS units and some of the services that the company will
48 provide.

1
2 I really don't think that it will change the conclusions
3 whatsoever, because you can see that all the data we collected
4 from the Caretta and the Southern Journey overlap perfectly.
5 The analysts also have an update, and we were unable to get the
6 slide in here in time, but that update shows that, when we run
7 the VMS data, and compared that to the cELB data, through the
8 effort calculation, we're less than 2 percent difference between
9 the two calculations, using the two different lines of data, one
10 from the VMS and one from the cellular electronic logbook that
11 you're all using right now, and so that has really good
12 correspondence, and, again, I wouldn't expect that we would be
13 seeing any differences.

14
15 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Ms. Boggs has a follow-up and then Mr. Gill.

16
17 **MS. BOGGS:** Well, I think that it's very important that we get
18 it right with the industry vessels, because they are the ones
19 that have to comply, and they are the ones that have to buy-into
20 this program, and so I think that it's very prudent that we go
21 back and we test, with another VMS system, if we're going to ask
22 the industry to go this path. I mean, I'm glad that it worked
23 on the research vessels, but those aren't the ones we're worried
24 about. We're worried about these that are in the industry.

25
26 **MR. WALLACE:** Yes, and it was just unfortunate that it was the
27 Faria units, because we had no idea that the Faria units were
28 having problems, to start with, and it's really -- Then, the
29 vessels that did have Woods Hole Group systems deployed, again,
30 a couple of vessels were sold, and other one didn't fish, and so
31 we don't have the Woods Hole Group data, unfortunately, at this
32 point, and, right now, I'm looking at the trip statistics that
33 John Quinlan put together for estimating effort from the
34 Caretta.

35
36 The distance swept for the Caretta's VMS was 96.57 nautical
37 miles, and the data swept using the cELB data was ninety-eight
38 nautical miles, with a difference of about 1.5 percent, and the
39 time swept total was a little bit less than 2 percent difference
40 between the two systems, and, again, this would be my
41 expectations from whether or not you had the Woods Hole Group
42 VMS deployed on a freighter or another industry vessel and
43 paired up with the cellular ELB data, that they would have very
44 similar results.

45
46 Note that these are electronic devices, and they do fail. It
47 seems that the Faria VMS systems fail more spectacularly, and we
48 also found some failure of data collection in the cELB, but not

1 so much in the Woods Hole Group, but it's a fairly small sample
2 size, and so I would certainly support trying to find additional
3 volunteers, in the short-term, and we can deploy those systems.

4
5 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Thank you, Mr. Wallace. Mr. Gill had a
6 question.

7
8 **MR. BOB GILL:** Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Mr.
9 Wallace, for the presentation. As I recall, one of the
10 considerations in this testing was the use of the shrimp
11 algorithm to provide the data that you need, and, the plots that
12 we're looking at, did they utilize the shrimp algorithm, or did
13 they come from some other source?

14
15 **MR. WALLACE:** The shrimp algorithm used the data from the
16 Caretta, and those were the statistics that are on your left,
17 with ninety-six miles for the VMS estimated, and ninety-eight
18 for the electronic logbook, and that was just on the Caretta,
19 and so that's multiple tows, and I don't know exactly how many
20 tows that was, and it was quite a few tows of distance swept.

21
22 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Mr. Strelcheck.

23
24 **MR. ANDY STRELCHECK:** Just a comment, I guess, related to Ms.
25 Boggs' statement, and I certainly support, you know, additional
26 buy-in and industry support for VMS, and the presentation that
27 we're going to receive shortly on P-Sea WindPlot, but I think we
28 need to keep in mind that it's been since December of 2020 that
29 the 3G units stopped automatically transmitting, and, with each
30 month and year that goes by, we're, obviously, having less
31 success, in terms of units failing over time as well as getting
32 that information back from industry, and I know we're doing some
33 outreach efforts to try to bolster that, and I'm hoping that
34 that's successful, but we have had a long period of time here
35 without the 3G units automatically transmitting, and I hope
36 that, based on the presentations today, we can continue to move
37 forward and make some progress on ultimately selecting an
38 alternative for this fishery, in the near-term.

39
40 One of the things that I think strikes me is that there is buy-
41 in, and then there's, obviously, just kind of the VMS units and
42 how they operate, and VMS units are used widely by the agency
43 already, and so I don't think there's really any surprises, and
44 I think this is what we would expect, obviously, as the outcome,
45 that there would be good alignment with the results, and so I
46 think then it gets to Bob Gill's comment about the shrimp
47 algorithm and is it producing similar results, and that's where
48 I think we could benefit from, obviously, a few more vessels

1 that are participating and operating in the industry, to compare
2 it up against that shrimp algorithm, and there might be some
3 adjustments that we have to make, based on switching from one
4 platform to another, but I appreciate the Science Center's work
5 and those industry members that volunteered to help us with
6 this.

7

8 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Dave Donaldson.

9

10 **MR. DAVE DONALDSON:** Thank you, Mr. Chair, and I just wanted to
11 support what Andy said, and that I appreciate Ms. Boggs'
12 concerns, but I think we need to come to a solution, sooner than
13 later.

14

15 The commission was approached -- When the 3G units were going
16 out of use, the commission agreed to a stop-gap measure to
17 receive the SIM cards and provide that data to the Science
18 Center. When we were first approached, it was going to be a
19 year, and we're in year-two now, and, while we're still able to
20 do that, at some point, that may not be the case, and so, the
21 sooner we can come up with a solution, and a resolution, to this
22 issue, the better.

23

24 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Kevin Anson.

25

26 **MR. KEVIN ANSON:** Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr. Wallace, thank you
27 for the presentation. I have several questions, and it relates
28 to the performance of the two units, and so the first is how
29 many tracks were covered in total, or an average, per vessel?

30

31 **MR. WALLACE:** In terms of trips or tows?

32

33 **MR. ANSON:** Yes, and that would probably be the easiest metric,
34 is trips, yes.

35

36 **MR. WALLACE:** The only statistics I have in front of me here are
37 those from the Caretta, the distance swept there was a little
38 bit less than a hundred nautical miles, and that was probably
39 like sixty or seventy tows, but I don't have the data in front
40 of me right now. Dr. Quinlan, are you on?

41

42 **DR. JOHN QUINLAN:** Yes, I'm here. I don't have that number in
43 front of me either. I was just checking for it, and I can't
44 find it.

45

46 **MR. ANSON:** All right. Thank you, and just a couple more
47 questions. As it relates to the performance then, there is this
48 comment on Slide 7, where many tracks were not covered by both

1 sensors, and so I'm just curious as to, you know, what the
2 performance was, as far as not being covered, as it relates to
3 previous, you know, performance, I guess, and, as Andy
4 mentioned, as we go through time, the performance of the cELB
5 may be expected to decline, and so I'm curious as to whether or
6 not the failure rate on the cELB was similar to what had been
7 received, or determined, prior, and then, also, for the VMS unit
8 then, and what was the performance for that unit, relative to
9 expectation or relative to other units, VMS units?

10
11 **MR. WALLACE:** Okay. In terms of the cELB, we don't really have
12 overlapping track, but it's because it wasn't deployed at
13 exactly the same time as the VMS unit, and so that's why we
14 don't have overlapping tracks for all the data from the Southern
15 Journey or the Caretta, and maybe Dr. Quinlan could answer the
16 next part of that.

17
18 **DR. QUINLAN:** Could you repeat the second part, please? I was
19 thinking about that first part.

20
21 **MR. ANSON:** Just, again, referring to the comment that many
22 tracks were not covered by both sensors, and so I would assume
23 then that some of the tracks weren't covered by the VMS, and, if
24 that was the case, what was the percent of coverage, or percent
25 of non-coverage, and then how does that compare to what the
26 performance is for VMS generally, or what you would have the
27 expectation for performance?

28
29 **MR. WALLACE:** Our expectation for performance should be nearly
30 identical tracks in both situations. Again, because we don't
31 overlap on some of these things, just because the units weren't
32 deployed at the same time, and so the expectation is that they
33 would have perfect overlap in all situations.

34
35 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Ms. Boggs.

36
37 **MS. BOGGS:** I have a multipart question, and so, for
38 clarification, the VMS unit that was used on the research
39 vessels was the Woods Hole, and the VMS units that were used on
40 the industry vessels was the Faria, correct?

41
42 **MR. WALLACE:** That's correct.

43
44 **MS. BOGGS:** Okay, and so, to me, we're not comparing apples-to-
45 apples, and we're comparing apples and oranges, because it would
46 seem, to me, that we would run the same equipment on both the
47 research vessels and the industry vessels, to see how they
48 track, and so I would very much like to ask the agency to please

1 go back and find some more volunteers, and, in my calculations,
2 there's about a thousand shrimp vessels out there, to pilot the
3 Woods Hole device.

4
5 That way, we can see how it tracks compared to how it worked on
6 the research vessels, and so then my next part of that question
7 would be, asking the agency to do that, how long would it take
8 to do this pilot? How long would it take to do another pilot
9 using the Woods Hole units on the research vessels and get that
10 information back to the council, and then I have one follow-up
11 question.

12
13 **MR. WALLACE:** The research vessels are done for the season right
14 now, and won't be deployed again until March or April, but, if
15 we want -- If we could work with a team here and try to find
16 some volunteer industry vessels that are fishing now, we could
17 get them deployed fairly quickly. Of course, there's always a
18 turnaround time, because they have to take their data cards and
19 mail them to us, and we have to download that data and then
20 analyze that data, and so all of that takes a fair amount of
21 time, and so it's several months to try to get the data back
22 from the industry vessels that would volunteer to carry a Woods
23 Hole Group system.

24
25 Recall that we did have three vessels identified to take the
26 Woods Hole systems, but all three vessels -- Again, two of the
27 vessels sold, and another one didn't fish, and so, just by
28 happenstance, the Faria was on the three vessels that were
29 fishing for this last season.

30
31 **MS. BOGGS:** Well, I wish NMFS would have made a little more
32 effort to try to find some vessels to replace those that sold or
33 weren't available anymore, and we wouldn't be having this
34 conversation right now, but I would like to see us do that
35 pilot, and so then the question -- I thought the VMS information
36 -- Why is it haven't to be sent in? It doesn't just transmit
37 automatically?

38
39 **MR. WALLACE:** The VMS data transmits automatically, and that's
40 correct, but, to do the comparisons, you have to get the ELB
41 data, which, you know, the fishermen will have to mail those to
42 us, and we have to download that data and then analyze that
43 data, and so there's a fair amount of lag time there, and the
44 timeliness isn't all that good, because, as you know, fishermen
45 are really busy, and it's difficult to get them back in a timely
46 manner.

47
48 **MS. BOGGS:** Okay. Last question, and so hopefully we'll do

1 another pilot with the Woods Hole, and then how long would it
2 take to get that data, the ELB data, and the effort estimates,
3 and then a presentation to the council, so that maybe we can get
4 this off the hands of the Gulf States Marine Fisheries
5 Commission and a program that the shrimpers can use and move
6 forward with? Thank you.

7
8 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Okay. I'm going to try to get this wrapped
9 up, and so we're going to go pretty quick here. I've got one
10 more question from Mr. Broussard, and then I've got a comment.
11 John Walter, go ahead.

12
13 **DR. JOHN WALTER:** Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm not a member of the
14 committee, but I appreciate the recognition. On just a couple
15 of those comebacks, one thing I will just lament is not having
16 Leann here, because I think the tongue-lashing we might have
17 been getting from Leann would probably have been ear-splitting,
18 but I think she did say that she told you it's not that easy to
19 get all this done, and she's probably right.

20
21 We didn't expect the Faria units to fail as badly as they did,
22 and I'm hoping that the industry will make up for that, and it
23 looks like they will, in terms of replacing those units, and I
24 would like to think they also might want to help out, in terms
25 of providing some other units for testing, and perhaps, if we
26 reach out nicely, they may want to do that.

27
28 That gets to how and when we're going to solve this, and we all
29 are under a deadline to report to Congress on this topic, in
30 fact, and so it's not just this committee and this council who
31 is interested, but it's also Congress, and I think we have a
32 late-winter or early-spring deadline to report that, which means
33 that we need to redouble our efforts to get units on boats that
34 are now continuing to fish, so that we can set this thing, this
35 issue, behind us and be confident that the remaining VMS units
36 work, that we can extract the data from them and get basically
37 the same answer between an ELB and the VMS, and I think that
38 would put us all in a much happier position to be in, if we do
39 that.

40
41 The other thing I will comment on is the algorithm for
42 calculating fleet-wide effort, and that is a little bit
43 different than calculating effort for an individual vessel,
44 because it takes in other datasets to be able to do that. Right
45 now, one of those key datasets that assigns the depth comes from
46 trip interviews, and that dataset has been discontinued, and so
47 we do have to modify the algorithm to be able to assign depth.

48

1 Fortunately, it's really easy to do, because, if you know where
2 you're fishing, you can use the bathymetry to assign a depth,
3 and that's what a revision to the code currently is doing, and
4 so we've got a revision to the code. It will be a slightly
5 different time series, and so it's going to have to go back to
6 consideration for what a new threshold may be, and so there's a
7 number of steps in the process that are going to have to happen,
8 and we'll need to consider what the best process is for making
9 that happen.

10
11 That is probably reinstating the technical working group on
12 shrimp effort that had been stood up, and it had been then put
13 on hold for a bit, and I think it's probably the group who
14 carries the institutional knowledge to vet and evaluate the new
15 algorithm for calculating effort. Thanks, and I'm happy to take
16 any questions.

17
18 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Thank you, Dr. Walter. The one comment I
19 had is related to what your comment just was, and, in the
20 inception of this, we had three Louisiana boats that were in the
21 fleet for the monitoring. As an artifact of Hurricane Ida last
22 year, those got sold, and I asked our program manager to solicit
23 the shrimp task force to try to get three additional Louisiana
24 boats to participate, and, because of the lingering effects from
25 Hurricane Ida, that fleet is not at the point where they can add
26 any vessels to this at this point, was the response I got, and
27 so, unfortunately, I can't add any Louisiana boats right now,
28 but we're still trying. Ms. Boggs, are you done? Any other
29 comments or questions? Yes.

30
31 **DR. FREEMAN:** One comment that I did want to make is we will be
32 having another meeting of the Shrimp AP on November 15, and so
33 that would be an ideal time, in my opinion, to get some
34 assistance from the industry in finding potential replacement
35 vessels for the testing, and so that will be coming up in just a
36 few weeks.

37
38 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Thank you. Go ahead.

39
40 **MR. WALLACE:** I would just add that it only takes less than a
41 week to get a system FedEx'd to any individual out there that
42 will deploy it on the vessel, and so that's not part of the
43 issue here. It's getting the data back.

44
45 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Okay. Thank you for the presentation and
46 questions and answers, Mr. Wallace. We will move on to the next
47 agenda item.

48

1 **LGL'S RESEARCH ON P-SEA WINDPLOT MODIFICATIONS**

2
3 **DR. NATHAN PUTMAN:** Good morning, everybody. I will try to zip
4 through it pretty quickly, and I understand that we're on a -- I
5 bet we can get through this quickly.
6

7 I am here to talk about our council-funded work to look at some
8 other options for monitoring shrimping effort, and you will hear
9 this soon enough, and so we'll go ahead with the next slide.
10 You're all familiar with why shrimping effort is important and
11 that the 3G networks are -- Go ahead and go to the next slide,
12 and we'll just get into what we've done.
13

14 You're familiar with the background, right, and so next slide,
15 and we'll stop here for a second. For this particular project,
16 we are looking at an idea that was proposed by folks in the
17 shrimping industry, in particular some folks with the Southern
18 Shrimp Alliance, and they were wanting to explore whether using
19 the captains' navigational software, which is recording
20 latitudes and longitudes already, could be repurposed as an ELB-
21 like program, and so our group did some work with them to modify
22 the navigational software so that it would record at ten-minute
23 intervals and in a format that could be used in those effort
24 monitoring algorithms.
25

26 Then this got picked up by the Gulf Council, working to make
27 this software where it would automatically transmit the data to
28 a server, and so we had an industry-funded component that made
29 progress in terms of recording the data, but it's not a
30 transmitting-type software, and so what we've been tasked with
31 is to update this navigational software, P-Sea WindPlot, so that
32 it electronically transmits its ELB electronic logbook files to
33 a server and then develop a mechanism by which the computers
34 that are using P-Sea WindPlot can connect to mobile
35 communication services, and then we were going to conduct some
36 tests on five commercial shrimp boats and do some
37 troubleshooting, revise the software, and then, by the end of
38 this, which by the end of this I believe is March, is when the
39 contract is up, have conducted secondary tests on twenty
40 additional commercial shrimp boats, to see how things look.
41

42 This should be perhaps familiar, our previous results, and we
43 have updated the software, and it does record as -- All the
44 pieces are in place, and let's just say that, for recording and
45 transmitting data using an FTP client. We did a round of
46 desktop testing, and that also -- Everything looked successful,
47 and we have now put this on a handful of boats, and we have
48 installed this new version of P-Sea WindPlot on eight vessels

1 now, three out of Bayou La Batre, five out of Palacios, none in
2 Louisiana, and not as referenced yet, and we are having trouble,
3 but this has involved about nine trips to Palacios on our side,
4 and we're doing a lot of software troubleshooting, trying to
5 deal with some hardware issues that have come up, and a fair
6 amount of let's say on-the-ground industry engagement.

7
8 What we found, in our early tests, were that gappy data was sort
9 of characteristic of what we were coming up with, and what these
10 plots here show are -- The circles are tow points, and then Xs
11 are vessel position, and so, for instance, in this particular
12 example, we had a twenty-one-day trip, with a twelve-day gap,
13 and why? Why is that?

14
15 So we've been doing, again, some troubleshooting, on a variety
16 of fronts, and one of the things we did was to get sort of an
17 off-the-shelf solar-powered GPS and stick that on top of the
18 boat, to see if we could figure out what was going on, and this
19 is another trip that occurred, the same configuration, and the
20 circles are the tow points, and the Xs are the position.

21
22 We see a gap, a gap there, and you can see the different legs of
23 the trip, one through five, and the P-Sea WindPlot seems to be
24 missing Segment 4, and so, if you go to the next slide, you can
25 see that, in general, there is good overlap between the two
26 devices, but we're just missing 4, and, after getting the
27 captain onshore and talking to him, he turned off his computer
28 for a little while, and so, you know, there's that.

29
30 The same captain, going back out, after, you know, not turning
31 off the computer, we have -- You will be able to see it better
32 on the next slide, but we have good correspondence, good
33 overlap, between the devices, and one of the things you might
34 notice though is that there are differences in those circles,
35 right, and so, if you go to the next slide, one of the things
36 that -- This is just a histogram showing the numbers of records
37 within ten-minute intervals, and so that first, on the far-left,
38 is number of positions that were recorded in each of those ten
39 minutes that we're shooting for, and then the far-right column
40 is greater than an hour difference between position locations,
41 and so P-Sea WindPlot, as you can see, is, in general, when it's
42 turned on, recording positions very reliably at ten-minute
43 intervals.

44
45 That sort of off-the-shelf solar-powered GPS, and, although in
46 principle, it was programmed to record regularly, it's all over
47 the place, and so, again, not that we were necessarily looking
48 at that as an option for monitoring effort, but, just as an

1 aside, you can't just get a -- Apparently you can't just get an
2 off-the-shelf GPS device and stick it on top of the boat and
3 expect it to monitor effort well, and so, for instance, we were
4 able to -- You know, we recorded about 12.7 tow days from the P-
5 Sea WindPlot, which, given the length of time, was about right
6 for half of your trip is spent towing and half of it's been
7 spent sitting, sort of sitting, or moving between stations.
8
9 Then the solar-powered GPS was only recording 1.7 tow days, and
10 that has to do, I think, just with the erratic timing of when
11 those positions are coming in, and so combining that with maybe
12 low-resolution, low-accuracy, and weird timing, the algorithm
13 doesn't like it.
14
15 Here is a longer test, and, again, tow points and circles, and
16 the proportion of records within those ten-minute intervals on
17 the graph below, and it looks great, right, and it's recording
18 every ten minutes, as you would hope, and it's giving you what
19 looks like reasonable, reliable data on where towing occurs,
20 which it is, but let's go to the next slide.
21
22 The key thing, when you look at this next slide, is so the top
23 is what has been recorded by P-Sea WindPlot, and then the bottom
24 is what was transmitted to our server, and so what gets
25 transmitted, versus what gets recorded, is different. Well,
26 it's not that they're not overlapping, and what gets transmitted
27 is also what is recorded, but we are not getting everything that
28 is recorded.
29
30 If you look at the next slide, this will show it, I think, more
31 clearly, and the yellow dots are what was transmitted to the
32 server, and the blue dots are what was sort of only what was
33 retrieved by the boat's computers, when we manually went down
34 there and were checking on the software and downloaded it from
35 the computers C drive, and so this is a challenge that we are
36 looking into, and one of several challenges, actually, that we
37 are dealing with, in terms of P-Sea WindPlot as a solution for
38 the monitoring effort.
39
40 Challenges, there are installation issues, technical issues and
41 people problems, all of which deserve some consideration, and,
42 on the installation side, what we see is, you know, different
43 problems for different computers, and the beauty of this, as an
44 idea, is that you could, in principle, monitor effort with what
45 people have on their boat already, and everyone is comfortable
46 with, but what people have on their boat already are, you know,
47 different versions of Windows, ranging from XP to 11, and, you
48 know, there is just some challenges, in some ways unrelated to

1 P-Sea WindPlot, just getting, you know, people's drivers to
2 connect to their GPSs and things like that. Anyway. Some
3 installation issues.

4
5 There are also some technical issues, and so, for instance, the
6 GPS devices on some vessels are -- You know, they give the wrong
7 dates, and they're not coding it, and there's a problem with the
8 GPS itself being able to correctly decode the information from
9 the satellite, and so, for instance, I was down in Palacios on
10 September 7, and there is a GPS device on one of our boats that
11 was recording that it was January 3, and so that's a technical
12 issue that we're working on.

13
14 There are also some -- There have been some freezing issues, and
15 captains have reported P-Sea WindPlot, which, up to this point,
16 on previous versions, did not freeze, after being left on for
17 long periods of time, is. There are some cosmetic issues,
18 where, just like if some of your software gets updated, and
19 maybe a file menu option is in a slightly different place, and
20 some of the captains can roll with that, and some can't, and so
21 that's another issue that we've been -- That we're working on.

22
23 Then there's the people problem component, and I'm skipping over
24 a couple of these, and I guess I don't need to, but some of the
25 unique IDs that the ELB files were written were apparently --
26 They were not -- They were changing between -- On the same
27 vessel, the unique ID was different, and so that makes sorting --
28 - So, if the unique ID on a boat was 1234, and, some records
29 that it was transmitting, it might be 5678, which makes pairing
30 it tricky on the backend, in terms of when it's getting sent to
31 a server.

32
33 People problems, some captains just don't like folks messing
34 with their computers, and, since there are a lot of different
35 versions of P-Sea WindPlot, and certain captains are comfortable
36 with sort of, quote, their version of it, and making
37 modifications to that, whether it's tracking the ELB stuff or
38 not, is sort of annoying to some folks.

39
40 We've also had a few captains who haven't turned on their
41 hotspots, and, where it was on, it would automatically transmit,
42 but, if it's not, then it won't. Then we've also had, as I
43 mentioned earlier, some captains turning off P-Sea WindPlot at
44 different points in the trip, and leaving P-Sea WindPlot running
45 all the time, as people have said that they do, and, you know,
46 "all the time" means different things to different people,
47 apparently, and so all the time that they're using it, it's on.

48

1 I would say sort of our biggest hurdle -- You know, some of the
2 technical issues, and installation issues, are -- We have good
3 ideas on that, but I guess the biggest hurdle is sort of each
4 computer is its own unique set of problems, and it's hard to
5 guarantee, to our volunteers, that what we're installing won't,
6 quote, you know, mess something up, that it won't be different
7 in some way.

8
9 The next slide is what we've been working on, and we've done
10 revisions to P-Sea WindPlot software, and we've now set it up
11 where the installer can select the transmission frequency, and
12 so it's not trying to transmit every ten minutes, and it's,
13 quote, in real time, and you could set it to every ten minutes,
14 or every twenty-four hours, and we think that should help reduce
15 the freezing issues of P-Sea WindPlot. That should help.

16
17 Installers also now, at this point, can input a shrimp boat's
18 permit number as the unique ID for ELB files, and so, rather
19 than using sort of a randomly-generated one, that gets tied
20 directly to the boat, and, again, that seems like a nice
21 addition for bookkeeping and for pairing it with landings and
22 things like that in the future.

23
24 We've also revised the function that sends out the ELB files
25 from a vessel's computer to the server, and it's basically a
26 more aggressive function to get all of the files on the C drive
27 to a server, rather than -- So hopefully we will not miss as
28 many as we did, like showed in that blue and yellow plot from
29 earlier.

30
31 Then a final function is now the installer can select for the
32 ELB program to use either the GPS time or the computer's time as
33 the record, so that -- Sort of based on which one is more
34 accurate, and so, if your computer is saying that it's October,
35 and your GPS is saying that it's February, you can opt to use
36 the computer's timestamp, rather than the GPS's, or vice versa.

37
38 The next steps, we are going to do a lot more desktop testing of
39 the revised P-Sea WindPlot software, sort of a dedicated running
40 for twenty-four hours a day, trying, as much as possible, to
41 click on it and try to break it as much as we can, and we're
42 going to restrict this --

43
44 We've got that new version, and those changes have been made,
45 and we've got that version of P-Sea WindPlot on one boat, and
46 they're going to just leave it at one boat for now, to try to
47 minimize annoyances and sort of future pushback from captains of
48 software being glitchy, and our aim is to organize for a late-

1 November rollout, to sort of make use of the period around
2 Thanksgiving, when a large number of shrimp boats are in port,
3 and we will hopefully be putting the latest version of P-Sea
4 WindPlot on boats at that point and have a large number, a large
5 sample size, to look at and see the result from those.

6
7 One additional thing, and so we've been, you know, logging in
8 for lots of trips and lots of engagement with shrimpers, at the
9 captain level on up to owners and sort of SSA level, and we've
10 been, you know, keeping folks in the loop about sort of the
11 status and challenges of P-Sea WindPlot for this industry, and,
12 you know, one of the things that we are also pairing with this
13 is -- So there's been some interest, within the industry, for a
14 looking at a stand-alone device that a group is producing called
15 a ZenVMS, and they have shared with us some of their data that
16 we have run.

17
18 They've got a couple of volunteer boats looking at that as an
19 option, and we have shared -- They have shared some of the data
20 with us, and we've run it through the shrimp effort algorithms,
21 and, on a single-boat basis, it looks fairly good, fairly
22 reliable, and what our plan is as well is to, with this sort of
23 late November rollout, put out a handful of those ZenVMS devices
24 with P-Sea WindPlot, and that should be helpful for a couple of
25 things, one of which will just be to have multiple points of
26 comparison, similar to, I guess, the paired study that Farron
27 Wallace presented right before this.

28
29 With that, let's go to the end of this next slide, reminding
30 folks of the goals and timeline, and those green Xs are things
31 that we've completed, and the purple is in progress, and we've
32 moved some of these items a little bit, and so that install
33 software and hardware component -- We're moving that to sort of
34 the November and to -- Probably just the end of November, into
35 the beginning of December, depending on when boats start
36 leaving.

37
38 That software and hardware revision continued on into October,
39 and that might also continue into November, after this initial
40 round of testing, and, with that, I will take any questions that
41 there's time for.

42
43 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Thank you, Dr. Putman, and so we are way
44 behind schedule here with this committee, and our previous chair
45 for this committee would have never allowed this to happen, and
46 so I'm just going to say that we're going to take a couple of
47 quick questions and move on, and do we have any for Dr. Putman?

48

1 **DR. PUTMAN:** I will be around this evening, if there are
2 questions, and I'm happy to talk, and I understand that we need
3 to move along.

4

5 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Okay. I think we also have some -- Andy,
6 have you got a question? Go ahead.

7

8 **MR. STRELCHECK:** Dr. Putman, thanks for being here. Great
9 presentation, and, obviously, this is why we do pilot studies,
10 and this is why we do the research, and you have outlined a
11 pretty significant number of challenges, and so I'm just kind of
12 curious, from your perspective, kind of what you're seeing, how
13 much of this do you think is insurmountable, versus can be
14 resolved through technology, and it seems like a lot of the
15 challenges relate to just, you know, whether it's the user
16 having to operate the system or the actual technology itself,
17 which widely varies across of these platforms.

18

19 **MR. PUTMAN:** That's a great question. I mean, I have my own, I
20 suppose -- There are still some things that we can test, right,
21 that I have outlined. I am not -- I would say that there are
22 certainly some hurdles that seem challenging to resolve, and,
23 you know, one of the things that we've heard from some of the
24 captains, and owners, is that, well, maybe we should just leave
25 the computers that the guys use alone and just get a new
26 computer and put it on there, and then it's going to run just
27 fine, because, you know, we would do all -- It would, probably.

28

29 I mean, we would still want to do the pilot testing, and there's
30 lots of things that will run just fine, trust me, but then, at
31 that point, you have an entire computer to buy, and I'm not sure
32 that it is -- You know, on paper, it seems elegant enough. In
33 practice, there's some problems. I think we will have a very
34 clear idea, by the end of November, how practical this actually
35 is, is kind of my personal feeling.

36

37 **MR. STRELCHECK:** Then, building off of what Farron presented,
38 and, obviously, we had the challenges with the Faria units, and
39 it seems like there's a huge opportunity here for the vessels
40 that are working on your study to potentially have VMS units
41 also onboard, just for a direct comparison of those two, and I
42 don't know if that's something that, you know, those that you're
43 working with would be willing to consider, but I feel like
44 that's a huge opportunity for us.

45

46 **DR. PUTMAN:** I mean, that seems like a great idea to me, and, I
47 mean, I could just leave it at that. Yes, it sounds like a
48 great idea to me. Farron -- We've been corresponding about some

1 other things, like monitoring bycatch, and he was asking whether
2 we might be able to put a cellular VMS on one of those bycatch
3 boats, and I had mentioned that, yes, we're doing some of this
4 work as well, and so, you know, we'll see. I would be happy to
5 have those conversations about how to do that, and maybe not all
6 twenty boats are going to want a VMS onboard, and we haven't
7 gotten twenty boats lined up exactly either.

8
9 You know, I'm not too worried about it, and it will be close to
10 that, I bet, but I suspect that a handful would be reasonable,
11 and, you know, there are certainly folks in the shrimp industry
12 -- They like this ZenVMS technology as an option, and so we're
13 definitely open to testing, and helping test, and I suspect that
14 the -- None of these things should come at an additional cost to
15 the council, and, I mean, I wouldn't think.

16
17 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Okay. Thank you. Any further questions for
18 Dr. Putman? Dr. Walter.

19
20 **DR. WALTER:** Thank you, Dr. Putman, and great presentation. I
21 like seeing this move forward, and I think we have a real
22 opportunity in perhaps partnering to try to get as many options
23 on the table, and I think what we're seeing here is that there's
24 challenges and pros and cons of any of the potential options for
25 monitoring, and this council needs all those options on the
26 table and to see them tested and get them actually on the water
27 and have data come back to be able to make a final decision.

28
29 I'm pleased to see the ZenVMS as a potential new additional
30 option, and I think competition is great, but, if we can get
31 more on those boats, then I think that will bring more data to
32 ultimately a final decision on which way to go forward, and so
33 let's talk more about how we can partner.

34
35 **DR. PUTMAN:** Sounds great.

36
37 **DR. WALTER:** Great. Thanks.

38
39 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** All right. Any further questions? All
40 right. With that, we have the last item, Number V, on the
41 agenda, which is Other Business, and I think Dr. Freeman is
42 going to give us a very brief update on the Empirical Dynamic
43 Modeling Workgroup.

44
45 **DR. FREEMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Chair. I believe we have Dr. Katie
46 Siegfried on the webinar, and I believe she was going to provide
47 short verbal update.

48

1 **DR. WALTER:** Katie, are you there, or do you want me to read
2 out, because Katie gave me a little brief on where we are with
3 that, but, Katie, if you want to say it in your own words, and I
4 think she might have been on.

5
6 **OTHER BUSINESS**
7 **UPDATE ON EDM WORKING GROUP**
8

9 **DR. KATIE SIEGFRIED:** Thanks, John, if you could fill in, and,
10 Matt, if you could fill in any gaps that there might be, and I
11 just prepared this, and Matt was there, and so the third EDM
12 Workgroup call was held on September 5. I'm getting a lot of
13 feedback, and is that on my end?

14
15 **DR. FREEMAN:** You're clear for us, I believe.

16
17 **DR. SIEGFRIED:** Okay. Good. I just can work it through then,
18 as long as you all can hear me fine. We were happy to have most
19 of the appointed participants there for all of the three
20 meetings, and we had a lot of stakeholder participation, and
21 Steve Munch let us through the EDM modeling approach, and Lew
22 ran all of the meetings, covering the data issues and then which
23 data could be included in the models, and then we wrapped up
24 with the management needs for shrimp.

25
26 Our last meeting focused mainly on management, and we just don't
27 want to provide a model that does not meet the needs of
28 management, as has happened in the past, and our SS model
29 actually was overly complicated for the potential risk to the
30 shrimp stock, and that was very clearly coming from the
31 stakeholders during all of our EDM sessions.

32
33 The EDM research team will continue on with their work, with the
34 advice and industry guidance that they received during those
35 workshops, and then the idea is that the Center will have the
36 capacity to run those EDMs in time for the SEDAR 87 shrimp
37 research track assessment. The EDM will be a candidate modeling
38 approach for SEDAR 87, but we will have to go through the
39 process to decide on a final modeling approach to be used for
40 management advice.

41
42 To that point, Matt, do you want me to say a little bit about
43 the SEDAR 87 research track planning process, or do you want me
44 to just stop at the EDM wrap-up?

45
46 **DR. FREEMAN:** I would say, if you could do that briefly, that
47 would be helpful, since, as you mentioned, the EDM would
48 potentially feed into the SEDAR track.

1
2 **DR. SIEGFRIED:** Okay. Sure. To the point of the SEDAR 87
3 research track assessment, that planning process is underway,
4 and Ryan Rindone, for instance, is one of our planning team
5 members, and he is there and can reflect anything that I forget
6 during this little recap, but the Center is taking the lead
7 writing role for the terms of reference, so that we can tailor
8 the meetings to address the relevant data and modeling issues.

9
10 This isn't a first-time assessment, and it's more of a going
11 back to the drawing board, and so we think that it's important
12 to start off with the best terms of reference that address data
13 issues that we know from previous assessment efforts.

14
15 The white, brown, and pink shrimp are going to be part of the
16 research track, though we understand the pink shrimp data are
17 much sparser. At this point, we are identifying participants,
18 nailing down a schedule, and one of the things that we discussed
19 that's particularly important is how the data were group
20 results, and all of the shrimp data were group results that have
21 been conducted in the previous years and will be incorporated,
22 like effort by catch estimation, et cetera.

23
24 We anticipate that those data and methods will be reviewed
25 before SEDAR 87 and won't have to be reviewed then, and we plan
26 to approach that using a CIE desk review, and that's all I have
27 for you, Matt.

28
29 **DR. FREEMAN:** Thank you, Katie, and so, just to quickly
30 summarize, the SEDAR 87 planning team has met twice via webinar,
31 and, as Katie mentioned, we've had three webinars for the Shrimp
32 EDM Workgroup. Tentatively, staff has discussed having
33 information from the Shrimp EDM Workshop go to the Shrimp AP and
34 to the SSC in March of next year.

35
36 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Okay. Thank you, Dr. Freeman, and thank
37 you, Dr. Siegfried. I appreciate the impromptu presentation.
38 Does anybody have any further questions or comments for the
39 Shrimp Committee? Seeing none -- Ms. Boggs.

40
41 **MS. BOGGS:** So are they going to bring the fishermen into the
42 shrimp research track, and is that what I'm understanding, or
43 when will they do that?

44
45 **MR. RINDONE:** When we have the research track assessment, it
46 will go through a similar process of like looking at the data,
47 looking at the model build-out and how the data are fitting into
48 the model, and then a review, and there will be opportunities

1 for fishermen to be involved at each of those stages, and so,
2 when we get to that point, and we're scheduling out the
3 assessment workshops, we'll solicit volunteers, like we do for
4 any other SEDAR assessment.

5

6 **MS. BOGGS:** Thank you.

7

8 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Okay. Seeing no other questions or
9 comments, I would like to adjourn the Shrimp Committee.

10

11 (Whereupon, the meeting adjourned on October 24, 2022.)

12

13

- - -