NON-VOTING MEMBERS Kevin Anson (designee for Scott Bannon) Alabama Jonathan Dugas Alabama Jonathan Dugas Louisiana Tom Frazer	1	GULF OF MEXICO FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
4 Hyatt Centric New Orleans, Louisiana 6 January 29, 2024 8 VOTING MEMBERS 10 Chris Schieble (designee for Ryan Montegut)Louisiana 11 Kesley Banks		
5 Hyatt Centric New Orleans, Louisiana 6 January 29, 2024 8 9 9 VOTING MEMBERS 10 Chris Schieble (designee for Ryan Montegut)Louisiana 11 Kesley Banks		SHRIMP MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE
6 January 29, 2024 9 VOTING MEMBERS 10 Chris Schieble (designee for Ryan Montegut)Louisiana 11 Billy Broussard		
7 January 29, 2024 8 9 9 VOTING MEMBERS 10 Chris Schieble (designee for Ryan Montegut)Louisiana 11 Kesley Banks		Hyatt Centric New Orleans, Louisiana
8 VOTING MEMBERS 9 VOTING MEMBERS 11 Kesley Banks	-	To mark 20 2024
9 VOTING MEMBERS 10 Chris Schieble (designee for Ryan Montegut)Louisiana 11 Billy Broussard		January 29, 2024
10 Chris Schieble (designee for Ryan Montegut)Louisiana 11 Kesley Banks		
11 Kesley Banks. Texas 12 Billy Broussard. Louisiana 13 Dale Diaz Mississippi 14 Dave Donaldson. GSMFC 15 Dakus Geeslin (designee for Robin Ricchers). Florida 16 Anthony Overton. Alabama 17 Anthony Overton. Alabama 18 Joe Spraggins. Mississippi 19 Andy Strelcheck. Mississippi 19 Andy Strelcheck. Mississippi 20 NON-VOTING MEMBERS Mississippi 21 Kevin Anson (designee for Scott Bannon). .Alabama 22 Non-VOTING MEMBERS		
12 Billy Broussard. Louisiana 13 Dale Diaz. Mississippi 14 Dave Donaldson. GSMFC 15 Dakus Geeslin (designee for Robin Riechers). Faras 16 Bob Gill. Florida 17 Anthony Overton. Alabama 18 Joe Spraggins. Mississippi 19 Andy Strelcheck. Mississippi 19 Andy Strelcheck. Mississippi 20 Troy Williamson. Texas 21 NON-VOTING MEMBERS Mississippi 22 NON-VOTING MEMBERS Alabama 23 Kevin Anson (designee for Scott Bannon). Alabama 24 Susan Boggs. Alabama 25 Onathan Dugas. Louisiana 26 Michael McDermott. Mississispi 27 Michael McDermott. Mississippi 28 Assane Diagne.		
13 Dale Diaz		
14 Dave Donaldson		-
15 Dakus Geeslin (designee for Robin Riechers)		
16 Bob Gill.		
17 Anthony Overton. Alabama 18 Joe Spraggins. Mississippi 19 Andy Strelcheck. NNFS 20 Troy Williamson. Texas 21 NON-VOTING MEMBERS Kevin Anson (designee for Scott Bannon). Alabama 23 Kevin Anson (designee for Scott Bannon). Alabama 24 Donathan Dugas. Louisiana 25 Tom Frazer. Florida 26 Michael McDermott. Mississippi 27 Michael McDermott. Florida 28 Ed Walker. Florida 29 Assane Diagne. Economist 30 STAFF Economist 30 Matt Freeman. Economist 31 STAFF Sconomist 32 Assane Diagne. Florida 33 Staff Staff 34 John Froeschke. Deputy Director 35 Beth Hager. Florida 36 Mary Levy. NOAA General Counsel 37 Marasha Mendez-Ferrer. Fishery Biologist 38 <		-
18 Joe Spraggins. Mississippi 19 Andy Strelcheck. .NMFS 20 Troy Williamson. .Texas 21 NON-VOTING MEMBERS		
19 Andy Strelcheck. .NMFS 20 Troy Williamson. .Texas 21 MON-VOTING MEMBERS		-
20 Troy Williamson		
21 NON-VOTING MEMBERS 23 Kevin Anson (designee for Scott Bannon)		-
23 Kevin Anson (designee for Scott Bannon)Alabama 24 Susan BoggsAlabama 25 Jonathan DugasAlabama 26 Tom Frazer	21	1
24 Susan Boggs	22	NON-VOTING MEMBERS
24 Susan Boggs	23	Kevin Anson (designee for Scott Bannon)Alabama
26Tom Frazer	24	Susan BoggsAlabama
27Michael McDermott.Mississippi28C.J. Sweetman (designee for Jessica McCawley)Florida29Ed Walker.Florida30STAFFEconomist31Matt Freeman.Economist33John Froeschke.Deputy Director35Beth Hager.Administrative Officer36Lisa Hollensead.Fishery Biologist37Mary Levy.NOAA General Counsel38Natasha Mendez-Ferrer.Fishery Biologist39Emily Muehlstein.Public Information Officer40Ryan Rindone.Lead Fishery Biologist/SEDAR Liaison41Bernadine Roy.Office Manager42Carrie Simmons.Executive Director43Gomerset.Fisheries Outreach Specialist44OTHER PARTICIPANTSAlan Lowther.48Kerry Marhefka.SAFMC	25	Jonathan DugasLouisiana
28 C.J. Sweetman (designee for Jessica McCawley)Florida 29 Ed WalkerFlorida 30 STAFF 32 Assane DiagneEconomist 33 Matt FreemanEconomist 34 John FroeschkeDeputy Director 35 Beth HagerAdministrative Officer 36 Lisa HollenseadFishery Biologist 37 Mary LevyNOAA General Counsel 38 Natasha Mendez-FerrerFishery Biologist 39 Emily Muehlstein 40 Public Information Officer 41 Bernadine RoyOffice Manager 42 Carrie SimmonsAdministrative & Communications Assistant 43 Carly SomersetAdministrative & Communications Assistant 44 Carly SomersetFisheries Outreach Specialist 45 OTHER PARTICIPANTS 47 Alan Lowther	26	
29 Ed WalkerFlorida 30 STAFF 32 Assane DiagneEconomist 33 Matt FreemanEconomist 34 John FroeschkeDeputy Director 35 Beth Hager		= =
3031STAFF32Assane Diagne.33Matt Freeman.34John Froeschke.35Beth Hager.36Deputy Director37Beth Hager.38Hollensead.39Natasha Mendez-Ferrer39Matt Stein39Public Information Officer39Emily Muehlstein40Public Information Officer41Shireman.42Carrie Simmons.43Carlia Shireman.44Carly Somerset.45Other PARTICIPANTS47Alan Lowther.48Kerry Marhefka.		
STAFF32Assane Diagne		Ed WalkerFlorida
32Assane Diagne		
33Matt Freeman		
34John Froeschke.Deputy Director35Beth Hager.Administrative Officer36Lisa Hollensead.Fishery Biologist37Mary Levy.NOAA General Counsel38Natasha Mendez-Ferrer.Fishery Biologist39Emily Muehlstein.Public Information Officer40Ryan Rindone.Lead Fishery Biologist/SEDAR Liaison41Bernadine Roy.Office Manager42Carrie Simmons.Executive Director43Camilla Shireman.Administrative & Communications Assistant44Carly Somerset.Fisheries Outreach Specialist45Alan Lowther.NMFS48Kerry Marhefka.SAFMC		
35Beth HagerAdministrative Officer36Lisa HollenseadFishery Biologist37Mary LevyNOAA General Counsel38Natasha Mendez-FerrerFishery Biologist39Emily MuehlsteinPublic Information Officer40Ryan RindoneLead Fishery Biologist/SEDAR Liaison41Bernadine RoyLead Fishery Biologist/SEDAR Liaison42Carrie SimmonsExecutive Director43Camilla ShiremanAdministrative & Communications Assistant44Carly SomersetFisheries Outreach Specialist45Alan LowtherNMFS48Kerry MarhefkaSAFMC		
36Lisa Hollensead		
37Mary Levy.NOAA General Counsel38Natasha Mendez-Ferrer		
Natasha Mendez-Ferrer	37	
40 Ryan RindoneLead Fishery Biologist/SEDAR Liaison 41 Bernadine RoyOffice Manager 42 Carrie SimmonsExecutive Director 43 Camilla ShiremanAdministrative & Communications Assistant 44 Carly SomersetFisheries Outreach Specialist 45 46 OTHER PARTICIPANTS 47 Alan LowtherNMFS 48 Kerry MarhefkaSAFMC	38	
41 Bernadine RoyOffice Manager 42 Carrie SimmonsExecutive Director 43 Camilla ShiremanAdministrative & Communications Assistant 44 Carly SomersetFisheries Outreach Specialist 45 46 OTHER PARTICIPANTS 47 Alan LowtherNMFS 48 Kerry MarhefkaSAFMC	39	
42 Carrie SimmonsExecutive Director 43 Camilla ShiremanAdministrative & Communications Assistant 44 Carly SomersetFisheries Outreach Specialist 45 46 OTHER PARTICIPANTS 47 Alan LowtherNMFS 48 Kerry MarhefkaSAFMC	40	
43 Camilla ShiremanAdministrative & Communications Assistant 44 Carly SomersetFisheries Outreach Specialist 45 46 OTHER PARTICIPANTS 47 Alan LowtherNMFS 48 Kerry MarhefkaSAFMC		
44 Carly SomersetFisheries Outreach Specialist 45 46 OTHER PARTICIPANTS 47 Alan LowtherNMFS 48 Kerry MarhefkaSAFMC		
45 46 <u>OTHER PARTICIPANTS</u> 47 Alan Lowther		
46 OTHER PARTICIPANTS 47 Alan Lowther		Carly Somerset
47Alan LowtherNMFS48Kerry MarhefkaSAFMC		
48 Kerry MarhefkaSAFMC		
	49	1

1	Nathan PutmanLGL
2	John WalterSEFSC
3	
4	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	
3	Table of Contents
4	
5	Table of Motions4
6	
7	Adoption of Agenda and Approval of Minutes and Action Guide and
8	Next Steps5
9	
10	Shrimp Futures Project: Workshops to Address Current Challenges
11	and Future Scenario Planning6
12	
13	Update on Early Adopter Program for Shrimp cVMS14
14	
15	Adjournment
16	
17	
18	

1	TABLE OF MOTIONS		
2			
3	PAGE 12: Motion to recommend the council support	the	Shrimp
4	Futures Project. The motion carried on page 14.		
5			
6			
7			
8			

1 The Shrimp Management Committee of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery 2 Management Council convened at The Hyatt Centric, French Quarter 3 in New Orleans, Louisiana on Monday afternoon, January 29, 2024, 4 and was called to order by Chairman Chris Schieble.

5

6

7 8

9

16

27

29

ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL OF MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS

10 **CHAIRMAN CHRIS SCHIEBLE:** At this time, I would like to call the 11 Shrimp Committee to order. The members of the committee are 12 myself as chair, Mr. Gill is the vice chair, Dr. Banks, Mr. 13 Broussard, Mr. Diaz, Mr. Donaldson, Dr. Overton, Mr. Geeslin, 14 and General Spraggins, Mr. Strelcheck, and Mr. Williamson. All 15 the committee members are present today in-person.

17 The first item on the agenda is Adoption of the Agenda, Tab D, 18 Does anyone have any opposition the agenda as Number 1. 19 written? Seeing no opposition, the agenda passes as written. 20 The next item is Approval of the October 2023 Meeting Minutes, 21 Tab D, Number 2. Does anyone have any additions, deletions, or 22 edits to the minutes from the last Shrimp Committee meeting? 23 Seeing none, is anyone opposed to passing the minutes as The minutes are adopted as written. 24 written? Next is the 25 Action Guide, Tab D, Number 3, and I believe Dr. Freeman is on 26 the virtual world, right?

28 DR. MATTHEW FREEMAN: Yes, sir. Can you hear me?

30 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Yes, sir, we can hear you. Take it away, 31 please. 32

33 DR. FREEMAN: Terrific. For the first agenda item in the action 34 guide, the committee will receive information on the progress of 35 the early adopter program for cellular vessel monitoring 36 systems, cVMS, with the Gulf of Mexico federal shrimp fleet. 37 The committee should ask question and then provide feedback to 38 NMFS on the early adopter program, noting as well its relevance 39 to the draft shrimp framework action.

40

43

41 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Freeman. Is Lowther 42 on? Can you hear us?

44 DR. ALAN LOWTHER: (Dr. Lowther's comments are not audible on 45 the recording.)

46

47 **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CARRIE SIMMONS:** Alan, can you call, instead 48 of going through your computer, because I don't think you're

1 using a headset, and that's probably causing the problem. Maybe we can move things around and go to Dr. Walter's presentation 2 3 first and come back? 4 5 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Dr. Walter, are you ready to go, if I get Dr. Freeman to go through the next action guide item? 6 A11 7 right. Dr. Freeman, can you skip over to Action Item V, please? 8 SHRIMP FUTURES PROJECT: WORKSHOPS TO ADDRESS CURRENT CHALLENGES 9 10 AND FUTURE SCENARIO PLANNING 11 12 DR. FREEMAN: Yes, sir. Certainly. For Agenda Item 5, the 13 committee will be presented with information on NMFS' plans for 14 workshops with the Gulf shrimp industry. These workshops are intended to assist NMFS in addressing current challenges faced 15 16 by the Gulf shrimp industry as well as in developing plans for 17 various future scenarios. The committee should ask questions 18 and provide feedback to NMFS on these planned workshops. 19 20 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Thank you, Dr. Freeman. Dr. Walter, take it 21 away, please. 22 23 All right. If staff could pull up the DR. WALTER: presentation. All right. Great. Thanks, and I'm glad to be 24 25 here today to present the first in what we're calling Shrimp Futures, which is a project to address the current challenges 26 27 and the future of the shrimp fishery in the Southeast, both the 28 Gulf of Mexico as well as the South Atlantic, and so the project 29 right now is really just getting started. 30 31 Originally, we had talked about conducting a management strategy 32 evaluation, and I think we learned, fairly early on in that, 33 even in our first workshops that we did, that that wouldn't 34 necessarily be the right tool to address all the challenges that 35 are facing the fishery, and that we really need to pivot a bit, 36 to take a more holistic and broader approach, to bring in more 37 partners, and more players to it, and that the problems were not 38 things that were solely related to management, and many of those 39 problems transcend management and go into a lot of the other 40 factors that affect the entire supply chain, as well as other 41 factors affecting the shrimp fishery. 42 43 Right now, the reason that we're presenting this is that we, as an agency, don't want to go on this alone, and this is not 44 45 something that we think can be addressed solely by NMFS, or by 46 NOAA, and it's going to have to take other government players to be involved in it, because there's many other agencies that work 47 on shrimp, and then there's many other players who are going to 48

be integral partners in this, including the Gulf States Marine 1 Fisheries Commission, who has a future of gulf seafood tasking, 2 3 I think, by its commission, and Gulf States has said that they can help with a lot of this, and they're well poised, 4 and 5 positioned, to partner on this, and I think we're going to 6 hopefully accumulate some other partners as we move forward with 7 this. 8 9 I think it's pretty evident, to many people who have been paying attention, that the current state of the fishery is not good, 10 and there's a lot of problems it's facing, both in foreign 11 12 imports, high fuel prices, labor shortages, challenges related 13 to weather and disasters, and then I think everyone also feels that it's a fishery that has a very high economic and cultural 14 15 importance to this region. 16 17 It's something that is part of our culture down here, and it's 18 something that I think that most people want to see exist, and I 19 think that's the key element of Shrimp Futures, is to say what 20 do we want out of the fishery, by say the year 2050, and I think we could probably all agree that we want to see a healthy, 21 22 sustainable, wild-capture fishery in existence that's both 23 because the key to its profitable, sustainability, and 24 sustaining that fishery, is that it is profitable, and that it 25 exists to provide seafood for the nation. 26 27 Other challenges that it's facing are that the future is uncertain, and I think that's one of the things that -- So far, 28 29 we don't have a lot of funding for this project, but some of the 30 funding that we do have in our climate, ecosystem, and fisheries 31 initiatives are going to support this, and in the sense that we 32 are going to be using future projections of what the climate is likely to bring, both for the resource, but also for the 33 34 environment and for the communities, and incorporating those 35 uncertainties to be able to identify whether we'll meet the 36 goals for 2050 are going to be key to being able to identify the 37 key actions that might need to be taken to ensure that that 38 happens. 39 There's a number of ongoing projects, and you might want to know 40 where those fit in, and we currently have the SEDAR 87 research 41 track assessment for brown, pink, and white shrimp, and that is 42 43 largely a separate process that's on its own timeframe, and we

think that's going to proceed fairly well, and that we've got a process in place for doing the stock assessment for shrimp, and that will give us our needed status assessment, but it won't address many of the other challenges.

48

1 We've also been embarking upon our equity and environmental justice focus groups. 2 The presentations of that have been reported to this council, and we'll also hear about the 3 implementation plans for that later on this week, and one of the 4 5 key themes that resonated in those focus groups was on the importance of the shrimp fishery to many of our communities and 6 7 how the Shrimp Futures has a strong element of EEJ encompassed 8 in it. 9

10 As I noted, the climate, ecosystem, and fisheries initiative, 11 which is where a fair bit of the IRA funding is going from 12 within our agency, to build climate-resilient and climate-ready 13 fisheries and communities. 14

The objectives, right now, are to characterize the current state 15 16 of the fishery and the major challenges, to develop a short, 17 medium, and long-term vision for the fishery, and hopefully that 18 vision is something that this council can adopt as something 19 that they would want to see happen, and I think that's key to 20 whether we're going to get to where we want to be in 2050, is 21 being able to take that long-term view, and then that allows us 22 to identify and address the major pathways and impediments 23 towards achieving that vision. We can identify where we want to 24 go, chart the future with scenario planning, as to how we're going to get there, and then identify -- We're probably going to 25 need to make some interventions to achieve that, and then we can 26 27 begin the path of doing those interventions, whatever they may 28 be.

30 These are our, unfortunately, Number 1 through 1, and they should be 1 through 4, but the first action is conceptual 31 32 modeling, and this is something that our social sciences 33 research group has excelled at, which is working collaboratively 34 with stakeholders to develop a conceptual model encompassing all 35 of the factors that affect the fishery, from the economic, 36 social, biophysical, and regulatory, and mapping that out to 37 identify what are the pressures, and the drivers, of the 38 fishery.

29

39

40 I think it's pretty clear that what we've heard is that there's 41 a lot of pressures that are external, such as foreign imports, 42 and there's also pressures that are likely internal, and I 43 think, by mapping those out, that allows us to then build the 44 conceptual model that we would then project forward with 45 scenario planning. 46

47 Then vision identification, and, as I noted, it's critical to 48 develop those visions of where you want to go. If you don't

1 have the vision for where you want to go, it's impossible to 2 achieve it.

Then uncertainty analysis, identifying the critical factors 4 5 influencing the fishery, developing a list of short, medium, and long-term uncertainties that will shape the future, and the 6 7 short, medium, and long-term actions that could affect the 8 future, and that scenario planning is basically forward 9 projections of the fishery, and the communities, with the climate linkage, because the climate is going to be something we 10 have to account for, that our fisheries are going to be affected 11 12 by weather, that animals themselves are likely to be affected by 13 climate, and then how do we get to where we want to go by 2050. 14

15 I talked about potential partnerships, and one of the key 16 partnerships is with the National Seafood Strategy, where it's 17 likely that this project is going to become one of the regional 18 focus projects for the National Seafood Strategy.

20 It meshes very well with the objectives of that strategy, which 21 we'll hear more about from Dr. Michael Rubino later this week, 22 and, by having a regional implementation of that strategy, it 23 allows us to both leverage the national strengths of the National Seafood Strategy, of which the whole of government 24 25 approach is part of that, in terms of opening the doors in other agencies, where we need to be able to find out how they could 26 27 help support this, for instance, the Economic Development Agency, FDA, International Affairs and Seafood Safety, and other 28 29 organizations that might play a role in the shrimp fishery and 30 might have a part in unlocking some of the barriers and 31 challenges.

32

19

3

33 Then, as I noted, we have partnerships with the Gulf States 34 Marine Fisheries Commission, and we're seeking the support of 35 the council here, and we don't need financial resources, but we 36 think that the council is an essential partner, particularly 37 council manages the resource that because this this is 38 addressing, and then we also seek additional partnerships, and I 39 think that the state partners are going to be key here as well, because I know that the fisheries are quite valuable, and 40 41 important, resources for each of the southeastern states.

42

43 That's it. Okay, and the one other thing that I will note is 44 that we'll also be giving the same presentation to the South 45 Atlantic Fishery Management Council, and they've expressed a 46 similar interest in this, and I think that their shrimp 47 fisheries are also facing many of the exact same realities, and 48 so I think we will also embark on this in the South Atlantic,

1 and you will note that we have not presented anything about the 2 timing for the workshops, or what they're going to look like, 3 and that is actually intentional.

5 I think one of the reasons is -- What we need to do is, one, build-out our partnerships, in terms of who else is onboard, and 6 then what those workshops are going to look like is going to 7 8 follow from that, and I think one of the key things we found is 9 that, when we did have our first workshop with stakeholders, it was pretty quickly evident that many of the issues transcended 10 11 what we, as fisheries biologists and sociologists, could 12 address, and we needed to qet some more information, 13 particularly from the other government agencies, and, until we 14 have some of those contacts, and information, we weren't going 15 to be very effective in addressing the problems, and so that's 16 why we haven't scheduled those workshops, and I think this is a 17 conversation we want to embark upon, in how those actually 18 Thanks, and I would be happy to take more questions on happen. 19 this.

21 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: All right. Thank you, Dr. Walter. Does 22 anyone have any questions for Dr. Walter regarding the workshops 23 coming up? No questions, and I just have one comment. I know 24 you all were going to present this to our Louisiana Shrimp 25 Taskforce, last week I think it was, but the meeting was cancelled, because we had office shutdowns, 26 due to winter 27 weather, and I believe the meeting is rescheduled for March 6, at our headquarters office, and so you'll be able to come or 28 29 participate virtually, through Zoom, to the taskforce, or come 30 in-person, and it's your choice for that, but I would encourage 31 you to try to see if you could present this again, and I think 32 it would be beneficial for the taskforce. Mr. Walker. 33

34 MR. ED WALKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I see that one of the 35 asks here would be council support, and how can we provide you 36 council support? Is there any specific we can do to show the 37 council support for this?

38

4

20

39 DR. WALTER: Well, I think one show of support was the letter 40 sent to the National Seafood Strategy and Michael Rubino, which 41 actually was recommending and asking for some regional attention 42 for this, and I think that got attention of the National Seafood 43 Strategy, and that's, I think, why they're seeing this as 44 probably one of the regional focus projects.

45

46 Beyond that, I think that lending staff time, perhaps, to some 47 of these workshops, because there's a lot of talented staff at 48 the council, and then some of the climate staff who are going to

1 be added additionally I think are going to have a particular 2 skillset that will be valuable to this. We saw the proposal 3 from the Executive Director to add several staff members, and I think they could be valuable here in supporting this. 4 5 6 Beyond that, I think it's a question of how much the council 7 wants to be a part of this, in terms of leaning in, and I guess 8 I would reflect that back on it's kind of at your discretion how 9 much further ___ Whether it's wait and watch, active participation, lend staff time, and I'm open to conversation. 10 11 Thanks. 12 13 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. We have Mr. Diaz and then Mr. Gill 14 with a question. 15

16 MR. DALE DIAZ: I just wanted to make a comment, and I want to 17 applaud the effort, Dr. Walter, and I think it's worthwhile, and 18 I personally think the council needs to be a partner. We do 19 manage the fishery in offshore waters, and I think this is -- I 20 mean, I'm from the Mississippi coast, and this is very important 21 culturally, I mean, to the Mississippi coast. There are many 22 old family names there that ended up on the Mississippi coast 23 because they came here for the seafood industry, and some still participate, and some don't, because it's getting so tough to 24 25 stay in it right now, and I can't think of a fishery that is in more need of help than the shrimp industry is right now, and so 26 27 I'm definitely in support of the council being a partner. Thank 28 you.

30 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Thank you, Mr. Diaz. Mr. Gill.

32 BOB GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I'm in full MR. 33 agreement with Dr. Walter's and Dale's comments regarding -- You 34 know, clearly, this is right up our alley, and we do need to 35 support it, and I guess I would like to ask how would you like 36 to proceed, and would you like a motion recommending the council 37 support it, or something else that we can get on the record and 38 be thinking about this going forward, how to integrate it with 39 all of our other activities?

40

47

29

31

41 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** I think that's a good point, and maybe 42 needed, and I wanted to ask the same question. When you say 43 "integrate", is that something that we want to consider, having 44 one of these meetings in joint with a council meeting, at a 45 different location, or is that not possible, just before we make 46 a motion.

48 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CARRIE SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and so

I think we would need to understand a little bit more of the format of this, because I think, when we had the focus group meeting at the council office, it wasn't in conjunction with a meeting, and I don't know that that's the best approach, but that was a slightly different format.

7 I think we're going to have to engage local leadership, Sea 8 Grant and others, to really get the industry to come out, the 9 shrimp industry to come out, to this type of event, and I don't know the council format is the best format, Mr. Chair, and then, 10 11 just real quickly, while I have the mic, just regarding the 12 Inflation Reduction Act proposal that the council looked at this 13 morning, we're only looking at hiring one additional fulltime staff member, the ecosystem analyst, and so we are looking at 14 15 contracting other folks, such as the social scientist, to help 16 with those engagements, and then engage our other committees 17 that we already have populated that the council normally uses, 18 but it's not like we're going to hire a bunch more staff to 19 operationalize that proposal.

21 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Okay. Thank you, Dr. Simmons. Mr. Gill, I 22 don't want to put you on the spot, but do you have a motion 23 already cooked up over there?

25 MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm sure I can come up with one, but, given the rather generalized nature of what has been 26 27 presented, and details are not known, and I think that's something we determine going forward, but I do think that we 28 29 ought to put on the record that we support this endeavor. My 30 motion is to recommend the council support the Shrimp Futures 31 Project.

33 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: It's seconded by Mr. Broussard. Dr. 34 Simmons.

36 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and so would 37 it be helpful if we had a little bit more information about this 38 project, and I think you've already been talking to Dr. Freeman, 39 Dr. Walter, about the Shrimp AP meeting, to see if we could get 40 a little bit more information in front of them, get their 41 feedback on the format, see where it fits into either our 42 administrative or the Inflation Reduction Act efforts, and then 43 bring that back to the council?

44

6

20

24

32

35

45 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Go ahead, Dr. Walter.

46

47 **DR. WALTER:** I think, yes, certainly your AP could play a very 48 good role here, because they advise the council, and I think we

1 would be more than happy with engaging with the AP on this. Our only -- You know, my only concern is there are sometimes the 2 3 formalities that become difficult, and I hope -- From an agency perspective, that we have a lot of formalities, with regard to 4 5 meetings, that make it rather difficult, at times, for us to hold meetings of different types, and the council has similar 6 7 rules, and so, until we flesh out what the needs for these 8 workshops are, I think I'm less inclined to be too worried about 9 fitting into the structure of council or agency, and say that the general recommendation here is probably good to allow us to 10 proceed forward, but then allow those details to be addressed as 11 12 we take it further.

13

14 with enough think, partners who have some different Ι 15 flexibility, in terms of how they hold meetings, and with what groups, and that actually plays to the strengths of being able 16 17 to get multiple different stakeholders to the table, because 18 like the council has a certain formal structure, and I guess I 19 would say let's try to work that through, and, yes, we can bring 20 something to the AP at I think the March AP.

22 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Dr. Simmons.

23

21

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I think that 24 25 would be really helpful, because I just kind of feel like it's -- It could be a little, and it could be a lot, and I don't 26 27 really know the level of support, from a staffing perspective, that we're providing, and I think it's great to support the 28 29 effort, and it's great to try to integrate it better maybe into 30 the Inflation Reduction Act effort that we're working on, and I 31 just am a little bit concerned about bandwidth for everything 32 that we have going on and what we're agreeing to right now, 33 without understanding more about the format.

34

35 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Okay. Thank you, Dr. Simmons. I might 36 suggest that, if you do present this, if you're able to at the 37 Louisiana Shrimp Taskforce, you might get some good feedback 38 from that taskforce that you could then bring to the April 39 council meeting as well, and maybe some more detail, further 40 detail, on this.

41

42 DR. WALTER: One thing we've learned, in some of our initial 43 conversations, is that we don't have the answers, and that we 44 have some techniques that can be used to derive the answers, and 45 we have some connections that we can make, through the National 46 Seafood Strategy, et cetera, to being able to try to find those, 47 but, if we're too prescriptive about exactly what we will do, 48 without listening to feedback from people, we will probably go

in the wrong direction, and that's what we do not want to do, 1 particularly because we really, in a lot of cases, only have a 2 3 short time to have conversations with a lot of people, and a lot of people involved in this fishery, because we might only get 4 5 one chance to talk to them, and you only get one chance to make a first impression, and they will tell you -- If we get out 6 there, they will tell us what they need, but that will then set 7 8 the clock for expectations for something to happen. 9 I think we want to do this right, so that we ensure that there 10 11 is a process for actually achieving some success, and we don't 12 waste stakeholders' time by just listening, and then something 13 not happening, because I think that's a key to achieving stakeholder burnout, by not having that process in place, and 14 that's why we're not jumping in, because the solutions are going 15 16 to take some time. Thanks. 17 18 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Thank you, Dr. Walter. Do we have any more 19 questions regarding this agenda item? Do we have Dr. Lowther 20 back on the phone? I'm sorry. We have a motion that I forgot 21 Do we have any other comments on the motion? about. All in 22 favor of the motion; any opposed. The motion passes. 23 24 Now we will go back to Agenda Item IV. Dr. Lowther, if you are 25 ready on the phone, we'll try to pull up your presentation 26 again. 27 28 UPDATE ON EARLY ADOPTER PROGRAM FOR SHRIMP CVMS 29 30 DR. LOWTHER: All right, and thank you very much, Mr. Chair. 31 Sorry for the technical difficulties, and so I'm providing an 32 update on the early adopter program for the shrimp vessel 33 monitoring system, for the cellular vessel monitoring system. 34 35 Just let me briefly kind of review, and I know we have heard 36 this kind of review several times, and so I will try to go 37 through it quickly, but the cellular ELB ceased transmitting 38 information on December 31, 2020, and so we had -- So we've been 39 looking for alternative ways to collect effort information. 40 Basically, what we've gone to is a manual retrieval of SD cards, 41 and so mail-in retrieval, mailing back. 42 43 In the FY2023 budget, there was a congressional appropriation of \$850,000 for NMFS, in consultation with the council and the 44 45 industry, to continue the development, and the implementation, of a newly-approved electronic logbook program, and thanks to 46 everybody who worked on getting that approved. I know the SSA 47 played a big role in that, and that was very much appreciated. 48

2 In May of 2023, we had a special AP meeting to discuss a spend 3 plan for these funds, and one of the results of that meeting was 4 that \$360,000 was allocated to this early adopter program. 5

1

18

24

34

6 Where are we on that? The first step was we had to figure out a way to spend the money, which, if you deal with the federal 7 8 government, you know that's not always the easiest thing, and so 9 we provided the funds to the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission, and we really appreciate them working with us on 10 11 this, and so they sent out a request for proposals, in August of 12 2023, that would include elements of what we thought should be 13 including in the program, recruitment and outreach, 14 installation, the travel to get to the vessels to install 15 devices, providing the devices and two years of cellular 16 service, and then receiving the data and troubleshooting any 17 problems that came up.

19 As part of that process, in September of 2023, LGL Ecological 20 Associates was awarded the contract, and, you know, LGL has a 21 long, and very valuable, history working with the Gulf shrimp 22 industry, and so I think that was fortunate that they were 23 awarded the contract.

25 In the proposal, LGL provided, based on the RFP, this timeline for how the project will work, and you can see we have developed 26 27 the outreach and reimbursement plans, and that's been done last The solicitation of volunteers, that process has started, 28 fall. and I will say more about that in just a minute, and then you 29 30 see that's expected to continue through July, at least, and then 31 the installation of devices, again, was expected to start in 32 December of 2023, and we do have a couple on vessels, but I will 33 talk more about that in just a minute too.

Then the process for the reimbursement and cellular payments, and troubleshooting and operations, and so those are continuing activities. Those last two, you know, are anticipated to go through the length of the program, and so all the way through August of 2026.

40 41 Based on two rounds of testing, three vendors were invited to participate in this program: Atlantic Radio and Telephone, with 42 43 the Zen devices; Viatrax, with the Boat Command device; and the Woods Hole group with the NEMO devices. 44 Essentially, other 45 devices could be included, if a vendor was able to demonstrate 46 that it would be a viable option. I don't think we've had any additional ones, and so we're going with those three for now. 47 48

All three of those vendors have successfully transmitted data to 1 2 the Science Center through an API, and so, for those, one of the concerns that -- One of the things of the early adopter program 3 is that the data is transmitted directly to the Southeast 4 5 Fisheries Science Center, and, like I said, all three vendors have successfully demonstrated that they can push that data 6 through the API. In addition, LGL has agreements in place for 7 8 purchasing and shipping devices from the vendors. 9

As you can imagine, outreach is a big component of this program, and so LGL has been active on this front, and they presented the program to the Southern Shrimp Alliance board meeting last December, and they're working on setting up additional meetings, and so some of the types of meetings that they would like to attend are regional shrimp association meetings.

16

27

37

17 They do have a meeting on the books this Wednesday at the Port 18 Arthur Area Shrimp Association, and, also, they will be 19 presenting at the Louisiana Shrimp Taskforce meeting that was 20 mentioned in the last discussion, and I don't know why I put the 21 Port Arthur Shrimp Association on here twice, and I apologize 22 for that, but I know that we're looking for more outreach 23 opportunities, and so, if you have suggestions for meetings that LGL could attend, either in-person or virtually, you know, those 24 25 kind of suggestions would be very valuable, because, you know, 26 we need to get the word out.

28 Our communications team, along with LGL, has put together some 29 outreach materials, and there was a NOAA webstory. We put out a 30 fact sheet on that, and there was a notice in the Fishery 31 Bulletin, letting people know about this program and that we 32 were soliciting volunteers, and then LGL also put together a 33 document that just shows the different devices compared, and I 34 will show that in the next slide, but they have told me that 35 some direct contacts from shrimpers have resulted from the 36 outreach materials.

38 This is the information sheet that LGL put together for the 39 early adopter program, and I should acknowledge the LGL staff 40 that we've been working with, and they've been great to work 41 with. They're very excited about the program, and they're very energetic, Nathan Putnam and Taylor Beyea, and so they've been 42 43 good to work with, and I think, you know, if you have suggestions for additional outreach opportunities, they would be 44 45 very interested in considering those. 46

47 This was more of a technical information about what the three 48 devices were that the shrimpers could select from, and so I 1 think I will let you look at that, but we don't need to go over 2 the details of this one, but, again, it's the Zen, the Boat 3 Command, and the NEMO.

5 When I first turned in the presentation, it was eleven vessels that had signed up to participate, and I just asked Nathan 6 today, and he told me that we're up to sixteen, and so we're 7 8 making some progress. Three units have already been installed, 9 and I don't know if any of those five additional ones have already been installed, and so I forgot to ask that question, 10 11 but the timing for more installations will probably depend on 12 having the vessels -- Obviously, the vessels have to be back in port, and, ideally, LGL would be able to have a critical number 13 14 of installations in a particular area, just so they're not traveling to do each individual installation, but if they could 15 16 set up, you know, ten installations in the Brownsville area, 17 then that, you know, makes it a more efficient process, and so 18 they're working on that.

19

4

20 I think the next steps are the continued focus on outreach and recruitment, and that's really the biggest thing here. The way 21 22 the program was structured was it was set up that, you know, 23 the funds run out, we need to until keep getting more volunteers, and we estimate that we should -- With the funding 24 25 that we have from last year, we should be able to get probably from fifty to seventy-five vessels, depending on the other 26 27 costs, and so that's -- You know, we want to at least get that many into the program. Once we do that, then the installation, 28 29 and then they can start monitoring the data transmission, and 30 the data quality, coming out of these devices.

I will take your questions, and I do have one more slide after this, and so why don't we go ahead and go to that? Council staff asked me to also give a brief return on -- I'm sorry. A brief update on SD card returns, and so I don't know if you want me to go through this now, or would you rather talk about the early adopter and come back to this?

39 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: No, and that's fine. Why don't you keep on 40 going through this, and then we'll go through questions on the 41 first part afterwards.

42

38

31

43 DR. LOWTHER: Okay. Anyway, I have provided this information 44 before, but now we've had a couple more mailings, and so, in 45 terms of how the SD card process is going, and you can see that 46 we did start getting more back starting with the Mailing 4 and 47 Mailing 5, and a lot of that is attributable to the SSA-funded 48 boots-on-the-ground, the so-called boots-on-the-ground effort,

1 where Gary Graham, and some others, have been going around and 2 actually going to the vessels and asking them for the SD cards 3 and getting those returned, and so that has proved beneficial. 4 5 You might notice that the Mailing 5 -- The number sent out was rather small, and the reason for that is that Garv had just 6 started his efforts, and so a lot of cards had been returned in 7 8 the previous two or three months, before that mailing, and so we 9 didn't see a need to bother these people by having them send another one right back, and so that's why that number is 10 11 smaller. 12 13 I think it's important to note that these return rates don't 14 tell the entire story, and the data quality from the ELB devices 15 has been an issue, and that was something that, if you saw the 16 results of the last round of cellular ELB testing, you saw that 17 the old ELB devices did not have a very good performance, and 18 they were failing at a pretty high rate, and so, overall, during 19 this process, we've received data from 410 ELB devices, and, of 20 these, 185 have given us what we would call good data, and the 21 criteria that was used for that was that no more than 20 percent 22 of the data was having a future date, was null, or had bad GPS 23 values.

You know, even though the return rates were higher, it looks like what we're getting is a higher amount of not very good data, and so we're -- You know, we're still in a position where we really need to act on some sort of new methodology here, and J guess, with that, I will pause and stop for questions. Thank you. I appreciate it.

24

31

44

32 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Thank you, Dr. Lowther. Okay. I quess I will start with questions on the SC card returns that you just 33 34 showed, and then we'll go back to your original portion of the 35 presentation, with some questions on that, if anyone has any, 36 and so does anyone have questions on the SD card return rate 37 information? Seeing none, okay, and we'll go back to the first 38 part of the presentation. I've got one quick one for you. 39

40 You said that the number of sign-ups was eleven, and then 41 there's an update on that slide to sixteen. Those five 42 additional sign-ups, are they in the same two states, Alabama 43 and Texas?

45 DR. LOWTHER: So that's what I was saying where I didn't -- I 46 didn't get the details on the additional sign-ups. I do know 47 that -- I think Nathan and Taylor are on the call, and so I 48 don't know if they have the ability to chime-in on that.

2 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Fair enough. I would like to thank Okay. 3 your presentation, and also thank you for your you for flexibility in being able to sign-up for the Louisiana Shrimp 4 5 Taskforce updated date in March. I know that we originally had you signed-up as well, but then the weather cancelled the 6 meeting, and so thank you for being flexible in moving it, and I 7 8 think it will be beneficial to get this in front of the 9 taskforce. Do we have any other questions for Dr. Lowther? Mr. 10 Strelcheck. 11

12 MR. ANDY STRELCHECK: Andy Strelcheck. Hi, Alan. Thanks for 13 the presentation. You had mentioned, I think, sixteen people 14 have signed-up, and three have been installed. Any indication of what the shrimpers are -- Which devices they're selecting at 15 16 this point? Are they selecting all three, or is there one 17 preferred at this point that seems to be selected?

18 19

20

22

24

26

29

31

1

DR. LOWTHER: I don't have that information.

21 MR. STRELCHECK: Okay. Thanks.

23 DR. LOWTHER: Sorry.

25 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Mr. Gill.

27 **DR. LOWTHER:** I will try to get with LGL and answer the 28 questions that you both asked about the additional sign-ups.

30 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: We have a question from Mr. Gill for you.

32 MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Dr. Lowther. I have several questions, and so if we could go to the LGL 33 timeline slide, which I think is Number 4. My question revolves 34 35 around deadlines for people to participate in this program, and 36 what that might entail, and so, for example, installations, 37 according to this, stops on August 2024, and I don't know 38 whether that means LGL's installation or not, and, secondly, at 39 next-to-last column, reimbursement and troubleshooting the operations, it goes through 2026, and is there a deadline for 40 41 people to participate in the program, and, as part of that, is there a difference in what may happen, for example, 42 no 43 installation by the agency, or LGL, after August 2024, for example, or could you explain that a little bit? 44 45

46 **DR. LOWTHER:** Sure, and so I think what -- The reason it was 47 structured this way is that we have to have all the funds spent 48 from this contract by August of 2026, and so the idea was that

1 we wanted to provide two years of cellular service, and so, in order to do that, the device would have to be installed by 2 3 August of 2024. 4 5 I suspect that we would have some flexibility. If there are funds available in October of 2024, and somebody wants 6 to 7 participate, we probably could have them participate, and we 8 just might not be able to guarantee the full two years of 9 cellular service, because it would then go beyond when we had to 10 have the funds spent. 11 12 Thank you for that. To that point, do you have any MR. GILL: 13 estimate on how many units, recognizing the variation in cost over the first two years, that you will be able to entertain? 14 15 Have you made an estimate of that, based on the knowledge that 16 you have thus far? 17 18 DR. LOWTHER: Yes, and so we've estimated from fifty to seventy-19 five devices, and, you know, I don't know what -- I guess none 20 of us know what the federal budget situation is, if we would 21 have any more funds this year, you know, based on what happened 22 last year, if there is the same earmark, or whatever you want to 23 refer to it as, the same language, and so I don't know if there's potentially more funds, but, right now, we're estimating 24 25 fifty to seventy-five, and, again, that just depends on the device selected, but it also depends on the travel costs and 26 27 other factors like that. 28 29 MR. GILL: Thank you for that. I have another question relative 30 to the LGL VMS slide, if we could go to that, Bernie. I think some details in there, for everybody's understanding, would be 31 32 useful to talk about. For example, you take the annual cellular fees, and I'm assuming, number one, that's for -- To the VMS 33 34 manufacturer, and it does not include a cell plan that the 35 individual might have, and is that correct? 36 37 That is the cellular transmission of --DR. LOWTHER: Right. 38 Sorry. I should have just said, yes, that's correct. 39 40 GILL: For the annual interface fee, that is by the MR. 41 manufacturer, and not anyone else, correct? 42 DR. LOWTHER: Right, and the shrimper would not be paying that. 43 That would be -- I see that Nathan has his hand up, and he 44 45 probably could give a better explanation of that question, if that's okay, and we could go to him. 46 47 48 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Thank you, Dr. Lowther. We'll see if Dr.

Putman can hear us. If you can, can you please try to address that most recent question, and maybe a follow-up for the prior question too, if you can.

5 DR. NATHAN PUTMAN: Sure. Can you all hear me?

7 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Yes, sir. Go ahead.

9 DR. PUTMAN: Okay. The costs here were shown to give a sense of the market value, you know, the retail price of what would be 10 paid, and so they are -- They reflect what, you know, you would 11 12 spend if you went out and bought one of these yourself, and they are not necessarily reflective of what we negotiated with the 13 14 companies, but we thought that was more important to a shrimper, 15 so that they would know, when they are selecting things, if they 16 liked it, and they had to buy a new one one day, or something 17 like that, that that would be more informative than what we were 18 spending, versus what the market price would be. 19

20 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Okay. Thank you, Dr. Putman. Regarding the 21 timeline, installation date of August 2024 is really the --22 That's the critical portion of the timescale, correct, that they 23 would have to be signed up for installation first?

25 DR. PUTMAN: That is actually a bit more flexible than we thought when we put the proposal together, and so sorry about 26 27 giving Alan an older timeline, but we were able to discuss, with 28 all three of the VMS companies, that, at any point during the 29 early adopter program, if we purchased a unit, and a plan for 30 them, it would carry through for two years, and so, in 31 principle, even if it was -- I mean, hopefully we won't do this, 32 and we're targeting -- We think we can get seventy-five out 33 relatively quickly, but, in principle, even if, you know, someone signed up in July of the final year, you know, right 34 35 before the thing ends, we could get that installed on their 36 boat, and they would have two years of service covered through 37 the program, and so there's more flexibility on that timing now. 38

39 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Putman. Do we have 40 any other questions, while he's on the line, real quick? Dr. 41 Simmons.

42

6

8

24

43 **DR. PUTMAN:** Those other boats were in Florida, the additional 44 ones that we just got recently, and one has been installed, and 45 so, moving from eleven to sixteen, and those were Florida boats, 46 with one installation.

47

48 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Thank you for that presentation. I

1 had a question about where the data is going for the early 2 adopter program, and so, for the new units being put on the 3 vessels, is that data going to the Science Center, or is that 4 data going to an LGL server?

6 DR. LOWTHER: It's going to the Science Center.

5

7

19

34

37

8 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: I had one other question. Mv 9 understanding is there's some extra old cellular ELB devices that could be put on at the same time, or checked, for the 10 11 that currently have those, to see if they're vessels 12 operational, to make sure that we're running that side-by-side 13 as long as possible, as these new vendors come onboard and 14 they're being tested. From the pilot study that was done, the current ELB system actually worked better than many of the new 15 16 proposed devices, and just so we have that information as we're 17 moving forward and testing these, and is it possible to do that, 18 as you're installing the new devices?

20 DR. LOWTHER: The problem with that is our -- We have limited 21 capacity to reprogram the cellular devices that we have, and so 22 -- I would have to get with my staff to kind of get some more 23 details on that, but I know that, you know, the process for programing the ELBs is limited to basically the ones we have on-24 25 hand, because of various issues of, you know, staff leaving, and I think we don't have a good grasp on what was done to program 26 27 them, and so it's a bit of an issue, and it doesn't say that it's impossible, but I don't -- I don't think we have 28 an 29 unlimited supply of preprogrammed ELB devices, but we can look 30 into how many we have and what would need to be done, if that 31 was desired. I mean, in the testing, it was definitely clear 32 that, when new ELB devices were installed, they performed much 33 better than the old ELB devices.

35 **CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE:** Thank you, Dr. Lowther. Dr. Walter has a 36 comment.

38 DR. WALTER: Yes, but we're not going to be investing resources 39 in those old ELBs as part of this, because we would have to program them, and it really wouldn't get us anywhere to put them 40 41 on during this early adopter, because we've already shown the testing, and that was part of the original testing, to ensure 42 43 that we had working ELBs to compare with the new VMS units, and that's why, when we put those new ones on, because the old ones 44 45 had mostly failed, we had new working ones to compare, but, at this point, it's to transition to something new, which is the 46 goal of this early adopter, is to get something new that will be 47 48 the future of data collection for this fishery, and I think the

1 cELBs are -- We are largely in agreement that that is the past, 2 and something that you have to mail in is not really part of 3 modern data collection. Thanks.

5 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Dr. Simmons.

7 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and so I 8 quess what I was trying to suggest is, if the contractor is 9 going to meet with industry, and they already have a device on there, the current ELB device, that they could check and see if 10 11 it's operational or not, and perhaps change out the chip, and, 12 as you're getting new vendors onboard, and you're testing this 13 through the early adopter program, you would have more 14 information about the efficacy of those new devices.

16 DR. WALTER: Well, we would have a comparison between the ELBs 17 and the new devices, but, at this point, I think we've already 18 done that testing, and we're seeing that the efficacy is high, 19 and that they work, and now it's a matter of getting them out on 20 vessels, and so we're not in that testing phase, or that 21 comparison, anymore, and so we're moving beyond that.

22

24

15

4

6

23 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Dr. Simmons.

25 **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS:** I understand, and I quess my point was that was very limited testing, and I think there was like 26 27 eleven vessels, and, actually, many of those -- I think the NEMO failed, that was solar, and the only reason you knew some of 28 that occurred was because you had your current cellular ELB on 29 30 there to compare it, and so I was just suggesting, if it's 31 possible, without too much effort, and, if they already have the 32 device, then they could just check it, and you have that 33 information to compare side-by-side, as you get new vendors 34 onboard.

35

38

36 **DR. WALTER:** We will take the suggestion and talk to the 37 contractor about whether it's possible.

39 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Do we have any other further questions for Dr. Lowther or Dr. Putman, while they're on the 40 41 line? If not, I will turn back to Dr. Freeman to wrap this up, and I have one bit of housekeeping for Dr. Freeman. During the 42 43 October Shrimp Committee, we -- I guess the committee asked for the draft amendment to come back by the April 2024 council 44 meeting, and do you feel we're still on track to be doing that 45 46 for this coming April meeting? 47

48 DR. FREEMAN: Yes, sir, Mr. Chair. That's what we currently

have planned. The IPT met in December, to discuss that discussion from the October council meeting, and we'll be reviewing the document, to see what potential additions could be included, such as information on the early adopter program, to better inform the committee, and the council, in April, and so, yes, we are on track for the April meeting.
CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Do we have any further questions for the

8 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Do we have any further questions for the 9 Shrimp Committee? If there are none at this time, Mr. Chair, I 10 will turn it back over to you. 11

```
12 (Whereupon, the meeting adjourned on January 29, 2024.)
13
14 ---
```