1	CULT OF MEYEO BEQUEDY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
1 2	GULF OF MEXICO FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
3	ADMINISTRATIVE/BUDGET COMMITTEE
4	
5	The Lodge at Gulf State Park Gulf Shores, Alabama
6	
7 8	April 4, 2022
9	VOTING MEMBERS
10	Phil Dyskow
11	Susan BoggsAlabama
12	Dave DonaldsonGSMFC
13	Bob GillFlorida
14	Jessica McCawleyFlorida
15	Chris Schieble (designee for Patrick Banks)Louisiana
16 17	Bob Shipp
18	Troy WilliamsonTexas
19	TIOY WITHAMSONTexas
20	NON-VOTING MEMBERS
21	Kevin Anson (designee for Scott Bannon)Alabama
22	Leann BosargeMississippi
23	Billy BroussardLouisiana
24	Dale DiazMississippi
25	Jonathan DugasLouisiana
26 27	Tom FrazerFlorida Dakus Geeslin (designee for Robin Riechers)Texas
28	Lisa Motoi
29	Andy Strelcheck
30	Greg Stunz
31	
32	STAFF
33	Assane DiagneEconomist
34 35	Matt FreemanEconomist John FroeschkeDeputy Director
36	Beth HagerAdministrative Officer
37	Karen Hoak
38	Lisa HollenseadFishery Biologist
39	Ava LasseterAnthropologist
40	Mary LevyNOAA General Counsel
41 42	Ryan RindoneLead Fishery Biologist/SEDAR Liaison
42	Bernadine RoyOffice Manager Carrie SimmonsExecutive Director
44	Carly SomersetFisheries Outreach Specialist
45	The production of the producti
46	OTHER PARTICIPANTS
47	Peter HoodNMFS
48	Laurilee ThompsonSAFMC
49	John WalterSEFSC
50	1

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	
3	Table of Contents
4	
5	Table of Motions3
6	
7	Adoption of Agenda and Approval of Minutes and Action Guide and
8	Next Steps4
9	
10	Review of 2022 Anticipated Activities and Budget and 2021 Funded
11	Expenditures5
12	Review of 2022 Budgeted Activities5
13	2022 Anticipated Budget and 2021 Expenditures7
14	
15	Discuss Electronic Voting Process and Available Technology for
16	Council Bodies11
17	
18	Adjournment
19	
20	- · - · -
21	

1	TABLE OF MOTIONS
2	
3	PAGE 14: Motion that the council implement an electronic voting
4	system for council and council committee motions that have
5	opposition. All roll call votes shall be by electronic voting.
6	Results shall be recorded and included in the minutes. Staff to
7	select appropriate software and timing of implementation and
8	modify the SOPPs as necessary. The motion carried on page 20.
9	
10	
11	

The Administrative/Budget Committee of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council convened at The Lodge at Gulf State Park on Monday morning, April 4, 2022, and was called to order by Chairman Phil Dyskow.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL OF MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS

CHAIRMAN PHIL DYSKOW: I would like to call this meeting of the Admin/Budget Committee to order. The voting members of this committee are myself, Phil Dyskow, and the Vice Chair is Dr. Bob Shipp. Also on the committee is Patrick Banks, Susan Boggs, Dave Donaldson, Bob Gill, Jessica McCawley, General Spraggins, and Troy Williamson. The first order of business is to adopt the agenda. I would entertain a motion to do so.

MR. BOB GILL: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN DYSKOW: Do we have a second?

MR. DAVE DONALDSON: Second.

CHAIRMAN DYSKOW: Okay. If there is no opposition, we will assume the agenda is adopted. The next order of business is to approve the minutes of our August 2021 meeting, and do I have a motion to do that?

MR. DONALDSON: So moved.

MS. SUSAN BOGGS: Second.

CHAIRMAN DYSKOW: Thank you. Now we have the agenda approved, and we have the minutes of the prior meeting approved. That leads us to the Action Guide and Next Steps, and I will turn it over to Dr. Simmons to go through that.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CARRIE SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning, everyone. We have two main agenda items. The first one is the review of our anticipated 2022 activities for you to provide feedback on, and then we have a draft of the 2022 budget, with our 2021 expenditures. This is not our final funded budget, and so this is primarily informational, and we're here to gather feedback regarding activities. Then, when we get our final funding, we will bring this back to you with any revisions that we need to make to the 2022 budget and activities later in the year.

The second agenda item is Agenda Item V, and we're going to discuss an electronic voting process for the council and the council bodies, including any available technology, and I have a short presentation on that, and, if you choose to move forward with considering this, you probably will need to make a motion to modify the SOPPs, and then we will need to work through some logistics, to move forward with this, and so, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN DYSKOW: Thank you. Why don't we begin with the budgeted activities? I don't see it up on the screen yet, but, looking at where we are with the budget and the protected activities.

REVIEW OF 2022 ANTICIPATED ACTIVITIES AND BUDGET AND 2021 FUNDED EXPENDITURES

REVIEW OF 2022 BUDGETED ACTIVITIES

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Okay. Thank you. This is Tab G, Number 4(a). I think everyone can see it on their computers, if you can't see it on your screen, and so we'll start with the meetings of the council, what we have planned in 2022. We have five council meetings, four South Atlantic Council meetings for one council member to attend, and we do have two meetings of the Gulf and South Atlantic Workgroup, which is looking at that Section 102 of the Modernizing Fisheries Management Act of 2018, and then we have two Council Coordinating Committee meetings scheduled.

In 2021 just for your information, we held all of those, with the exception of only one Section 102 meeting, and so we were pretty close to what we had planned.

Regarding trainings, we have the regional and national new council member training, and we've scheduled two of those, and then we have several calls and trainings with the CCC, and, last year, we did those virtually, and those were held, but we didn't have meeting costs, because they were held virtually.

For the SSC meetings, and I'm not going to read every single one of them, regarding the Standing and Special SSCs we have, but we did have several planned, and we actually held one additional one for almost all our special SSCs in 2021, and so they were quite active, and so we out that we had planned for twenty-six, and we held twenty-six. Most of those were hybrid, and there was a lot of folks participating virtual, and so, again, the costs are not going to be as high as when we start traveling and having in-person meetings.

Regarding advisory panels, we have several scheduled, or planned to hold, in 2022. We have had one Reef Fish AP meeting, earlier this year, so far. Last year, we had -- We held eight of those, and we had planned fourteen.

Regarding technical committees, we have three technical committees of Ecosystem, Education and Outreach, and Law Enforcement. We had planned five this year, and we held four last year. Working groups, some of these working groups are pretty new. They were formed in 2021, and so we kind of plan those in the middle of the year, and we had planned five this year, for those various working groups, and we held four last year.

The National Saltwater Recreational Fishing Summit was already held, and that was in-person. The Highly Migratory Species Advisory Panel, I'm not sure if -- They didn't meet last year, and we had planned those, and we are planning them again this year, but they were not held, and I think there were a few virtual calls, or webinars, and the same with the International Commission for Conservation of Atlantic Tuna, the one in-person, but they were all held virtually.

The SEDAR meetings in 2022 are going to be a little bit different, with the exception of the Steering Committee meeting. We have two of those every year, and typically one is in-person and one is virtual. Both of those were held last year, but they were virtual.

We are going to have the red snapper SEDAR data workshop, and that's coming right up in May, planned, and the gray snapper SEDAR life history and shore mode topical working groups, and those are going to have some webinars for that right now. Mutton snapper SEDAR data workshop and webinars, the Gulf scamp research track, and we're going to have the operational assessment, and we have a working group for that. Right now, those are planned to be virtual.

Then there is a SEDAR procedural workshop for fishery-independent indices development, and that was planned last year, but it got moved to this year, and so we had -- You will see that, obviously, these are going to be different from last year than what we're planning this year.

For public hearings, we're probably being a little ambitious on this, but we went ahead and we said, well, if we hold all these, this is where we would be budgetarily, and we did go ahead and plan for seven in-person and one scoping meeting for 36C, and, for Amendment 54, for amberjack, seven in-person and one virtual.

We held red grouper in-person hearings last year for Amendment 53, and we are planning, later in the year, thinking that we might have Amendment 33 done, and so went ahead and budgeted for seven in-person public hearings for 33, king mackerel allocation, and then the joint amendment to require electronic reporting, and that's in its early stages, and so it's possible that we might not get that done this year, but we went ahead and planned for it.

Regarding outreach efforts, my Public Information Officer is out on maternity leave, and so we haven't spent a whole lot of time talking about this, and the January council meeting was virtual, but what we have historical done, and in talking with Carly, is, in each of the states, we have coordinated with the state folks, and we've held like one fishing club meeting and then one special event, being ICAST or the Alabama Fishing Rodeo or something like that, and so we'll be reaching out to the states, to see what the best events would be, based on how things are going with COVID-19 and all those types of things. Mr. Chair, I will take any questions or feedback.

CHAIRMAN DYSKOW: Thank you, Dr. Simmons. Are there any questions? Seeing none, we can move on to the next part of this, which is the draft budget for 2022, and this is Ms. Hager.

2022 ANTICIPATED BUDGET AND 2021 EXPENDITURES

MS. BETH HAGER: Good morning. In our table here, we are going to look at the 2022 budget, on the right-hand side. The left side, we have the 2021 funded budget, the expenditures, and then the variance. At the very bottom of the table, when we get down there, we've gone ahead and added a little information, so that you can see the 2020 carryover as well and what we expended there.

 The figures are rounded to thousands, to begin with, and the total funding that we received in 2021 was \$3,904,000. This includes the reduction of the \$94,000, which was sent to the Southeast Region in support of the permits software update, and so the total actual expenditures were \$3,533,300. This leaves us a carryover amount of about \$371,500, and that's just a bit under 10 percent of the funding for 2021.

As you can see in the table, the majority of the unspent funds were in categories related to travel, and that's at the top of

the table, and this is due to holding many of the virtual and hybrid meetings throughout the year. The budget for meeting rooms actually ended up being a little bit optimistic, because costs rose very sharply once we did start holding hybrid meetings and things in-person, and that was in June of last year, and, because we tried to have additional space, to ensure social distancing and to ensure that we were able to accommodate everyone, it just wound up being a little higher, plus the hotels were back open, and so their costs all increased for everything that wasn't already contracted.

4 5

Also, we were still completing a few activities that were related to the 2019 no-cost budget extension through the middle of 2021, and so we were able to offset \$58,000 of the meeting-related costs, but we had to do it proportionally, and so that's why it wasn't so helpful for the meeting room space, but it was very helpful for several of the other things, and so we have a couple of drivers going on there that account for the variances throughout the travel and salaries lines.

Do we have any questions about the 2021 expenditures? Bernie, could you scroll down just a hair, maybe, and then folks can see, kind of as it goes through, because meeting room costs are kind of in the middle there. Everything is rounded to thousands. Okay.

If we don't have any questions there, at the bottom of the table, we can see the 2020 funding and expenditures. This includes the accrual of the costs committed towards the shrimp and gray triggerfish contracts, and so the remaining unexpended funds for 2020, in total, are about 8 percent to carry forward, and we have a full carry-forward amount at the bottom, and that includes all of the -- Everything that's accrued.

 Going back to the 2022 budget projections, and you may want to scroll up to the top there, and we should bear in mind that this is a draft, because we have, obviously, not received all of our funding. During the fall CCC meeting, we were informed that the first 2022 funds distribution would be approximately 50 percent of the funding, and so we received \$2,098,000 in December, but, because we don't have an exact figure, we set the draft budget just a little bit under twice that amount, and it's set at \$4,161,200. We will refine this when the year unfolds and we get the final amount.

Dr. Simmons reviewed the 2022 activities with you all, and, although we're still allowing some hybrid meeting options at this time, we based the costs for the remaining activities on

holding in-person meetings this year, and so that's why we went ahead and kept those travel expenditures in the budget at more typical figures.

Because of the state of changing environment, and because the travel-related costs are increasing very rapidly, these lines will probably adjust by the time we all see the final budget, and so personnel costs here reflect the 2022 COLA increase and the increase in the SSC stipends that we approved. No additional increase in the number of staff is planned at this point for 2022.

Health insurance costs increased approximately 8 percent, and this is less than we have received in previous years, and so that's a good thing, and the increase in supplies cost is pretty different from last year, but we do have many computers that are reaching the end of their warranty period.

We do plan to extend the warranties where we can, and several systems will still need to be replaced though, and we're finding batteries are becoming a big problem, for some reason, and the planned obsolesce is amazing with battery backups, and batteries within devices, and so, where we can, we're repairing, but some of this, including some of the server equipment, is reaching the end of its warranty coverage and the end of its life cycle, and so it will need to be replaced, and, again, that will be adjusted as we go through the year and figure out what else we can go ahead and repair or extend warranties on.

We plan to bring the revised budget back to the council, once the final figure is known, and do we have any questions on what we have projected here? Yes, sir.

MR. BOB GILL: Thank you, Beth. Good morning.

MS. HAGER: Good morning.

 MR. GILL: Relative to travel expenses, I understand, as do everybody, I think, what happened with the expenses in 2021 versus the budget. The difference, however, between the budget of 2022 and 2021, does that imply that the 2021 budget included a significant amount of virtual and hybrid meetings?

MS. HAGER: When we did finally did -- When we were able to -- Because we didn't pass the 2021 budget at the beginning of the year either, and we were partially through, and so we had drawn in down some, based on our typical projections, because, at that point, we had done everything virtually, but, again, we weren't

sure how the year was going to transpire, and so we were trying to do a balancing situation there of how many did we think might be virtual and how many did we -- In some of the lines, we did great, and some of the lines blew us out of the water, like the meeting room costs, because of the costs going up in a way that we weren't expecting.

4 5

The SSC salaries, actually, the line for 2021, if you look at that one, we were able to offset some of those costs, because of the 2019 activities, but then, after we passed the budget, we went ahead and did an SSC increase, and so that salary increase just goes slightly over what we had originally projected, and so last year is just really difficult to compare what we're going to be doing against. That's the thing.

16 MR. GILL: Thank you.

MS. HAGER: Yes, sir.

MR. DONALDSON: Thanks, Beth, and I think I've asked this question before, and I just don't remember, but what -- You do a five-year agreement, and what year are we in of the five years?

MS. HAGER: We're in the third year, and that's why I included the 2020 and 2021 carryover, so that you all can kind of get an idea of where we are, just in general. Now, we don't know what the funding is going to be for the two years, and we're literally in the middle of the process, and so keeping a little under 19 percent, and then, as we look at 2024 and 2025, if we need to start being more -- Planning more activities or things like that, or adjusting what we're doing, based on the funding that we have available, we have a little room to do that.

MR. DONALDSON: Thank you.

MS. HAGER: You're welcome. Anybody else? Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DYSKOW: Thank you, Beth. I think the only thing we can assume isn't going to change is that we're going to continue to see inflation throughout the year, and so, for a first draft of the 2022, we're in pretty good shape. There are some unknowns, and I'm sure, the next time we look at this, some of the numbers will change.

The next item on our agenda is to discuss an electric voting process and some of the technology that's available to let us do this. This was a request from one of the council members, and, in speaking to staff, they do feel it is -- They have the

capability to go forward with this, and so this is open to discussion, and, if we plan to do this, we would probably have to entertain a motion at this time, and so let's kick this off, and I think Dr. Simmons is going to handle this point.

DISCUSS ELECTRONIC VOTING PROCESS AND AVAILABLE TECHNOLOGY FOR COUNCIL AND COUNCIL BODIES

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Yes, and thank you, Mr. Chair. Bernie, could we pull up Tab G, Number 5, please? You passed a motion in October of 2021, and you requested staff to provide a review of electronic voting options for council functions, and that motion carried with no opposition.

What we thought we would do is we would take a look at what the seven other regional fishery management councils were doing and if they used any of this technology, especially with the pandemic going on and hybrid meetings, and what we found, at the time, is that no council is using a formal electronic voting policy, or procedure, right now, and they haven't made any changes to their SOPPs.

They have used some hand-raising features to vote electronically on various platforms, such as Cisco WebEx and Go to Webinar and some of those other platforms, and, also, for remote meetings, or when they were electing a chair or vice chairs of the committees, they were using Survey Monkey and the Election Runner, which are online voting platforms, and so those are kind of the electronic technologies right now that we found out that the other councils are using.

We went ahead and we purchased this electronic -- This Merida Electronic Voting System, and we found out that it works for hybrid meetings, and you can use it for both virtual and onsite members, and it uses an internet connection for remote participants and then a secure radio signal if you're in the room. You just have a clicker if you're in the room, and then, if you're online, like our two council members that are online, there would be like a web link, or a web app, that they would use.

This was designed for the U.S. House of Representatives, and it is used by local, state, and private organizations. It is low cost, and it's flexible, and it has tabulation options. You can display names, roll call votes and names, or you can just do vote tabulations.

These were just some anticipated pros, and perhaps cons, that we

were thinking about as we are considering this process, and so it is a low price point to purchase this, and we have purchased it already, and it could reduce errors and time in tabulation. The raising of hands, we don't see all the hands, and sometimes I'm tabulating them on the sheets, the roll call sheets, and I don't tabulate them right, and we have to go back and correct that or whatever, and so it could improve some of that time there.

4 5

As far as transparency goes, we think that the pro there would be, if you're using roll call votes for probably all committees and all council motions, for those virtual participants, and I'm not sure if there's other ways that transparency could be improved there besides that.

Some cons would be a learning curve. Don't lose that clicker. Don't drop it in the bathroom, or I can't find my link, and where did you send it. It would require a test period, and so, you know, just be patient with us, if we go through this, and then it may require updates to our Statement of Organization Practices and Procedures, or SOPPs.

Just some questions for you all and some considerations, as we go forward with this or not. If the council wants to pursue electronic voting, how do you -- How would you like to use the system? Would you like to use it for every motion or only roll call votes? Use it in committees and Full Council or just Full Council? Would you use it for other bodies, use it for the SSC and use it for the AP? Would you only want to use it on final action votes, and, if you have an electronic system, I assume, because we have verbatim minutes, the chair, or myself, would still need to read those votes into the record, and we would still have to have some parliamentary procedures that we would have to follow, even in changing the SOPPs and using the system.

I won't read all of this to you, but just a reminder that this is what we have right now in our SOPPs for council meetings, and in Section 3.22 is our process for the quorum and roll call voting that we have in there.

 This is the final section that we have in our council SOPPs, and decisions by consensus are permitted, and this is when we have approval of the council for these various FMPs and amendments to FMPs and proposed regulations, and that's a link right there to the SOPPs.

This is an excerpt from the Magnuson-Stevens Fisheries Conservation and Management Act, and it is actually less

prescriptive than what we have in our SOPPs, but this is what's in Magnuson currently for your review, and that's all I have, Mr. Chair, and I'm ready for questions.

CHAIRMAN DYSKOW: Thank you, Dr. Simmons. Are there any questions about this? I assume there would be.

MR. DALE DIAZ: Bob Gill.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I guess one point of clarification. You indicated that you had purchased already the Meridia software approach, and so do we have software or hardware or whatever in-house currently?

MS. HAGER: In order to actually test it and make sure that it could do pretty much everything we needed to do, or anything we needed it to do, we needed to have -- To be able to test it, really test it, and it really was very inexpensive. It was a few hundred dollars, which was well -- We felt, in order to actually be able to produce the result and figure out that it could do, that it was capable of what you all might need, within any realm of what we could think of, we went ahead and thought that was a better choice.

MR. GILL: From my vantage point, I'm glad to hear it, because my primary concern about this motion was whether the cost was cost prohibitive, and so I'm glad to hear that it's not. I would also note that Meridia is just one of several software solutions to how electronic voting goes on, and other options are available, if they happen to be better.

MS. HAGER: Well, this one actually was one of the very few that we could find that was reasonably priced that would combine both online people and in the room and allow it to be either completely anonymous, and there is no tracking, or actually tracked to a person, and the flexibility was really extensive for the price point, and that was why we felt that this would be the best one to at least test.

 MR. GILL: I'm not being critical. In fact, I am delighted, but I just didn't realize that's where you were at. It seems, to me, from looking at what I consider an excellent summary of the question at hand, is that the cons all -- You would get those anytime you're starting anything new, and so there is no significant con, and there are significant advantages in the pros, and so, from my vantage point, it looks to me like a nobrainer.

I am sure there are others that have other opinions in regard to that, and, in terms of the review, I think you made a lot of good points, and I've got some comments on how I think it ought to go, and my feeling is that, one, we ought to implement it, number one, and, number two, we ought to implement it at the council and council committee level only, and try it out there, because that's the learning curve aspect, and everybody is doing it.

Once they've learned it, whether you're doing it at committee or council makes no difference, and so I would consider that utilizing it for things like the SSC or APs be put in the parking lot and perhaps consider later, if we consider it at all.

I would also think that we ought to use it both virtually and in-person and that the results are shown on the screen, so the virtual attendees can see it, and the intent is that all the voting decisions are recorded and that everybody that is attending the meeting, whether in-person or virtually, can participate and see that result.

I have a motion that has to be amended now, based on Dr. Simmons' comment, but, Bernie, if you would put up the electronic voting motion, and I would like to add to it "modify the SOPPs, as necessary".

That is my motion that tries to incorporate what I just discussed, and I put it on the basis that we don't need to use it when there is unanimity around the table on a motion. That can go as it goes currently, but only when there is some opposition or a roll call vote, and, ultimately, that the results are available in the minutes, and staff can do -- I had "select appropriate software" and so whether or not Meridia was the right -- I didn't know that going in, but figure out how we get it implemented, but that's, in essence, the motion. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN DYSKOW: Do we have a second for this motion?

MS. JESSICA MCCAWLEY: Second.

CHAIRMAN DYSKOW: Thank you, Jessica. We have a motion and a second. I guess the next step would be to open this up for discussion.

MR. DIAZ: There's a fair amount of hands around the room.

CHAIRMAN DYSKOW: Chairman Diaz, I'm going to ask you to recognize the people that have their hands up, because I can't see them on my screen.

MR. DIAZ: Sure thing. I would be glad to do that. Mr. Schieble.

MR. CHRIS SCHIEBLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I have two questions. First, and I probably missed this, but has a similar system to this been implemented in a different council, and, if so, is it working well? This will be the first, that it's being tested out, is at the Gulf Council? Okay.

The second question is for Mr. Gill. You mentioned, when you were discussing this motion, before it came up, that you want to have the APs and the SSC considered separate and not included in the electronic voting portion of this, and so would we put a second motion up for that, to keep it separate, or just choose not to mention it?

MR. DIAZ: Mr. Gill, to that point?

MR. GILL: No, and my recommendation is that we don't consider AP and SSC inclusion at this time, but we implement it on the council and council committees only, and the suggested motion is just that, and the reason is that we've got a learning curve to go through, and, whether we consider it appropriate to consider for the other bodies, I think we can do at a later time. I think it's more important that we absorb it and bring it aboard and get used to it and then make that decision at some future time, if we want to make it at all.

MR. DIAZ: To your first question, Chris, I will try to take a stab at that. In Carrie's presentation, she said no other councils have implemented this system so far. The CCC has a little bit of discussion on this, and there were some questions, and, in the letter, the CCC answered some questions, and it seemed like the primary concerns about implementing these types of systems is time, how much time does it take, and, as chair, that's a big concern of mine, because it seems like, every meeting, we're working late, and we're having to cram the agenda just as full as we can get it, and the time is a big concern for me.

 I did go through and just look, and some of the motions could have been unanimous, Bob, and so I don't know, but, at Full Council at the last meeting, we had twenty-seven motions, and in Reef Fish we had nine, and I didn't go through the other

committees, to see what they were, but, in the course of a meeting, I mean, there's a substantial amount of motions that go through this council, and it's probably not unconceivable that it could be fifty, and so, if it's not faster, it could, over the course of the meeting, have some time consequences on the meeting.

4 5

I would also point out that our current SOPPs do say that any council member can call for a roll call vote at any time, and it's not debatable. If it's asked for, it's done, and so it doesn't have to be seconded or anything like that. Next up is Ms. Boggs.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. I like the idea of MS. BOGGS: implementing it on a small scale, starting at the council level, but I do think, if we continue to go this virtual route, which I think is where we are in this world today, that we do try to expand it to the SSC and the APs, and I have had a lot of people, after a meeting, or after a vote, say who voted how, and it would be nice, because we're supposed to be transparent, and, if somebody opposes the motion, they might -- Someone in the audience, or online, they might want to contact that person and find out why did you vote this way and have a discussion about it, and so I think it's important that, if not this motion -- I mean, I will support the motion, and I think it's going to be a work in progress, like everything we do at this council.

If Meridia is not the best software, then, you know, we have to go back to the drawing board, but it sounds like Beth and Carrie have done a lot of research, and I would support this motion. Thank you.

MR. DIAZ: Thank you, Ms. Boggs. Mr. Strelcheck.

MR. ANDY STRELCHECK: Thanks, Mr. Chair. Just a question for Bob, or maybe you. With regard to the motion, it talks about for motions that have opposition, and, in most instances, obviously, it's fairly clear if a motion is going to have opposition or not, and, in some instances, it's not all that clear, and so I'm curious, and, in situations where the chair might ask for opposition, and people will say yes, we would then, at that point, go to electronic voting, and so there would be kind of a two-stage process, and you would first discern whether or not we're going to vote in opposition, and, if there is, then committee members, or the council, would vote using electronic means, and is that essentially your understanding of how this would work?

MR. GILL: Thank you, Andy, for the question, and yes, but, typically, or I should say commonly, during the discussion, it's clear that the motion is not going to be unanimous, and the chair will then call for a vote one way or the other.

There are some motions that are made that are not clear whether there is opposition, but, if there is not opposition, and it's clear that there's no opposition, there's no need to go through the electronic version and take more time, and so I see this process as expediting our meetings and saving a lot of time, particularly on roll call votes, because then the non-opposition motions will go just like normal, and, if there's any question, yes, the chair will have to raise that question, just like we do now, and it's no different, but, if there is serious discussion during the motion, then clearly you just go to the electronic vote at the end, and so, at the end of the day, I think it will save a good amount of time for a council meeting by this process.

MR. DIAZ: Dr. Frazer.

DR. TOM FRAZER: Thanks, Mr. Chair. I just wanted to circle back on a comment that Dr. Simmons made, and so, I mean, if the issue is related to some transparency here, and you don't have a unanimous decision on a motion, right off the bat, and you go to a vote, even if it's in committee, right, you're still going to have to read into the record the names of the individuals, and so, in essence, everything becomes a roll call vote, and is that how you see it, Bob?

MR. GILL: Not exactly, Dr. Frazer, because we're talking about a new technology. We do all the reading into the record because we're in a manual mode. When we go to this electronic voting, I don't see it as -- I would defer to legal counsel, as to whether that's still required, if the electronic vote then gets recorded in the minutes correctly, and, if it's visual on the screen, and it's not clear to me that that's required under the new system. I understand why it's required currently, because it's the only way to do it, but I'm not convinced that we have to do it in the future.

MR. DIAZ: Ms. Levy.

MS. MARA LEVY: Is that for me to answer that question or for me to ask my own question? I mean, I think Carrie had the language of the Magnuson Act up on the board, right, and so I think, if you use this, and you're using it for roll call voting, meaning you're not using the anonymous part of it, which seems like the

intent is you're going to turn every opposed motion into a roll call vote, right, and then, under the Magnuson Act, the official minutes, or records, have to identify the roll call vote, the name of each member during the roll call, and how each member voted on the roll call motion, and so, yes, it will have to be identified as a roll call vote in the minutes every time you take a vote using this, if you're going to identify who is voting.

I mean, I guess I would just add that's what this is going to do, right, and you're making a policy decision that you're going to make every opposed vote on a motion a roll call vote.

MR. DIAZ: Dr. Simmons.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. One thing that Beth and I were talking about, and Bernie as well, that we haven't completed worked through is we have a streaming platform, and the meeting is streamed to folks that are virtually listening in, and the motion is on the board on that platform.

What we're not completely sure of is, when we see the votes, whether we have to go to that website and go off this motion to see the votes, and then we still would have to read the votes into the record, and so that's what we need to work through, is how those platforms would streamline and how we could still have virtual participants see everything and not have to shift to have two different meetings running and all those kinds of things, and so those are the sorts of things that we still need to work out at the staff level.

MR. DIAZ: Ms. McCawley.

 MS. MCCAWLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and so we use a system like this not for the voting of our commission, but we use the iClicker system, and we take it to public workshops, and we let people use the little clickers to do the voting. There might be a way, and I don't know, and I'm not familiar with this particular software platform, but there might be a way to assign a clicker to an individual, and then it will show the names of the individual on the screen and their vote beside it, and I'm just not familiar enough with the software.

It could do that automatically, and it might just take staff looking into that a little bit more. These systems are -- I don't want to say state-of-the-art, because they've been around for a while, but they do have the ability to show you some

things relatively quickly on the screen, especially if you could assign the vote to a person's name, and it could be shown there on the webinar next to the motion.

MR. DIAZ: Mr. Gill, did you have your hand up? Okay. Does anybody else want to speak to this motion? Mr. Donaldson.

MR. DONALDSON: I think moving -- Taking advantage of technology is a good thing, and, obviously, there's a lot of questions, as identified by the various comments made, and I'm just wondering -- Since we're the first council to be doing this, that maybe we just limit it to Full Council and not the committees as well, just to work out the bugs, just as a suggestion, and it might be easier to test it that way. Just something to consider.

MR. DIAZ: Ms. Bosarge.

MS. LEANN BOSARGE: Thank you, and I'm not on your committee, but I want to make sure that I understand a couple of the different comments before we get to Full Council. Ms. McCawley was saying that, in Florida, they use something similar to this for stakeholder meetings, and she said it might be easy enough to have the vote done and the names populate with their vote of yes, no, or abstain on the screen, but what I thought I heard Ms. Levy say is, you know, they would still need to be read into the record, and so we're still going to -- Whatever is on the screen, you still have to stop and back up and read that into the record, and so we'll be doing that for anything that is not a unanimous vote, and is that what we're talking about? Okay. I just wanted to make sure that I got it.

MR. DIAZ: Ms. Boggs.

MS. BOGGS: To Dave Donaldson's comments, and that's what I was sitting here, when I was reading the motion and hearing the conversation at the table, and can we do it in a way that we have a test period, and maybe -- I don't know how you would do that, but maybe not go so far as to make it concrete, but somehow say we want a one-year trial, because we meet five times, but is there a way maybe not to go so deep into this and just do it for a period of time, to test it? I don't know how to form that.

MR. DIAZ: All right. I am not seeing any other hands. Mr. Dyskow.

CHAIRMAN DYSKOW: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair. We have a motion and a second, and we've had discussion. The motion, as it's

currently stated, is the council implement an electronic voting system for council and council committees. Motions that have opposition, in other words only those that don't have opposition, will be an electronic vote. Is that correct? Am I reading that right?

MR. DIAZ: Mr. Gill.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Could you repeat that, Mr. Chairman? The way I understood you saying it was that only non-opposition motions have electronic voting, and the motion on the board has it the other way. Only those that have opposition will electronic voting be used.

CHAIRMAN DYSKOW: Okay. Mr. Gill, since it's your motion, I'm going to ask you to read it, please.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The motion is the council implement an electronic voting system for council and council committee motions that have opposition. All roll call votes shall be by electronic voting. Results shall be recorded and included in the minutes. Staff to select appropriate software and timing of implementation and modify the SOPPs as necessary. Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN DYSKOW: Thank you, Mr. Gill. It sounds much clearer when you say it. All right. We have a motion on the floor at the committee level, and everyone knows who the committee member are. All in favor, say aye; is there any opposition to the motion. As committee chair, I have abstained.

MR. SCHIEBLE: I am abstaining, also.

CHAIRMAN DYSKOW: All right and so the motion carries. Next, we move on to Other Business. Is there any other business before this committee? Hearing none, I am going to close this committee and pass it back to you, Mr. Chair.

(Whereupon, the meeting adjourned on April 4, 2022.)

- - -