1	GULF OF MEXICO FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
2	ADMINISTRATIVE/BUDGET COMMITTEE
4 5	The Driskill Austin, Texas
6 7	August 14, 2023
8	
9	VOTING MEMBERS
10	Joe SpragginsMississippi
11	Dave DonaldsonGSMFC
12	Jonathan DugasLouisiana
13	Dakus Geeslin (designee for Robin Riechers)Texas
14	Bob GillFlorida
15	C.J. Sweetman (designee for Jessica McCawley)Florida
16	
17	NON-VOTING MEMBERS
18	Kevin Anson (designee for Scott Bannon)
19	Kesley BanksTexas
20	Susan BoggsAlabama
21	Billy BroussardLouisiana
22	Dale DiazMississippi
23	Tom FrazerFlorida
24	Michael McDermottMississippi
25	Lisa Motoi
26	Anthony OvertonAlabama
27	Chris Schieble (designee for Patrick Banks)Louisiana
28	Andy StrelcheckNMFS
29	Ed WalkerFlorida
30	Troy WilliamsonTexas
31	
32	STAFF
33	Assane DiagneEconomist
34	Matt FreemanEconomist
35	John Froeschke
36	Beth HagerAdministrative Officer
37 38	Lisa HollenseadFishery Biologist
38 39	Mary LevyNOAA General Counsel Natasha Mendez-FerrerFishery Biologist
39 40	Emily Muehlstein
41	Kathy PereiraMeeting Planner - Travel Coordinator
42	Ryan RindoneLead Fishery Biologist/SEDAR Liaison
43	Bernadine RoyOffice Manager
44	Carrie SimmonsExecutive Director
45	Carly SomersetFisheries Outreach Specialist
46	
47	OTHER PARTICIPANTS
48	Peter HoodNMFS
49	Evan Howell

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	
3	Table of Contents2
4	
5	Table of Motions3
6	
7	Adoption of Agenda and Approval of Minutes and Action Guide and
8	Next Steps4
9	
10	Presentation of the 2021-2022 Audit Report6
11	
12	Review Final 2023 Funded Budget and Activities7
13	
14	Overview of NOAA Fisheries Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) Funding.9
15	
16	Adjournment
17	
18	
19	

1	TABLE OF MOTIONS
2	
3	PAGE 8: Motion that the council approve the final 2023 budget
4	as written. The motion carried on page 8.
5	
6	
7	

The Administrative/Budget Committee of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council convened at The Driskill in Austin, Texas on Monday morning, August 14, 2023, and was called to order by Chairman Joe Spraggins.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL OF MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS

CHAIRMAN JOE SPRAGGINS: I would like to call the Administrative Budget Committee together, and it's myself, and we have a vacancy, and so, if somebody would like to be, you know, my vice chair, I would sure love to see somebody, and then Mr. Donaldson, Mr. Dugas, Mr. Gill, Dr. Sweetman, and Mr. Geeslin are the members. At this time, I need to have an approval for the minutes of the January 2023.

MR. DAVE DONALDSON: So moved.

MR. BOG GILL: Seconded.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Is there any discussion? We didn't adopt the agenda? Well, then I had better go back. Okay. Let's go back to adopt the agenda before I do that. Yes, ma'am.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CARRIE SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and good morning. We will not need to go through -- I will not need to go through Tab G, Number 6(b), because Dr. Howell is going to cover that, and so we'll just have Tab G, Number 6(a), and then we'll go to 6(c).

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: So (a) to (c)?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Okay, and so we're taking (b) out. All right. Is there any problems with that? If not, could I have a motion to adopt the agenda?

MR. GILL: Move to adopt the agenda, as modified.

MR. DONALDSON: Second.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: All right. All in favor; any opposed. It carries. All right. Now how about we go to a motion to approve the minutes of January 2023?

MR. GILL: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

DR. C.J. SWEETMAN: Second.

CF 5 mc

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: All right. Any opposed? Okay. We will move straight into the Action Guide with Dr. Simmons.

PRESENTATION OF THE 2021-2022 AUDIT REPORT

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and so I will take the committee through Item Number IV, which the first item is a presentation of our 2021-2022 biennial fiscal audit. This was completed on August 9, and our council chair and our committee chair were in attendance at that meeting, where the independent audit firm issued an unqualified opinion, and the summary report is presented in Tab G, Number 4 for everyone's information.

This review also included our coral reef conservation award, and so we have our admin award and a small coral reef conservation award as well, and it included both those awards during the review. Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Okay. Any discussion on the audit? I can tell you that I listened through it, and I guess Beth is going to go through it, and I can tell you that it was about the easiest thing I've done in a long time, and so go ahead, Beth.

MS. BETH HAGER: Well, thank you very much, General. It's quite a bit of work to get there, but it's great when the end result is quick, and so we have included, as Dr. Simmons said, the report in Tab G, Number 4. The results are summarized on the first few pages of this report.

Basically, the firm issued an unmodified opinion with no disagreements or proposed adjusting entries. There were no questioned costs or material weaknesses. All judgements and accounting estimates were found to be reasonable. No instances of fraud or illegal acts were noted, and so that's most of the report, on the first few pages.

If we get to the last page, on that, we show a table of our comparative expenses over the past three audit cycles. The years summarized here include all funds expended during these periods, including the coral program and the carryover. I would like to express my appreciation to Jessica, Karen, and Kathy for their efforts in helping me keep all of our figures straight. We have a great team, and I sincerely appreciate them. That's it.

48 it.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Like I said, listening to the auditors in the briefing, it was like I don't know why we're here. Mr. Gill.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. On Slide 3, it talks about questions costs, and my question is who questions the costs, and what was the question about?

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Beth, do you remember, on Item 3 there?

MS. HAGER: There were no questioned costs, and it was just -- It was a just a note, so that they could make that talking point. The auditors went through and checked for any questionable expenses or anything, anything that was outside of the budget or outside of the scope of what we should be spending money on.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Okay. Any other questions? Do we need to adopt this? Okay. All right. Well, if there's nothing else, then thank you, Beth, and we'll move straight into the Review Final 2023 Funded Budget and Activities.

REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF FUNDED 2023 BUDGET AND ACTIVITIES

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and so the next item is Item V, and it's the Review and Approval of our Funded 2023 Budget and Activities. The committee should review the funded 2023 budget, and staff is going to walk through that, and that's Tab G, Number 5.

Now we have received our full funding for this year, for this \$4,278,800, and so, after we review and discuss, the committee should consider passing a motion, and so we do need some action on this eventually, to approve the final 2023 funded budget. Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Okay. Any questions? Go ahead, Beth.

 MS. HAGER: In Tab G, Number 5, Item Number 5, we have a table. Well, we have two tables, and the top one is showing the 2023 initial estimated budget, and that is alongside the 2023 proposed funded budget, and the figures are rounded to thousands.

Our initial projection, back in January, was based on a 6.35 percent increase over the 2022 funding level, because that was what we had in our original grant request. At the time, we

weren't sure where our funding was going, and, obviously, since then, we have been fully funded, and we have received, as Dr. Simmons mentioned, \$4,278.8. That was an increase of only 3.2 percent from 2022, and so the proposed funded budget is \$128,000 less than the initial draft, and these are compared line items.

The line items here you can see fairly clearly, and, if you scroll to the top, the majority of the changes were in travel expenses, and so the funded budget was adjusted to reflect the cost for the actual activity to-date and the remaining workplan for 2023. As we don't anticipate any decrease in activities though, we're continually looking for ways to implement cost-saving measures.

This, obviously, can be challenging, because our primary activities are meetings and travel-related, and these costs are rising, as we all know, and we may see a small portion of the unspent carryover funds extended towards the end of 2023, depending on the costs incurred between now and then.

As of June, we had expended 51 percent of our 2023 funding, and so, if you scroll to the bottom of the table, we're now halfway through the fourth year of our five-year award, and, as we're getting closer to the end of this award period, we're going to continue to update the council on the projected carryover for the entire award, and that's what we see at the bottom of this table here, this secondary table.

It's a summary of the 2021 and 2022 periods, with the obligated funds to-date and the carryover balance. The total uncommitted funds that we're currently carrying forward from the first three years is \$778,700, and so that will possibly change as we get closer to the end of next year, depending on what the council decides to do with the carryover funding, as you guys start looking at that in the future, but we are going to keep showing you, so you will have that in mind. Any questions?

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Any questions? Mr. Gill.

MR. GILL: I move approval of the final 2023 funded budget.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Okay. I've got a motion and a second by Dr. Sweetman. Anything else? Anybody else? Any opposed? Then the budget is approved. Dr. Simmons, do you want to go to the next -- We're going straight in now, I guess, to the overview?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Yes, Mr. Chair. We can do that. Does that motion reflect what the committee wanted, just to make

sure, because we were going kind of fast there.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Yes.

4 5

OVERVIEW OF NOAA FISHERIES INFLATION REDUCTION ACT (IRA) FUNDING

 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Okay. All right, and so the next agenda item is Agenda Item VI, and that's going to be an overview of NOAA Fisheries Inflation Reduction Act funding, including the regional management councils' IRA funding, and so I think Dr. Evan Howell is going to start us off with a presentation that is expanded from what was given to the CCC meeting, the CCC folks, on a virtual call on July 17, and so it's going to include some information about not only the councils, regional management councils, but also I think some data acquisition funding, as well as the \$20 million for red snapper.

I will follow that up with a presentation telling the council — After that July 17 call, the regional executive directors got together and we requested a different approach from what Dr. Howell is going to lay out for you. We won't know the outcomes of that until our call next week, and hopefully we'll know something by then, and so I will just provide an overview for you all to consider.

This is all for information and to help us prepare for the October council meeting, if you want to give us some feedback on this particular IRA funding that the councils will be receiving, and that's good for us to have, so that we're prepared to bring that back in October, and so Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Okay. Dr. Howell.

DR. EVAN HOWELL: Thanks, everybody. I appreciate being here this morning. I'm going to give you an overview of the Inflation Reduction Act spending that we have within our control, our purview, at National Marine Fisheries Service. It's part of a much larger bucket that you've probably heard about within NOAA, and I will talk today about the piece that's run within Fisheries, but, also, we tuned it just to see, at this point, which pieces also have specific reference to this area down in the Gulf of Mexico.

 You will hear these described somewhat as buckets, and so, for us, what I'm going to talk about today is under Bucket 1, which is our coastal communities and climate resilience. It's a large bucket, and it's about \$2.6 billion, and most of that is going

out in terms of a notice of funding opportunity through the National Ocean Service, and so that's outside of our line office in NOAA, and we're on the National Marine Fisheries Service, and so, if we go to the next slide, what I will talk about today is that, under fisheries, we did have a full set of input that's about a \$1.2 billion investment, but, in this, it covers numerous things, including money for new facilities and some of the other things, in terms of some of the efficient and effective reviews and some money there.

4 5

The bucket that I am going to talk mostly about today is a \$329 million bucket called our Climate-Ready Fisheries Initiative. Just to give you a little bit of background, this is something that I think -- Personally, I've been working on it since last August, and I've been under -- We basically call it a gag order, and we were not allowed to talk about this, because so much was changing through time, and so, if things were approved by the Office of Management and Budget, we were not allowed to talk with anybody about this, outside of our small groups that were developing the proposals.

When we first announced this and were able to talk, that was really the absolute first time that we were able to talk about this, and so it put us under a lot of pressure, in that there was pressure for us to move fast, and there is pressure from partners and key stakeholders for us to work together, and so what we've done is the approach that we did have to select what funding we would get in FY23, and I will reference a little bit about that, but most of the work will be done in FY24, which starts on October 1, and 2025 and 2026.

All of the money that we receive has to be obligated by September 30, 2026, and so we have a three-year window to obligate the funds, and our approach right now is that there were some things that we could move on quickly, that we knew we needed to do, but, other than that, we've taken the last month, or month-and-a-half, as well as the next couple of months, to really continue to try to do some accelerated planning, now that we can talk with partners and stakeholders.

 We'll get into this specifically, and I did see the letter that's coming from the council, and so I understand that, and we'll go through that when we go through that portion of the IRA.

Breaking down this bucket of the \$349 million, what this breaks down into, and the ones with the blue arrows are the ones that I will focus on today, but, ultimately, all of these buckets

together is what comprises that \$349 million. What you have, inside of the buckets, is sort of the descriptions that we've got, with their acronyms, and so thank you, Carrie, for expanding those for clarity, but, also, in red, it will give you an idea of the subcomponent leads in there.

In this, the ones that I will reference today are the top one, and it's essential data acquisition and advanced technology, and this is about a \$105 million bucket, and it's our largest bucket in this \$349 million, and I will describe what that is.

We have a climate and ecosystem fisheries initiative, which is a bucket that I can talk through and answer questions on, but, ultimately, that's across the country, and each region has modeling grids that will be delivered from our partner line office, the Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, to give us an ability to look five years in the future for climate impacts.

Modernizing data is going to give us an ability to modernize our fishery-dependent datasets, but red snapper is a bucket that is pretty much this area, \$20 million that's going into this region, primarily in this area, as well as some of the South Atlantic, and I will talk in more detail about that.

There's a North Atlantic right whale bucket, and there's a Pacific salmon bucket, and there's also this bucket that Dr. Simmons mentioned for the councils that I will go through a little bit, and there was also an administrative overhead bucket that was taken, and it did not come out of money that we proposed, but it was given to us as part of the Inflation Reduction Act spending at NOAA, and this allows us to get administrative support internally to help us run and program manage all of these buckets.

In going through red snapper, and I will go through the detail on this, and, again, this was a \$20 million bucket, and is all going out the door, and we are, I think, keeping hardly any of this, and maybe a tiny bit of funds for travel for some of the people involved on the federal side, but mostly the intent of this bucket was to get out to the states and partners to help with things in the region for red snapper.

 The subcomponent leads on this are Clay Porch and Andy Strelcheck, because of the regional aspect of this, and they're both not here today, and I think Andy might be on the phone at some point, but we're in close coordination with them, as well as working with Dave Donaldson at the Gulf States Marine

Fisheries Commission, and others, and so I will go through this.

4 5

Again, \$20 million that runs from -- We call them fiscal years, and that's October 1 through September 30 for us, and so FY23 is ending on September 30, and FY24 is starting on October 1, and we have \$20 million that will run over that period that I mentioned, and the overarching focus here is to improve recreational catch, effort, and discard estimates from federal and state surveys and improving the state and federal data exchange and harvesting power of advanced technology and artificial intelligence to provide more timely scientific advice from video and acoustic surveys in this region.

The emphasis will be placed on partnership with the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission, as I mentioned, and the state agencies, and, again, the intent of these funds were to go out the door, and it's not to stay internally on the federal side, unlike a few of the other buckets, and so this was the main overview. It is primarily for red snapper, and it's because of the issues with that key widespread fish species that the money was put there, but we do feel that the advanced that can be made with advanced technology, data improvements, state-federal data partnerships, will cross over to other species as well.

Again, the intent, and our goals, are to work collaboratively with the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission, and we had a meeting, about a month or a month-and-a-half ago, with Dave and Gregg down there, and Clay and Andy and I and Richard Cody were down there, and it's really to identify how we can work closely with the Gulf States and work with them to increase the accessibility of state fishery-dependent survey data, to really create GulfFIN as sort of a central hub for data and modernize their ability to do so, and that's a huge investment for us in this bucket.

 We also are looking to develop and implement Gulf of Mexico video and acoustic camera surveys to improve our reef fish timeliness and generation of density estimates. As Clay described this, this is sort of a mini Great Red Snapper Count that we can do on a more recurring timeline, at least through IRA, but this gives us an ability to extend that one point in time, and then a bulk of the money is to be invested in improving and groundtruthing estimates of recreational fishing effort and discards, which represent the two greatest sources of uncertainty in managing and assessing the Gulf of Mexico reef fish.

Again, for these, aside from the Gulf States Marine Fisheries

Commission, that's probably the furthest along, and we're still in conversations with Dave and Gregg and others, and we have the intent that is out there, but the mechanisms, and the way that we're doing it, we're still in development of this, and that's what we're doing over the next few months, is to create these implementation plans, and so we're offering this today to give you the overview of the intent of what we're looking to solve.

4 5

We did make promises, and this is the way that we had to write these proposals for IRA, and we made promises to what we would achieve with the funding, but we didn't go through the details and the mechanisms as to how to achieve that, and so that's what we have, is we have the intent and the goals that are set, but we don't have the mechanisms set, and that's this planning timeline that we're on right now.

The next bucket I'm going to talk about this essential data collection and advanced technologies. The subcomponent leads are Kristen Koch, who is the Science Director from the Southwest Fisheries Science Center in La Jolla, with Tia Brown, who is the Deputy Director of the Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center in Honolulu. They're the leads for this bucket, these two topics.

Again, that's \$1.45, and so, in terms of -- We didn't get \$1.45 billion, and I wish we did, and we have \$145 million, which is \$0.145 billion, and so there's just a decimal point error, and I apologize about that. If anybody wants to help increase that by ten, I'm more than happy to talk with you at the break, but we have \$145 million total for this bucket.

It does include the data modernization piece, and so, again, we're looking at about \$105 to \$107.5 million going to this essential data acquisition and advanced technology. The goal of what we proposed for this was to mitigate the recent loss of atsea survey capabilities, and this is in conjunction with losses that we had in COVID, the cost of inflation, the inability to OMAO, or Office of hire staff for Marine and Aviation Operations, and so how do we mitigate that in the short-term, while we try to rebuild.

 Again, essential data acquisition means that we're investing funds in getting the data that we need to get into the scientific process and manage the resources in the regions. This is across the country, but there is point of -- There are funds being -- Especially down in the Southeast, and so, again, that's part of advanced technology, is, while we're trying to mitigate to get us back to being able to collect data for

surveys at a level of probably back in 2018, because of the issues that we see, because of the need to incorporate and acquire even more data, we feel that, without incorporating advanced technology and diversifying the way we apply our data, we're not going to have a really bright future, and so we're looking to also operationalize advanced technology, and so, in this, we have about seven different advanced technologies that we have gone through.

4 5

There was a next-generation data acquisition planning exercise that helped identify the ones that we chose to invest in, and so we are investing in advanced technology to get to an operationalization to help us diversify this ocean acquisition to allow us to get the data that we need.

Specifically for this region, one of the things that we decided upon early was to set aside a million dollars for the Southeast Fisheries Science Center to acquire another small boat, around the same size as the Southern Journey, and the reason for this was that a lot of the work that's being done, especially in this region, could use a small boat, could leverage it, and we made the purchase, and the money was given to Clay, and he's going out to look for that vessel now.

There will be a retrofit that's also part of this, to ensure that it can do the operations needed in the Southeast Fisheries Science Center, and then, in our annual appropriations, we will set aside an operating budget for this vessel. This vessel now becomes part of our diversified fleet to help collect the information that we need in this region.

Again, the goals for us here, what we promised in this, is that we said that we would operationalize the data tools that were recommended in this next-generation data acquisition plan, and that is almost finished, and I have a version in my inbox that we're reviewing for final content, and then we will release that to the public. That does identify the advanced technologies, as well as ways to acquire data for us in the Fisheries Service, and that was used to inform the prioritization for these advanced technology fleets.

We want to lead us to a distributed fleet, so that we can leverage risk, and we don't have risk in one particular set of assets to acquire data, and we hope that the IRA can transform us into that diversified capability.

We set this up under what we call Strategic Initiative Working Groups, and that allows us to have project management in each of

the advanced technologies nationally, with an established lead, and they are working to create proposals right now for how they are planning to operationalize those advanced technologies, and, again, the ones that we're talking about are uncrewed systems, omics, advanced acoustic systems, active as well as passive, optical systems, camera-based, remote sensing and satellite, as well as others, and then social science, which we put in there as a strategic initiative, and so these are all ways to acquire data that we're doing beyond the traditional means on our white vessels.

4 5

Again, we emphasized the use of advanced technology and coordination with our strategic use of funds for this traditional platform data primarily in 2023 and 2024, to allow us to keep the cadence and the amount of data acquired to be commensurate with what the science needs are in the agency.

Then this is the one that is specific to the councils, and what I wanted to point out is that Kelly Denit, who is the Director of the Office of Sustainable Fisheries, is the lead on this one, and I will go through the slides that she created for us, and it's sort of a high-level overview, and I know that Dr. Simmons is going to talk more on this.

Again, I do understand that there's an active conversation in this space, and I will present you what we have currently right now in the current plan, and I will answer whatever questions I can on this, and then Dr. Simmons is going to go further, and there will be a discussion.

The total amount is \$20 million in total funding from FY2023 to 2026, and the way that it's set up, and that Kelly described it, is this will be proposal-based, and, in the proposals, the councils must create proposals that are focused on fishery management and governance topics.

The actions that are funded under IRA will contribute to the following goals, and that would be implementation of fishery management measures needed to advance climate-ready fisheries, by improving climate resiliency and responsiveness, development and advancement of climate-related fisheries management planning implementation efforts in support of underserved communities, and, again, if you remember, the entire bucket was called climate-ready fisheries, and our overarching proposal was to help the Fisheries Service, the councils, management and science, transform into an entity that is able to do climateready management and provide climate-ready science products. That is why you see a lot of this flavor overarching in the

guidance from these documents.

2

4 5

6 7

8

Again, some of the priorities that were set forward, trying to operationalize the fish climate vulnerability assessments or other scientific products, like the ecosystem status reports, integrated ecosystem assessments, and, again, part of this is to get to just ecosystem-based fishery management, but really this climate-ready framework that we're really looking to move to over the years.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

Operationalize recommendations from the climate scenario planning efforts that have been done, implement management changes to address climate vulnerability, improve climate-resiliency of fisheries, including those are important to underserved communities, and so things you're hearing in fisheries and the EEJ input into underserved communities.

16 17 18

19

20

21

Implement measures that increase the responsiveness of allocations or other management measures to climate impacts and climate-related fisheries develop and advance implementation efforts planning and in support of the underserved communities, again.

222324

25

2627

2829

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

The criteria here, I'm not going to read the whole thing, but, again, you can see this was set up a proposal-based system, and the council could submit these based on the goals they were looking to achieve. You know, the purpose of the IRA funding as well, and I've reiterated that the funds need to be obligated by September 30, 2026, and so this is a short-term investment, and that is why words such as "transformational" have been used, because this is a three-year pulse to really help us get to a future state that then the intent would be for us to be able to support that in annual appropriations, assuming that the annual appropriations are what we have now and not assuming increases, again, the hope is that we can show so, transformational capability, and we can also hopefully show that, if there is an increased need, we can advocate for that, but we should not plan for those being there post-2026.

38 39 40

41

42 43 Again, there will be high-priority consideration given to actions that leverage existing tools, and not to create any new ones, and actions that are completed within this three-year timeframe, and then, where possible, cross-council projects and initiatives, where they make sense, and so those were the criteria that were set forward.

45 46 47

48

44

In terms of the process, FY23, again, is sort of in the same spirit of we were given a very short time before September 30 of

this year to implement things, and so, rather than try to rush, let's work to get the conversation started and getting the planning team operating, and then we go to the out years, where most of the work would happen, and so FY23 is set up to allow for finalizing the priorities for projects, based on feedback, and initiating the specific grants to each council.

4 5

Then, in the out years, they would release the proposals and execute the technical review to get this process started, determine the funding amounts to each council, and then adding funds to specific grants to the councils happening in that early time period, and I would assume more in the 2024 than later, because you want to get started on this.

They would monitor progress through time, and then all the actions must be completed, or in the final phases of approval, by 2027, and that is said there because we have to obligate the funds from the federal government by September 30, but, if it's obligated to a grant for that mechanism, then there could be a way for it to extend beyond that date, because the money is obligated, but it would need to be finished up in short order, and so the 2027 is what they have is when things need to be finalized by.

Again, the next steps, and I think this is the conversation, Dr. Simmons, that you're going to go through, but refine the priorities and criteria based on feedback, implement the grants, and the request for proposal opens, and then we would go through the technical review and get started in FY24, and then they would work with you to support completion of the identified projects and implementation of management measures.

That is all that I have today for the overview, and, again, for us, we have been working on this for a long time, creating and revising and presenting, and OMB approved this a month or a month-and-a-half ago, and we started the conversations, and these are the presentations we've been given, really to give an information, it's time overview of and now for those conversations to get us to the final planning and execution, and so, with that, Dr. Simmons, thank you for the opportunity to speak here today, and I will turn it back to you for questions.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: All right. Thank you, Dr. Howell. Is there any questions? Mr. Gill.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Dr. Howell, for the presentation and the information. I would assume it's in the works, but, if it's not, I would like to request that we

get at least an annual update on the expenditure of these funds and the progress made relative to that, and I guess that would come somewhat from the Science Center, or perhaps from Dr. Simmons, but, from the agency standpoint, that you update us to as to what's happening and what progress is being made or not being made. Thank you.

4 5

DR. HOWELL: I appreciate the point, Mr. Gill, and, yes, and, I mean, I think we're working on the timing of when we give those updates, and we're going to have to provide those updates to Congress, but their approach for IRA is that we want to be able to publicly state, you know, what we're working on, especially the things that are crossed over with partners, and, more importantly, if there are things that are internal, for transparency and communication, and so I appreciate your point, and I will take that back, and there's also more influence to get us to come up with that earlier.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Mr. Anson.

MR. KEVIN ANSON: I'm not on your committee, and thank you for recognizing me. Thank you, Dr. Howell, for the presentation and information. Just a point of clarification, mentioned obligated, funds being obligated, and then the actions being completed within three years, that time period, and I just wanted to make sure that you can have funds obligated, but then that's obligated toward a project that can be multiple years in length, and then that activity would go on for the additional time and then be completed, and so I just wanted to make sure, be obligated and do the funds have to completed, essentially, by the end of FY26, or can a project be started, i.e., obligated, the funds obligated, but then go over past that time?

 DR. HOWELL: I will give you what I know today, which is that, as far as I'm aware, and we're looking at the mechanisms, if we obligate, as the federal government, by September, we can obligate to a contract or a grant or a project that can extend for the life of that project, but it would depend on the mechanism, and so it will be an active conversation, but, yes, as far as I'm aware, there is the potential to extend beyond that, based on the mechanism.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Mr. Geeslin.

MR. DAKUS GEESLIN: Thank you, Dr. Howell, and I appreciate you sharing this with us, and I'm certainly encouraged by all the multiple streams of funding coming in to address really complex

issues, and as we, as council members, and you all at the service are dealing with, and we've got the IRA coming our way, and we've got the rec initiative that Mr. Strelcheck laid out a couple of meetings ago, and, more recently, we have the FES challenge that we need to navigate through.

As we continue to look at these circles swirling around, I just want us to think about how we leverage those and coordinate all those moving parts, so that we don't have three different distinct efforts ongoing and that we're not leveraging some kind of complementary, you know, goals in mind, and it seems like there's just a lot coming at us right now, and maybe we'll continue those discussions, and maybe hear a little bit more about some of that, during your lunchtime address. Thank you.

DR. HOWELL: Point taken, and I appreciate that, and I think that, for all of us, we're looking to do that, and I think, in my mind, using the existing teams and mechanisms that are available for us, in terms of some of the crossover of the regional implementation teams, the transition teams, as well as the council process, but, also, if we can tighten our annual planning, and getting to Mr. Gill's point, and, I mean, if we have an annual planning, and I'm not sure how it's done here in the Southeast, but, if for the Southeast, the Science Center and the management entity come up with annual goals, and then we can look at progress there and make sure that we're still aligned, and I'm offering that as one potential, and you know it better than I do, but I think that's something that we can talk through.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Dr. Simmons.

 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you for the presentation. Evan, do you know when the decision will be made regarding -- I think it's like the data acquisition piece of the funding, and when will you know how much each region is going to receive? How is that process going to work?

DR. HOWELL: Sure, and so, for us, we had to make a decision on what to allocate, meaning where to send funds for our Fiscal Year 2023, because, by the time we were approved, the money had to do, and it does not have to be spent, unlike most government money, in the fiscal year, in a two-year process for appropriations, and so the money that we received can extend until 2026, and that was something different for us, and so we don't have to spend it right now, but we had to allocate it. For us, we allocated it based on where we thought it needed to go, and so the initial things were say the boat at the Southeast

Fisheries Science Center, investments like that.

4 5

 For FY24 allocations, which we expect more to go out the door, we are looking to make those probably in the next couple of months, and I think that there need to be an initial proposal put together by September 30, and so we're kind of in that point right now, and I think that's why you might be feeling a little bit of a push for us to get some plans together, and so I do think that, if there are things that you want to offer as feedback, now is a great time to do it, to get it into that for the FY24, and we will be asking for funds every year through 2024, 2025, and 2026.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Go ahead, Dr. Simmons.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and so I can certainly work with Dr. Walter and Dr. Porch, but, I mean, in the Southeast, we are so data-poor, for many of our surveys, on many of our just highly-targeted species, and we don't have basic life history information.

We don't know where many of the species are recruiting to, because the current surveys that we have don't capture that, and so I think that there's a lot of work, you know, that we could do there to build upon the current process, and I understand that, after a certain number of years, that that funding goes away, but perhaps there's a better way, during this trial period, that we decide to phase out a different survey and move forward with one of the new surveys, or whatever happens that way, but there's just many data gaps in the Southeast that I really hope that some of this funding can be used to help us fill in those gaps.

You know, just for example, we don't know where some of the king mackerel recruit to, and we don't know where the amberjack, the small amberjack, are recruiting to, and we don't have a survey, currently, that captures that, and so that's just an example. Thank you.

 DR. HOWELL: That's a great point. I would recommend, for any council, and I will say that here specifically, work with your local Science Center and Regional Office. They are the best point of contact right now, in sort of allocating out what's needed, and we have these issues throughout, but you have a very unique situation here, with the number of species that you have and the paucity of data that you have.

Each region has their own unique -- Some might see a tremendous

need on one species, and you might have a tremendous need on multiple, and so I do think that talking with Clay and Andy about, you know, what techniques -- I like your approach of like how we might take out something out to transform to that new survey that would be more long-term and stable, and that is something that we can talk about, and so I would say let's continue to work with them, and feel free to reach out to me directly as well, and I think that's what we're looking at here, is sort of those essential data needs.

4 5

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Any other questions? Thank you, Dr. Howell. We appreciate it, and thank you so much for coming here, and that was very interesting, and we look forward to the lunch.

DR. HOWELL: Yes. Me too. Thanks very much.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: All right. Dr. Simmons.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: All right. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and so I'm going to now walk the committee through Tab G, Number 6(c), and so these slides speak to a follow-up, and we had several follow-up meetings, but kind of the outcome from the meetings we had after the July 17 briefing, and this just speaks to the regional management council pot of the IRA funding that we're talking about, the \$20 million that the councils potentially would receive.

In a memo to Ms. Denit and Dan Namur and others, we made it clear that we don't want to compete for the funding against other regional management councils. We felt like it's not practicable or really an efficient use of our resources to compete against other regional councils for this pot of funding.

In the proposal to us, the councils were encouraged to develop collaborative projects, and we felt that a competition would not support the collaborative, you know, camaraderie of us putting together these proposals, if that was in fact the encouragement for this fund, and so we felt that that was counterproductive.

The other concern that we had was the list of priorities that was presented to the regional councils, and many of the regional councils aren't on even footing, as far as like the ecosystem status reports, and we're working on updating ours, but many other councils get those on an annual basis, and other regional councils have climate vulnerability indices, and we don't have one of those in the Gulf right now, and so, if we were to compete, many of the councils really weren't on even footing to

start with, and, if we're being, I guess, graded on whether or not we could operationalize those various products through the council process, and so that was the other concern regarding competition.

Then, you know, just being nearly impossible to come to you all to talk about, you know, having a plan without having a number that we know that -- We also thought that it created an undue administrative burden for all involved.

One of the proposals to the regional management councils was the additional proposed funding of \$2 million to be divided up among the regional councils, and there was a couple of different ways that could happen. It could be equally, or it could have been based on our council apportionment on our admin award, but we just felt that was too small to start with, and so this is the initial pot of money that we're expecting come October 1.

We thought that that was too low to create a framework for developing these programs, which require more stability and workload and other things for infrastructure, staffing-wise, for us to really try to operationalize this and use this funding appropriately, and so, as part of this, the IRA funds cannot be used to pay for current staff, which is the other reason we thought that the \$2 million divided among the regional councils was too small, because -- Unless you take your current staff and move them to the IRA responsibilities, and we are currently at capacity with the current ongoing council activities, and so, again, we thought that number was too low.

More practicable initial funding would be something we came up with around \$6 million, and that could be equally divided amongst the councils, and that number was kind of -- Different councils had different needs, but one of the things that we were thinking about is that would help a council hire a contractor for three years, because it's very difficult to bring someone onboard and hire them when you only have one year of funding, and, by the time you get them in place, sometimes you only have eight months of funding left, and we thought that could provide stability, and that would be a more appropriate number to start with for the regional councils.

 Each council would then provide a budget and a narrative for review and approval, prior to the release of that funding. We really appreciate the concept of the funding, but we just felt that initial funding was just too low.

If we were to get something like more along the lines of the \$6

million to start with, that would give us roughly \$14 million left, and then that could be allocated across the councils, depending on, you know, what the final decision is, and we would be able to provide those proposals, and we would have a known budget that we could bring to our council, and constituents could weigh-in for those particular funds, and that would help us prepare and, you know, have actual operational activities that we could better incorporate into our management decisions.

4 5

What staff is recommending for our follow-up steps is we have a call, and so we put this request in, and we don't know any of our answers yet, and we have a follow-up call with all the regional management councils and NOAA leadership, and that's going to happen on August 22.

Hopefully, once that initial funding amount is determined, staff will develop a budget and a narrative to submit to the Regional Grant Coordinator, and that will have to happen ASAP, right, because they're releasing that money on October 1 of this year, is our understanding, and then, during our October council meeting, we'll brief the council on that and start thinking about, depending on this process and what they decide, whether it's going to be competitive or not, how we go about developing our proposals and budget narratives for the remaining funding and what that would look like and what we might accomplish with that funding for October. I think the next slide is just pictures from our trip to the Keys for questions and feedback.

CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Okay. Is there any questions for Dr. Simmons? Mr. Gill.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Dr. Simmons. Do I understand correctly that there have been no discussions with the agency leadership to this point relative to this concept, and their reaction to it, and that is coming after this, or during this, call here next week?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: I mean, I think there's been side conversations, but no formal consultation, since this proposal was developed and sent back to NOAA leadership. I think that's what we're trying to accomplish with the August 22 call.

 MR. GILL: So there's no indication, at this point, whether the agency is willing to entertain this idea or not, and you will determine that next week and then see what you do from there, correct?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Correct. That's the boat we're in,