1	GULF OF MEXICO FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
2	
3 4	ECOSYSTEM COMMITTEE
5 6	Embassy Suites Panama City Beach, Florida
7	October 25, 2023
8	, , , , , ,
9	VOTING MEMBERS
10	Bob GillFlorida
11	Kesley BanksTexas
12 13	Susan BoggsAlabama
13 14	Billy BroussardLouisiana Dave DonaldsonGSMFC
15	Tom Frazer
16	Michael McDermott
17	Anthony OvertonAlabama
18	Andy StrelcheckNMFS
19	Troy WilliamsonTexas
20	
21	NON-VOTING MEMBERS
22	Kevin Anson (designee for Scott Bannon)Alabama
23	Rick Burris (designee for Joe Spraggins)Mississippi
24 25	Dale DiazMississippi
25 26	Jonathan DugasLouisiana Dakus Geeslin (designee for Robin Riechers)Texas
27	Chris Schieble (designee for Patrick Banks)Louisiana
28	C.J. Sweetman (designee for Jessica McCawley)Florida
29	Ed Walker
30	
31	STAFF
32	Assane DiagneEconomist
33	Matt FreemanEconomist
34	John FroeschkeDeputy Director
35	Beth HagerAdministrative Officer
36 37	Lisa HollenseadFishery Biologist Mary LevyNOAA General Counsel
38	Natasha Mendez-FerrerFishery Biologist
39	Emily Muehlstein
40	Ryan RindoneLead Fishery Biologist/SEDAR Liaison
41	Bernadine RoyOffice Manager
42	Carrie SimmonsExecutive Director
43	Camilla ShiremanAdministrative & Communications Assistant
44	Carly SomersetFisheries Outreach Specialist
45 46	OMUED DADMICIDANMO
46 47	OTHER PARTICIPANTS Peter HoodNMFS
4 7	Tim Griner
49	Mandy KarnauskasNMFS
50	-
	1

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	
3	Table of Contents2
4	
5	Adoption of Agenda and Approval of Minutes and Action Guide and
6	Next Steps3
7	
8	Ecosystem Technical Committee Recommendations4
9	
10	Adjournment
11	
12	
13	
14	

The Ecosystem Committee of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council convened at The Embassy Suites in Panama City Beach, Florida on Wednesday morning, October 25, 2023, and was called to order by Chairman Bob Gill.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL OF MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS

CHAIRMAN BOB GILL: I call the Ecosystem Committee to order. Before we get into the agenda, we'll go through the constituents of the Ecosystem Committee, and this is so that those that are not members of the committee should not vote on our motions. I am Chair, and Dr. Banks is Vice Chair. Susan Boggs, Billy Broussard, Dave Donaldson, Dr. Frazer, Mike McDermott, Dr. Overton, Andy Strelcheck, and Troy Williamson constitute the committee.

Going to the agenda, the first item is Adoption of the Agenda. Does anyone have any changes they would like to make to the agenda? Seeing none, is there any opposition to approval of the agenda? Seeing none, the agenda is adopted. Next comes Approval of the June 2023 Minutes. Are there any changes to the June 2023 minutes? Is there any opposition to approval of the June 2023 minutes? Seeing none, the June 2023 minutes are approved. The next item is the Action Guide and Next Steps. Dr. Mendez-Ferrer, if you would take us through that.

DR. NATASHA MENDEZ-FERRER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. On the agenda today, have the Ecosystem Technical Committee we Recommendations, and staff will review the recommendations provided by the ETC, one of the many acronyms you will hear today, the Ecosystem Technical Committee, during its September 2023 meeting. The ETC provided additional feedback on the structure of the fishery ecosystem plan, the FEP, steps within the fishery ecosystem issue, the FEI, loop, and ways to prioritize beginning work on an FEI.

The committee should review the meeting summary and provide feedback on the draft structure on the FEP, as well as consider approving the ETC's recommendations to use red tide as a pilot FEI to further develop the necessary steps to have a successful FEP

 Following this committee, staff will provide the Administrative and Budget Committee an overview of the Inflation Reduction Act climate-ready fisheries council funding priorities and process recently released by NOAA Fisheries. Several ongoing actions

regarding the council's efforts in developing could directly meet the priorities of this new funding opportunity, and any suggestions that the council members may have on identifying additional activities and efforts to consider in future proposals would be appreciated, and so we have quite a few overlapping administrative priorities. Then, if time allows, we have other business that can be brought up for discussion. Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Thank you, Dr. Mendez-Ferrer. I would like to operate a little bit differently in this particular case, and that is, after slide, I would like to pause and have discussion about whatever the item on that slide is, before we move on to the next one, and, if there is no discussion, then it's assumed that the committee agrees with whatever is on that slide.

We do have one motion that I would like to consider, which is on the last slide, and that's on the ETC's recommendation for the FEI to start with, and, with that in mind, Dr. Mendez-Ferrer, if you would take us through your presentation.

ECOSYSTEM TECHNICAL COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

DR. MENDEZ-FERRER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Before I begin, I also want to point out that we have the ETC's chair online, Dr. Mandy Karnauskas, and so, if the committee has any questions that you would like to ask her, she is ready to speak.

All right, and so the way that I have designed this presentation is to highlight the recommendations, and the discussions, that the ETC had for each one of these agenda items, and so, first, I will go over the discussions around the Gulf FEP, and then that will be followed by another iteration of the fishery ecosystem loop, and the committee has some recommendations there that we have modified, and we will be presenting to you, and then we'll discuss the prioritization metrics, and so this was an agenda item that was based around the council's direction for the ETC to come up with ways to rank FEIs, as we open it up for the public, and so this was a homework assignment that we gave the group.

Then we will revisit the FEI list that the group had sort of been working on, but it was an unofficial recommendation, and so we had some good discussions here, and then the last agenda item was the regional management councils' climate resilience funding, IRA funding opportunity, and so the ETC had a presentation from staff on this item, but this will be discussed during the next committee, and I won't cover that during this

presentation.

4 5

Thinking about the FEP, in my mind, the FEP is two things. The FEP is a document, and the FEP is a process, and so we have to - You know, there has to be a way to document this, and so what you're seeing in front -- What you have in front of you is there is like a scheme of what we envision would be included in this document, and so this is a modified version of what LGL had produced for us during their contract work.

In Chapter 1, you know, we introduce the national guidance to implementing EBFM, ecosystem-based fisheries management, into the council process, talk about some examples of the council's EBFM efforts, and the overall goals and objectives of the FEP. Then the second chapter would be like the introduction of what a fishery ecosystem plan would be, how we will be designing it around the FEIs, a protocol for prioritizing the FEIs, because we do expect that, when this is opened up to the public, we may receive a lot of input, but we need to find a way to prioritize them, and begin work, and then a protocol for addressing extra jurisdictional issues, since some of these issues that may come up may not be under the council's purview, but it will affect fisheries.

Another thing is the communications plan, which has been tasked to the O&E Technical Committee, should have its own section. FEIs are strongly based on stakeholder input, and so coming up with a good plan should be very well documented within the FEP, and the Chapter 4 will be explaining more how the FEI loop -- What that process would look like.

The ETC recommended adding an appendix that would host the list of FEIs that are on the docket, and then that this list would be revised at an interval determined by the council, and it can be annual, or it can be biannual, or it could be every five years, and so that's a way to maintain transparency and let the people know that, hey, these are the FEIs that we've received and the things that we may be considering.

Then, as we work on this FEI language and having separate modules, and these could live within our council ecosystem website, where you have access to the FEP, but, if we're working on an FEI, say red tide, then that would be a separate link, a separate document, that the people can access and see the progress on how things are looking. I guess I can stop here and see if the committee likes this framework, the format of how the document is looking, and like is there any additional information that you think might be important that would be

helpful for the council to include in here, and, otherwise, I can continue.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Any discussion by the committee on the document? It's pretty quiet. You all might need some more coffee. I have one comment on the appendix, and the revision schedule will show up on a later slide, and I think we ought to have some discussion on that, about our thoughts as to what that frequency ought to be to help guide the ETC in their further discussions, but, seeing no discussion, Natasha, let's move on.

DR. MENDEZ-FERRER: Sure, and one thing that I do want to mention is that, you know, right now, we haven't actually begun writing, right, and so just keep in mind that this is a flexible process, and this may change as we move along.

 Thinking about the process of the FEP, the ETC recommends staying, you know, very involved, as we develop this, and having the opportunity to review and reassess the FEI list, as we prepare that, and the whole FEI loop, to ensure the success of the FEP, and so we do expect to be, you know, keeping them involved as we start working on drafts of this document.

There was a discussion around the annual revisions of this FEI list, since this is something that may entail a large workload, and so the group was thinking that maybe, you know, a three to five-year time range might be more appropriate, but we don't have to make a decision on that right now, and I think this is something just to keep in the back of your head, and, once we have a more finalized process, and maybe we open up -- When we receive the initial list of FEIs, we can make a decision on how we think we need to be addressing them. Another thing is just persistent feedback and communication with the public is very important throughout this process. If we go to the next slide - Andy.

MR. ANDY STRELCHECK: I mean, one comment, and then I certainly am cognizant of workload, and the council members are appointed to three-year terms, and I think having a review within that three-year cycle, at least for every member, would be ideal, and I think that staff, and those working on the FEIs, could decide kind of what level of detail and information that that review would entail, so that we could manage the workload.

DR. MENDEZ-FERRER: That's a good point.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Any other discussion on the previous slide? One thing that's not there, Natasha, is the consideration of

revision of the FEP. It seems, to me, that, while we're talking about revising the FEI list, then, at some greater frequency, consideration of no earlier than five years, is my preference, and that it be something like seven, but we ought to be thinking in terms of that, because the FEP won't be static, and the world will be different by the time we get down there, and so I think some consideration of that would probably be -- Any discussion by the committee relative the FEI revision, or to Andy's comments? It's quiet this morning. Susan.

4 5

MS. SUSAN BOGGS: You know I don't like to be quiet. I would concur with Andy. I mean, I think that's a very good point, because at least the council might feel like -- A council member might feel like they've completed something.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Thank you. I think that's it, Natasha. Next slide.

DR. MENDEZ-FERRER: That's a good point, and I think, as we've been -- Especially like looking at the ecosystem loop, and the FEI loop, and thinking about the communications plan, we were planning on having some checkpoints along the line, to just see how we're doing, you know, what kind of progress we're making and how are the stakeholders responding.

The next item that we discussed was the fishery ecosystem issue loop, and the committee saw a draft of this modified version at the June meeting, and so LGL produced the first draft of what an FEI loop would look like. The ETC had some recommendations in streamlining it, and making it easier to understand, and so we moved away from boxes and arrows and into this more circular diagram, but still following the -- You know, still following a similar outline of what the steps would be.

Different from the version that you saw in June, we added a new step, and the Ecosystem Technical Committee recommended having this Number 3, where the star is, and it's execution of the workplan, to kind of indicate that, okay, we've already done the scoping, and we have a workplan of what we need to do, and this is where we begin, and so that's the new step that we've included, but the rest of the steps just remain the same, and everything just got renumbered.

First is scoping, and second is the workplan, three is the execution of the workplan, and then four is probably where we would spend also a lot of time in like the implementation, doing tradeoff analyses, what kind of research we need to be doing, and then, once all of that is completed, it gets brought to the

council, and then the council then -- That's the management recommendation process, and either a management action can come out of this or it can help better inform like why we selected an alternative as preferred.

Then, after all of that, there is the evaluation process, like are the performance metrics being met, were there any unintended results, or anything that we weren't accounting for that we need to consider, and all of this falls under the big umbrella of we need to keep stakeholders engaged. There is a constant feedback loop of we need to learn and adjust and keep the communication going with our stakeholders. Are there any questions about the FEI loop?

CHAIRMAN GILL: Committee, any comments? Peter.

MR. PETER HOOD: I realize that this will be somebody else's problem, but one of the questions that I have is just really how does the IPT function in this system, and, you know, for a normal IPT, you start with a council motion, and we end up with a management action, and, you know, how does an IPT work here?

I guess what I'm concerned with -- I think, when you set up an FEI, you know, that sort of is going to be the starting point of an IPT, but what is actually the end of it, and, you know, there's a lot of development here, and then you get to doing the management action, which, you know, takes quite a while, and I guess I just want -- It would be nice to know what the endpoint is, and how long somebody is going to be committed to an IPT, because it could really be a -- You know, it could just take a lot of staff time. Thanks.

DR. MENDEZ-FERRER: We need more staff. No, but that is a good question, and I've been scratching my head from that, you know, coming from the traditional IPT process when we're developing our documents, and, in thinking about how complicated some of these FEIs are going to be, and what kind of knowledge and expertise are we going to have, and do we need to think about, as we're working on this, you know, having like the ETC more involved, and I don't have the answers to that yet, which is why I'm hoping that, you know, with a pilot FEI, we can begin to answer some of these questions and figure out what the work dynamic is going to be, as we're moving forward.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Other comments by the committee? Clay.

DR. CLAY PORCH: Thank you, Chair, and I don't think it's so much that people are asleep, but more that you've had like a

little extra coffee, because you are quick. There's a one-second pause.

I love this, and I love the whole idea of this hopper, this structure, but, for this to work, the selection of the FEIS has to involve a criteria that somehow reflects the council's willingness to put management actions into effect based on the recommendations, and so I'm wondering how that fits in.

In other words, what we don't want to do is expend tons of effort developing and doing the research and producing management recommendations that the council really can't act on, and all we do is write letters to other organizations, and so I think it's absolutely critical, somewhere in here, that, when we decide what FEIs to do the work on, that we explicitly consider what kind of actions the council could take.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Good point. Thank you, Clay. Natasha.

DR. MENDEZ-FERRER: Yes, and so, a few slides forward from this, we will be sort of -- We had that discussion during the meeting, on how to prioritize these FEIs, and so hopefully that will answer some of your questions, but, at the same time, you know, there will be issues that will touch on a lot of extra jurisdictional -- Like, at the council, we probably need to think about how we're going to interact with other agencies and how to address these extra jurisdictional issues that maybe could go beyond just writing a letter or being in a taskforce or something like that.

I think the FEP is providing a novel way for us to think about how to stay -- Like how to keep the lines of communication open, hopefully, with other agencies, just to be like, hey, heads-up that these stocks aren't doing very well, and, you know, at the end of the line here, maybe it's more state management, and it's not telling another agency, you know, this is what you need to do, but it's just like, hey, FYI, as you're evaluating, you know, nutrient inflows, or freshwater inflows, I just wanted to let you know that gag is not doing well, and we need to take a closer look at nursery habitat, or just things like that, and so, down the line, I do expect that we need to spend some time on how to flesh out how the council would like to be involved in things that are outside of the purview, but, at the same time, we don't want to limit creativity, and we don't want to limit, too much, stakeholders. It's like, you know, we hear you, right, and maybe we can't do something right now, but we don't know, down the line. Does that kind of answer your question and touch on some of the points?

1 2

DR. PORCH: Kind of, but I will wait until I see that last bullet in the slide you were referring to.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Dr. Simmons.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CARRIE SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I think that's a really valid point, but I think, as we work on this process, we shouldn't, as Natasha said, just throw out some of these very creative ideas that may not directly modify the regulations that the council is working on, but more inform, holistically, the council's decision-making process.

I think, as we develop these, we might not be able to take direct management action on these, until more information is available on that, but I think one of our tasks is going to be to determine, once this is developed, how these are going to inform our current regulatory documents.

Even if we're citing what's in that FEI, or we're better explaining why we're seeing sharks in the summertime, when there is the peak effort, that kind of example, that could help inform, you know, some of the council's decisions, and, unfortunately, I see that that's something that may happen early on, but, to me, that's still a win, and so I think maybe setting expectations, perhaps, is a good point, and we also need to do that with our stakeholder engagement process as well, but hopefully that helped a little bit, but it was part of the prioritization process that we discussed, and that was a metric that was discussed, during the prioritization of the FEIs, with the Ecosystem Technical Committee. Does that make sense?

CHAIRMAN GILL: Natasha, next slide. Sorry, Andy.

 MR. STRELCHECK: You're ignoring me down here. I suggest we take a loyalty pledge, so that we -- No, but, to Clay's point, I was thinking about this as well, right, in terms of where do we see the council in this process, right, and so the green dot in the lower portion of the slide, where the council management recommendations emerge, and the bigger kind of circle, on the lower right, is, you know, where the FEI process is making management recommendations.

I don't think we're going to get to a point where the council is going to steer the process to specific recommendations that we would implement, especially early on, and I don't think we want to do that, and that's what I'm hearing, but, to me, there might be a role that the council could play, in terms of being

informed in discussions with those running the FEI, kind of around Steps 2 and 3, right, and so maybe before the full execution of the plan, but as that development occurs, and so just food for thought, in terms of kind of where we could at least insert some discussion and conversation with those that are operating the FEI.

4 5

CHAIRMAN GILL: Thank you for those comments, Andy. Now next slide, Natasha.

DR. MENDEZ-FERRER: Okay, and so we also had council staff present sort of a vision of how the Outreach and Education Technical Committee would be playing a role in the development of the FEP and the FEI loop, and so, preliminarily, you know, we see this group being really involved, you know, at the beginning of the work, like in identifying the FEIs and how to prioritize them and how to communicate the purpose and the process to the stakeholders.

Then, while we're doing the work, while we're working on, you know, the research and the various parts of the FEI loop, continue maintaining stakeholders' engagement and having sort of like an individualized approach to each one of the FEIs, because, you know, not all the issues will affect stakeholders the same way.

Then, after the FEI loop is completed, again, you know, evaluate how effective the communication was, and is there anything that we need to modify, and do we need to engage people earlier, or do we need to engage people in various -- With various approaches, and so I do -- You know, it's very helpful when we have members of the O&E Technical Committee also attend our ETC meetings, just kind of providing that feedback on like the stakeholders' concerns and approaches, because our meetings sometimes can get a little bit too technical, but, you know, thinking about the FEP is something that we need to keep in mind. You know, there is the science, and then there's also like the public component.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Before we open this up for discussion, I would like to ask Emily if she has any input that we should consider that would help.

MS. EMILY MUEHLSTEIN: Thank you. I have two things, and so Natasha just alluded to this, and the first is this ETC process is really heady. It's like really high-level, and the ETC folks operate on a level of intellect that is hard for, you know, myself to digest, and so having the ETC be part of -- Or having

the ETC and the Outreach and Education Committee work together is really helpful, because we kind of need this intermediary step between what's going on in the ETC and then digesting it through the O&E, and then out to the public, because it's really high-level stuff.

The one thing that I do want to sort of preview for you guys is you are going to look at a motion that came out of the ETC about piloting an issue, and so I would suggest, because we have our first O&E since this has kind of come about, meeting in December. We've set aside some time already for the O&E to start working on this process, and it would be very helpful if you critically look at that motion today, and consider it, because it may help us have a direction for where we need to go starting in December.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Thank you, Emily, and I do intend that we make a motion to solidify that, and so is there discussion by the committee? You all like the plan? That's good. Natasha.

DR. MENDEZ-FERRER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The next slide is going back to Clay's point about how to prioritize the FEI loop, and so, to remind the committee, when the group first saw LGL's iteration, there was a big motion that directed the ETC to continue working on the FEP, to propose the top-four FEIs and come up with a way to prioritize them, and so, you know, thinking about sending this out to the public, how are we going to figure out where to begin in how to address this?

We had an initial discussion with the ETC, during the April meeting, on what the categories that we would need to rank the FEIs would be, but it's a hard process, and the group requested spending more time to think about they would rank these, and so we gave them an assignment, and we gave them a few weeks for them to work on a spreadsheet, in which, you know, they would come up with categories and what values we would assign for each one, so that, you know, we can prioritize the FEIs and do something that's a higher priority, a medium priority, and a low priority, for example.

There were some themes, as a result, that we received, in thinking that we do need to look at, you know, a social component, and we need to consider what are the impacts to stakeholders going to be, and how is this affecting like an ecological scope, thinking about like how it would be affecting our FMPs, and like is this only affecting Spiny Lobster, or is it only going to be Coral, or is it applying to multiple FMPs, and then the geographic scale. Giving it a higher score, the

larger the scope, the geographical scope, would be.

4 5

It was sort of broken into two tiers, and there's that social and ecological tier, but we had the most issues on how to rank the management capacity, and like why it would be ranked highly by the council when looking at these FEIs, and so, within that tier, there were four categories, and they were sort of discussed and felt that might be appropriate, but, again, this is not a final recommendation, and this is something that we're still working on.

One of them was a pathway to actionability, which alludes to what Clay was saying, and then the FEI responsiveness. You know, if there's a management action that comes out of this, is it really -- Is the result from the FEI loop going to lead to a management action? How urgent is it on our priority list, and then what happens if we don't address this right away, and like what are the impacts of not beginning to look into the details and the research, but it's still something that we're working

The group, at this point, couldn't really reach a consensus, I guess, on how would we rank something highly, in terms of like being a management priority, and so I know this is hard, and it was very hard for me too, and so, if the council has any comments on this, great, and, if you don't, that's okay too, and we don't have to make a decision right now, and hopefully, as we move this process forward, we can think about it a little bit more.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Thank you, Natasha. Is there input from the committee? No hands at all? Dr. Simmons.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Well, I just wanted to say that I was really impressed with the membership of the ETC and how much thought they put into it. You know, the individual members put together some different spreadsheets, and they spent a lot of their own time prior to the meeting, and so I was really impressed with that, and I think we have a good group of people working on this, and so I appreciate that.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Thank you, Dr. Simmons. I agree. Other input? Clay.

DR. PORCH: I just wanted to pick up that point again, under the pathway to actionability, and I just wanted to remind the council that it's not as though there is a big pool of funds that is going to feed this, and so we're going to be relying on

us, the Regional Office, the states, to actually supply the horsepower to achieve any of these FEIs, and we all have our prioritization processes.

For instance, you know, I'm going to be held to account how I dedicate our resources to this, and one of the questions would be to what end, and so that's why -- That's kind of the impetus behind my question, in terms of how the council is being involved and in terms of saying, if we get this kind of information, these are the types of actions that we would commit to take, and I think that's going to be really key, because I know I can't invest many resources in something that I don't know what the end of it is going to be. You know, we just provide information and say, oh, it's holistic, but then we don't do anything with it, and do you follow what I mean?

CHAIRMAN GILL: Thank you, Clay. Kevin.

KEVIN ANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm not on your committee, but, to that point, I mean, as I understand this whole FEP and FEI process, I mean, this is an attempt by the council to address, you know, what the agency had wanted to implement amongst all of the councils, fishery management councils, regarding ecosystem-based fisheries management, and so this is our attempt to try to go down that path, and, you know, each council, I think, tailors how they address ecosystem-based fisheries management based on what you just described, is that there is limited amounts of funds and resources, for manpower and such too, to go down that road, and so this would be something I think that the council staff, you know, is also going to be taxed a little bit, and, as you mentioned, states potentially have the potential to provide information, and so, you know, again, this is what I think the culmination is, as of right now, realizing those externalities, if you will, exist, and issues, and so thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Any other discussion by the committee? At this point, I think, before we go to the next slide, I would like to ask Dr. Karnauskas, who is the chair of the committee, to see if she has any input, or comments or thoughts, that we ought to consider from her perspective. Are you there, Mandy?

DR. MANDY KARNAUSKAS: Yes, I'm here. Thank you, Chair, and I'm following the conversation. I think this is a great conversation, and thanks for all the points that are brought up, and I think they're important ones, and I don't have anything additional to add at this point, and thank you for the opportunity.

CHAIRMAN GILL: All right. Thank you. Natasha.

DR. MENDEZ-FERRER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is my slide, and, again, you know, recapping that, initially, in an effort to push the FEP forward, we have some received some direction from the council to select the top four FEIs, but, as you can see, it's a really complicated process, and I feel like we've done a lot of work to-date, and, in coming up with that recommendation at this point, of four FEIs, and it's doable, but I think that we're at a point where we really need to sit down and work on the process.

In having this discussion with the ETC, the group recommends to select one pilot FEI, in order to further develop the FEP process and the FEI loop procedures, and the ETC recommends red tide to be the FEI that is initially piloted, and the reasoning for selecting red tide is that there is enough data out there that we can begin inputting into the process that we have drafted so far, and there is also enough stakeholder and industry buy-in that we can, you know, already utilize, and there has already been stakeholder workshops, and we can have lessons learned to further develop the communications plan.

This might be an opportunity for at least for us, as staff, also to just sit down and start filling in the blanks and maybe coming up with additional questions. There is enough information out there on a red tide that we could potentially just, you know, begin to push this along, and so we're putting this out there for the committee's consideration.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Thank you, and this is the item that I would like the committee to, after discussion, provide a motion on whether we agree or disagree, if we want to do something else, but, with that in mind, is there discussion by the committee? Tom.

DR. TOM FRAZER: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and so, you know, I understand, and appreciate, all the work that's going on, particularly as it relates to red tide. When I was going through this presentation, and looking the various slides and processes and things like that, and so there's a prioritization for FEIs, and that's ultimately what you've got here, but I don't see the process for identifying FEIs, and I didn't see any list of potential FEIs, and so, in this particular case, I have four, right, and they've prioritized one, but I don't really have any way to evaluate what the alternatives were and why this one was better, other than we do a lot of work on that.

1 2

4 5

DR. MENDEZ-FERRER: So this FEI did not go through that ranking process. What the committee saw, in June, was sort of a working list that the ETC had been looking at of what kind of data are out there, and these are some potential issues, but this would be kind of like taking a detour, a slight detour, looking at the prioritization process just yet. One of the discussions, and one of the things that has come up during the meeting, is that, before we even open this up to the public, we need to have a better idea of how this process looks, and I think that selecting a pilot FEI could help us fine-tune that, so that, when we open it up to having the larger FEI list --There are some questions that we still need to answer, but we can't answer them without running it -- You know, taking a test drive, I quess, with it. This is taking -- Like I said, it's taking a detour on that initial motion.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Dave.

MR. DAVE DONALDSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. To Tom's point, I was just curious, and did the group consider any other issues than red tide?

DR. MENDEZ-FERRER: Yes, and so we -- Red tide was -- When we did an exercise, during the April meeting, red tide was one of those that kind of bubbled up as being a potential FEI, and so I do encourage you to look back, and you can see all the sticky notes from all the various recommendations that had come up, but that was the first iteration, and the group hadn't reached a consensus on what the recommendation would be for the council.

When we brought up an update, in June, it was to sort of highlight that, hey, this is sort of where the discussions are going, and do you like the direction, and is there anything that you want us to like pivot, but, again, it was just like the first thought on it, and we don't have like a final list. We were working with five of them, I think, or it was six of them that had bubbled up, and then, in June, the committee said I'm not so sure about these other two, but that wasn't a final recommendation, and I think that there was a bit of confusion during that discussion.

During that April meeting, also, we had a presentation from a researcher that looked at red tide and the various management actions, and the various outcomes, stakeholder efforts and how do they fit in within this mold, and there were some gaps, and so, you know, is this something that there's enough information out there right now that we can work and refine the process, and

I don't know if Dylan -- I don't want to call on Dylan, but he was there during the April meeting, and one of the things that he was recommending was specifically the -- Yes, the stakeholder input is very important, but we also need to come up with a more concise plan, to get better buy-in.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Is there further discussion by the committee? Clay.

DR. PORCH: I just wanted to point out that Dr. Karnauskas had raised her hand to this point.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Thank you, sir. Dr. Karnauskas.

DR. KARNAUSKAS: Thank you, Chair. I just wanted to weigh-in a little more on how the ETC got to red tide as an initial pilot. It was among, you know, one of the FEIs that we discussed in our previous meeting, as Dr. Mendez had mentioned, and we realized that it might not be the, you know, absolutely top priority of the council, but we saw it as something that was doable. There's been a lot of work done on red tide, and we thought it would be really useful to just start going through the motions of, you know, what would this FEI process look like, where might we get stuck, and really just try it out.

This motion was intended to, you know, have this pilot project kind of run in parallel with the fishery ecosystem plan being finalized, so that, you know, we could work through this pilot project, figure out if there's issues with the FEI process, as we've proposed it, and then, if there are issues, if something doesn't work, or if something needs to be tweaked, we could kind of work that into the fishery ecosystem plan before it gets finalized.

The intention was to kind of run this as a parallel process, and I think there was a lot of agreement within the ETC that, you know, we can talk and talk and talk about what we're going to do, but we really would like to start seeing this stuff in action, and so this was a step towards, you know, trying to actually put this into place and start working on it and seeing what results we might get. Thank you.

 CHAIRMAN GILL: Thank you, Mandy. At this point, I would like to get a recommendation from the committee, or, excuse me, a motion from the committee, relative to this recommendation, and I will get to you in a minute, Andy, on what our reaction and suggestion for the ETC is, relative to their recommendation, and so, Andy.

1 2

4 5

 MR. STRELCHECK: Watch out, Mr. Chair. I'm going to get more coffee here in a few minutes. I appreciate getting to the recommendation here, but just a few thoughts, and so, based on what Mandy just said, and, I mean, I appreciate where they're coming from, in terms of completing something that is doable, and, you know, information may be more readily available, and this is an easier topic to pilot, and I think I'm okay with that, and support that, but I would go back to two of the major issues, to me, that I would really like to hit the ground running with FEIs going forward, right, and this is discards and climate change, right, because I think those are going to --

They're continuing to eat our lunch, and, ultimately, they will be very informative to future management around this table, and so I support the red tide from a pilot standpoint, making sure that we kind of work out the kinks in the process, but I would recommend that, you know, we would move to some of those other more weighty topics that may be a little more difficult to wrestle with, going forward.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Thank you for that, and I don't read this as preempting those, and they were on the list of the four, and so I think that continues, but your point is well taken. Tom.

DR. FRAZER: I was just going to say that we didn't know what the four were, right, and so that's where I'm struggling with right now, and, to Andy's point, and to Clay's point, there's only so much that we can do, right, as a council, and as a fisheries management business, and I totally understand how important red tide is, as it relates to the ecosystem and particularly on, you know, the ecology of the fishes that we manage.

The issue that I have is that the council has no control really over anything to do to help resolve the red tide, other than to say it impacts the resource that we manage, and so we're totally dependent on the Southeast Fisheries Science Center and the state agencies and the academic community to weigh-in with information that might tell us how red tide is initiated, you know, how it's transported into the environment, you know, how it might affect all of the fishery resources, and that will take time, right, and so it gets to Clay's point.

You know, what are we going to do to make this information actionable, and so what I haven't seen, and because I wasn't part of the discussion, is, if we embark upon this issue, what's our objective specifically, and maybe we haven't figured that

out yet, but what piece of information is going to allow us to make a management decision, right?

Are we going to relate the forecasting of red tide to a recruitment index, or something like that? If I had that information, I could say, oh, that's cool, because we have those two pieces of information, but I don't know, and I understand that I don't know, and I don't want to be able to be critical, but, to Andy's point, you know, as we move forward, there are things that are happening that we need to know that affect our ability to effectively manage fisheries, right, and how do changes in the environment -- How do existing data -- How might fisheries efforts, for example, shift in response to the changes in distributional patterns of fish, right, and how is climate going to change and affect all of that?

Again, I understand we're in the early stages of all of this, and I'm not being hypocritical, because I spent a lot of my career trying to understand how ecosystems work, and they're complicated, but we -- They require data that we don't have, for the most part, right, and we manage them with a sledgehammer, right, for lack of a better word, and so we have to think about what are the most simple types of information, or data products, that we can get in our hands that are going to allow us to make decisions.

That is what I am struggling with, and, as this evolves, I'm sure that I will get more involved in it, but it's hard for me to make any informed decisions, because I haven't seen any of the information at this point.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Thank you, Tom. Before I call on you, Natasha, I want to defer to the Chairman. We're five minutes past our allotted time, and one way to proceed is to terminate the committee and carry on at Full Council, when we've all had a little more time to discuss this, and Mandy has her hand up, as does Natasha, and how would you like to proceed, sir?

MR. ANSON: Maybe if you can wrap it up in five or ten minutes, to try to get some direction within that time.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Very good, Mr. Chairman. We'll do that. Dr. Karnauskas, if you will give us your input, please.

 DR. KARNAUSKAS: Yes, thank you, and I will try to be brief here, given the time, but I just wanted to try and answer that last question on what actually can the council do, and I agree that a lot of the red tide issues are out of the council

jurisdiction, but the Science Center has done a lot of work that we feel could inform red tide management, along with its collaborators, and so, for example, we have done management strategy evaluations looking at, you know, how the council might think about managing in the future, if the severity of red tide were to increase, and we've looked at VMS data, looking at shifting fleet dynamics, how fleets respond to red tide, and look at what the implications are for behavior in shifting effort, shifting discards, looking at the consolidation that occurs after some of the severe red tide events, and so what happens to the industry following some of the really severe and extended red tide events.

I just wanted to try and answer the question that we do think that there are some elements of this issue that could be relevant to the council management process, and it's not just an issue of, you know, water quality issues that are external to the council process. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GILL: Thank you, Mandy. Given where we are, I think we'll defer further conversation until Full Council, and, after we do the committee report, we'll reopen this, so that we can think about it a little more, about whether the council wants to weigh-in on the ETC recommendation on red tide. With that being said, the next item on the agenda is Other Business, and is there any other business to come under this committee? Seeing none, Mr. Chairman, back to you.

(Whereupon, the meeting adjourned on October 25, 2023.)