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The Habitat Protection/Restoration Committee of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council convened at the Sandestin Golf and Beach Resort, Miramar Beach, Florida, Monday afternoon, June 3, 2019, and was called to order by Chairman Patrick Banks.

ADOPION OF AGENDA
APPROVAL OF MINUTES
ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS

CHAIRMAN PATRICK BANKS: We have a very short agenda for this, and we’re going to run through this pretty darned quick. Members of this committee are myself, Dr. Mickle is Vice Chair, Doug Boyd, Glenn Constant with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife, Dale Diaz, Phil Dyskow, Dr. Stunz, and Mr. Swindell.

The first item on the agenda is Adoption of the Agenda, if I can entertain a motion to accept. Motion by Dr. Stunz, and it’s seconded by Mr. Swindell. Any opposition to the motion? Seeing none, the motion carries and the agenda is adopted.

The next item of business is Approval of the April 2019 Minutes. Are there any changes to those minutes? If none, I will entertain a motion to accept those minutes. We have a motion by Mr. Swindell, and it’s seconded by Dr. Stunz. Moving on to the third item, Dr. Mendez-Ferrer will take us through the Action Guide and Next Steps.

DR. NATASHA MENDEZ-FERRER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For this session, we only have one item, and this is Agenda Item IV. Dr. Lucas will be presenting about Manna Fish Farms’ plans for finfish aquaculture in the Gulf of Mexico, and she will go over the species of interest, the project design, and the status of the permits. For this session, the council members can ask questions and make recommendations, but we will not be voting.

CHAIRMAN BANKS: Thank you. Dr. Lucas, welcome back to the council.

MANNA FISH FARMS, GULF OF MEXICO FINFISH AQUACULTURE OPERATIONS

DR. KELLY LUCAS: I want to thank you all for allowing us to come present to you all today. It’s good to see some of you that I miss seeing your faces, and, some of you that I didn’t know when I left, I look forward to talking with you.

I am Kelly Lucas, and I am the Director of Aquaculture of the Thad Cochran Marine Aquaculture Center at the University of Southern Mississippi. Before I begin, I just wanted to say that
Senator Cochran passed away this previous week, and today was his memorial service. He was a huge supporter of aquaculture and research and education, and I am very honored to have my center named after him, and my condolences to his family.

For this project, I will be acting as the agent, and I’m going to introduce you to our team as well as introduce you to the applicant, who is here as well.

Just a general overview for you. Like I said, I’m going to introduce you to the team, and we’re going to talk about the applicant and introduce you to Manna Fish Farms and provide you with some information that you saw in the presentation kind of about the timeline, where we are, what remains to be done, and we’ll talk about the site requirements and a little bit about the species information, how we did the site screening, and go over some of the cage design and draft information for that and talk a little to you about the production as well as feed usage.

To begin, I’m going to ask -- My team is here, and so, when you get to the point where you ask questions, I am happy to let them address you. I think most of them are in the back of the room, standing up, but Donna Lanzetta is the CEO and Founder of Manna Fish Farms. She’s in the very far back of the room there.

Mike Meeker is COO of Manna Fish Farms, and he is also the inventor of the Storm Safe Submersible Cage, and he is here, and he gladly will can answer any of your engineering-related questions that you have.

Reg Blaylock is with us today, and he’s the Assistant Director, and he’s helping a lot with the biology-related information, and was unable to be here, but he with the Hydrographic Science Center that was responsible for the baseline environmental survey work. Stephanie Otts is with us, and Stephanie is from the University of Mississippi, and she also represents Mississippi-Alabama Sea Grant as well as the Sea Grant Law Center, and she is responsible for the outreach related to this project.

Michael Chambers is with the University of New Hampshire and New Hampshire Sea Grant, and he was unable to be with us, and his team will be responsible for modeling of the cages at New Hampshire. Ken Riley, James Morris, Lisa Wickliffe, and Jon Jossart are with the NOAA National Center for Coastal and Ocean Science, and they’re a part of this project team, and Ken Riley is here with us today. Dan Warren with P&C Scientific, LLC is our marine archeologist for the program.
NOAA NCCOS was extremely helpful, as you all will see through the presentation, with all the siting and screening-related information.

Manna Fish Farms is located in New York, and they are committed to being sustainable and transparent through this process as well as best aquaculture management practices, which is a global program for certification that you subscribe to. They are currently permitting finfish farms here in the Gulf of Mexico as well as in the Northeast, off the eastern Long Island Sound of New York, and I did have somebody point out a typo to me today, and so please, if you’re looking at the presentation and you’re going to Manna’s website, it is mannafishfarms. I did leave off an “a”, and I apologize.

I also would be remiss not to point out a lot of the agency help that we had here. Jess Beck, who is the NOAA Aquaculture Coordinator from the Southeast Science Center has been an extreme help, and Tyler with the EPA, and Brad Andrews with the Corps of Engineers has been an extreme help, as well as Portia Sapp with the Florida Department of Agriculture. It’s been an extreme help in getting us through this process.

Here is just a timeline of past events and how we got to where we are, and so some of which you will see. The University of Southern Mississippi was awarded a grant from the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission, and, as part of that grant, one of the things we were doing is looking at permitting a commercial-scale demonstration aquaculture farm in the Gulf of Mexico. We were awarded that in June of 2018, and one of the first things in the grant we were supposed to do is find an applicant, the person that was going to run this finfish farm in the Gulf of Mexico.

Actually, as I was preparing to go out and make some contacts, I got a call from a mutual person on this grant, Michael Chambers, who said, hey, I’ve been working with Manna Fish Farms, Donna Lanzetta, and we’re going to be testing some of their cages in our aquaculture site, and I think she’s interested in doing this.

After a lot of conversation, we were committed to a lot of the same goals and being transparent and being sustainable and really helping to educate throughout this process, and so we signed the MOA with Manna Fish Farms in August of 2018. Shortly after that, we discussed with NOAA’s team about the farm areas of interest, and so you’ll see a little bit about the siting
criteria that we asked them for when we went out to screen for
what areas we wanted to site this farm in. They did this
analysis for us in the fall of 2018.

In that timeframe is when the judgment came down that basically
said that NOAA should not regulate aquaculture through the
Magnuson-Stevens Act, and that final judgment was issued in
November, and so we did have a lot of discussion about how do
you proceed, knowing this, because we were operating previously
under the Gulf aquaculture permit rule, and here we are now in
the situation where, as somebody pointed out to me, we’re now no
different than any other region.

Well, we are. We may now be operating under the same rules as
every other region, and that kind of leveled the playing field
there, but we had something that was unique. We had a process,
because the council had started the GAP, the Gulf Aquaculture
 Permit, Plan, and that meant that the agency work was being
done, and all of those conversations were being had. They had
formed an interagency working group, and they were communicating
with one another, and, luckily for us, even after the judgment
came down, they were willing to keep that synergy going and find
a way to work through this.

Whereas we’re now on a level playing field, I will say we’re
unique, in that we have that line of communication going on, and
so we’ve been working with them, and we continued some parts of
that plan, even though it wasn’t necessary anymore.

We did go ahead and complete the pre-application checklist that
had been created by NMFS, and we used that to supply the
information for the interagency briefing, which we held in
December of last year, and, during that phone call, and you’re
on the phone with all the agencies, and NOAA was there, the EPA,
the Corps of Engineers, BSEE, BOEM, all of your Department of
Defense agencies, really the whole alphabet, the Fish and
Wildlife Service, and a little bit of everybody was on the call.

As you will see moving forward, the site we chose is in a
military area, and so we did request some information, on that
phone call, from the Department of Defense as to if they would
be willing to allow aquaculture in that area, and they went
through the process at that time, since we asked the question,
of looking to see if it was going to be okay.

As you will see, they issued the clearance from the Department
of Defense of using this area in February, which really allowed
us to move forward with a baseline environmental survey, which
is all the bathymetric surveys, and so just kind of a how we got
to where we are.

There is still a lot of things we have to do, and so we are --
We just finished all the bathymetric survey stuff last week, and
they’re in the process of processing it all today, and I’ve been
hearing updates, and so we surveyed 724 acres, and so we need to
find 120 acres within that seven-hundred-plus that this farm can
operate on, and so we’re looking to do that.

We still have to do the effluent modeling, which will not be
done by us. That is through the EPA and NOAA. What we will do
is supply them with information about our feed and the amount of
feed we plan to use and the supplier, and they will model it on
their end.

The structural modeling is performed by us, and that will be the
University of New Hampshire through some guidance that has just
recently been issued by NOAA about structures and things that
need to occur as part of this, and they will be modeling not
only for your everyday conditions, but for storm events, and
they do have Hurricane Michael storm information, and so they
can model for that storm event as well.

They will start that structural modeling after we actually pick
the site, so that we know exactly what the conditions are in the
area for them to use, and we’re going to also take a little bit
more current information. From what we understand, the area
that we’re looking at has higher currents in September, August,
and the October area, and so we want to actually record those
currents over that timeframe and put that into the modeling as
well.

We still have to go and apply for all the permits, and so the
EPA’s permit, the Corps of Engineers Section 10 permit, and then
get the Coast Guard authorization for navigation.

Here is a little bit about the scale of the project. When we
started, and I told Ken -- I was like, the Louisiana/Mississippi
line all the way over through the Florida Panhandle, and right
in the middle of Mississippi, and I thought that was a good
location there. Our depth requirements are fifty to fifty-five
meters, and so that is based on the cage. If you want to ask
questions to the engineer, you can, but you want to be able to
submerge the cage far enough down and still have enough water
depth below the cages so that, when a storm comes through, that
you are mitigating for those waves.
We had a couple of preferred ports, and the object there was to minimize the farm to the port distance, but trying to avoid user conflicts and habitat conflicts and things of that nature, and getting into port as well. We had some basic requirements for water temperature and current speed that is needed for aquaculture, and we did expand our species list after the Gulf Aquaculture Permit moved away, and so there was a whole bunch of species that we were considering. We have since narrowed that down, and a lot of that is based on biology and what is known about species and the availability of species in our area.

Red drum is the species that rose to the top. There is local brood stock available for red drum, from Florida, and genetics is done through tissue samples and fin clips and can be retained. You can move the F1 progeny into the cages, and so having that species that was already local and available, and red drum is one of the most known, in terms of aquaculture especially, in the U.S.

Then I think you all heard a little bit about almaco jack when Neal Sims’ group was here, and that’s another species that could be a contender, but the production levels for the fingerlings probably isn’t large enough just yet, and so maybe something in the future, and so there is still a list of species that can be available, but that is the species that we’re moving forward with.

In terms of looking at siting, as you can see by this map, some of the criteria that I told them was looking between fifty and fifty-five meters from the Mississippi/Louisiana line all the way over through the Panhandle. What you’re seeing here is the suitability, and so red is not very suitable, moving towards blue, which is suitable, and you will see kind of all these things they had to consider, and this is not the whole list that they had to consider. They probably cannot fit the whole list on this screen, but these are the ones they get the most questions about, and so here is a list of the data that was considered in this project, and then their siting model, of course, is on the side, and I will show you how it kind of works here.

Again, Ken Riley with NOAA is here to answer any questions about their siting tool, but, if you see the gridded area in the screen, and this would be like your area of interest for your farm, and you have that submarine cable moving across, and clearly that now becomes a less-compatible area, because that is a conflict area, and so they do this data layer after data layer, to get to what is the relative suitability.
Just some maps for you all’s convenience there with your navigational channels as well as some of the other things, like your disposal sites that are included, and the black ring that you’re seeing there is kind of the thirty-nautical-mile distance to port ring into Pensacola. The Sites A through E there, we kind of worked with siting to move through which one may be the most conducive.

Again, this is just another look, and this actually added the military operating zones, and so you can see this is just an operating zone, and it’s not the danger no-go zone, and so this allowed us to have the conversation with the Department of Defense about if this would be allowable in their area. Again, a map that a lot of us are more common with seeing, and you can see some of the, in this case, artificial reef designated areas near the site.

This is the vessel traffic assessment, which is part of the reason that Site E was more preferred than some of the others, and you can clearly see Site B or Site A might have a higher problem with some of the traffic in the area, versus Site E, where there is no tug or tow traffic moving through the area.

Here is another map looking at the artificial reef areas that you see, and you can see Site E getting close to -- I think that’s the bar kind of right there on the edge. This is the shrimp trawl effort from 2004 to 2013 for all those sites, and, again, in this case, this is the sum, and so the sum of how many trawls were in those areas from 2004 to 2013, and, on the corner kind of area of the actual scanned bathymetry there, you can see two, and so a couple of areas where there was two shrimp trawls within that 2004 to 2013 area.

These are some of the preliminary results, and this was our multibeam that was taken in April, and you can see a slight ridge through the location. The black ring that you see was the area that we were surveying around, and that was kind of Site E. However, I will say that Site E hasn’t been finalized, and so we hadn’t finalized that area, but that was just what we used when we were doing the bathymetric survey, to make sure we buffered a good distance around the area.

This your Storm Safe Submersible Cage System and the engineering plans on that system and just a couple of images for you to see kind of what it looks like when the thing is being constructed.

This is the Storm Safe Cage site plan. A little bit about the
cages, we’re planning on using eighteen of these, and they are
9,000-cubic-meter cages, and we are planning to arrange them
kind of in this circular array. The ring that you see, the
black circle in the middle, because we’ve had some questions
about this, that’s just a circle.

It is not a structure, and there’s nothing there, but it’s just
to show that we arranged those cages in a circle, and so there
would be open flow there, so that boats could go through, and
you could have a feeding barge in the middle that’s feeding, and
you want to be able to access through that area. The cages are
galvanized steel. Like I said, the nets actually do submerge.
They raise and lower, and they can do so for either the
conditions, water currents, and/or for storms.

We will be doing the structural modeling after we select the
area, and so I think the first plan is to look at deadweight
anchors and see if we can accomplish maintaining the structures
under deadweight anchors, and, if we can’t, then we would move
to an embedment anchor.

Here is our production timeline, with some feed usage in there,
and I will say there is multiple ways to calculate feed usage,
and so this is just kind of a first pass at it, and these
numbers may likely get refined as we move forward, but, in year-
one, we’ll be putting out two cages, and so, in years-two
through three, we’re looking at four cages, and so the number
that you see in the middle was to help us estimate the feed
based on stocking, and so you stock two cages, and then you wait
a while and stock another two cages.

Years-three through four, where we have twelve cages out there,
you would have some stocking scenario where you stock four, and
then you stock four later, so that you are harvesting kind of
throughout, and the same thing for the final, where we get to
the eighteen cages.

Just a little bit more about the feed information. Like I said,
this will probably get refined, and we have been talking to
companies about feed suppliers, and it will be some kind of a
slow-sinking pellet with 35 to 50 percent protein and about 10
percent lipid. There are some actual red-drum-based diets on
the market, and so we’re looking at those first, and so that
information will get refined as we submit that to the EPA.

We plan on -- In the beginning, when we only have two cages out
there, we might be feeding by vessel, maybe by hand or through
some device there, and then you’re going to move to some type of
feeding barge or a feed buoy system.

What are the next steps? Well, we’re here to do a stakeholder event after this, and we appreciate the council allowing us to piggyback on this, and we also want to hear from you. We know what we know, and we don’t know what we don’t know, and so you all represent the stakeholders, and you all are stakeholders, and so you may know more information about the site or things we need to consider, and so we certainly want to hear from you as well as hear from the others at this event afterwards.

We have completed the baseline environmental survey for now, and, like I said, they are completing their processing, and we will have a marine archeologist that looks at it first, and then we will start trying to finalize where the 120 acres is within that 700 acres that we’re looking at. After that gets finalized is when we start the structural modeling, and, prior to that, we intend to talk to NOAA Protected Resources, especially about materials and gear and structure-related items that we need to consider, so that we’re able to deal with marine mammals and marine turtles in that area.

We are going to provide all of our feed information to the EPA, so that they can do all of their effluent modeling that needs to be done for the discharge permit as well as submit for the permits, and, in order to submit for those permits, and I know we didn’t talk about a couple of things, which everybody always brings up, but, as part of the permits to the EPA, as well as the state’s best management practices for the Department of Agriculture, there’s a lot of plans, and one of those plans is an environmental monitoring plan.

That plan will include the baseline sampling that you do before you put one fish in the water at all, and then, after that, you will set how you monitor, how often, the frequency, and all of that, and that will be worked through the EPA, and so that has to occur before you put a fish in the water, and so that will be a plan.

As part of that plan, you also will have your quality assurance plan that goes along with it, how do you make sure that the data is controlled for and the quality assurance is there, and so that will be attached to that plan.

Other plans are the best management practices plan, which both the EPA has as well as the Department of Agriculture, and so we will have those two plans. Some of them have similar stuff, and some of them require more information than others, as well as
all of our emergency response plans. An emergency response is
not just how you deal with a hurricane, but it’s how you deal
with escapes, how you deal with a spill coming off your boat,
how you deal with somebody who runs into your equipment, and so
all of those things will be included in kind of the emergency
response plan.

Other plans that you will operate under is a facilities
operations and management plan and your maintenance. You want
to make sure that you are training your staff and that you’re
taking care of maintenance, your basic operations, all your
biosecurity, and your health management, which will require that
you have an aquatic vet that works with you. There’s a lot of
plans, and so I know there is still a lot to be done, and so I
would really like to hear from you all and answer questions that
you all have, so that we consider these things moving forward
with the farm.

CHAIRMAN BANKS: Thank you, Dr. Lucas. Any questions for Dr.
Lucas and her team? We have some time for some questions. Mr.
Diaz.

MR. DALE DIAZ: Thank you, Dr. Lucas. That was a good
presentation. How long does it take to bring red drum to market
from the time you put them out as fingerlings until the time you
can harvest them?

DR. LUCAS: Less than a year, and it depends on the size that
you put them out as fingerlings, but we’re looking at either
stocking them out as fifty-gram fish and pulling them out when
they are 1.4 kilos, and so you could get that done in a year.
Another option would be to have a nursery net inside the cage,
where you move the fish out at a little smaller size, maybe a
thirty-gram fish, and so it may take them a little bit longer,
but, basically, the production is you will stock and pull out --
You could stock and pull out within a year.

CHAIRMAN BANKS: Ms. Guyas.

MS. MARTHA GUYAS: I’ve got a couple, Dr. Lucas. Is it just now
red drum and almaco and striped bass is off the table at this
point, and the other species, or are you maybe thinking striped
bass, too?

DR. LUCAS: Well, the only one we’re -- Striped bass is
certainly one we were considering, because that is the species
that Manna is looking at in the Northeast, but it’s not a
species that we have done any work on. There’s actually a list
of species, but we are moving forward with red drum.

MS. GUYAS: Okay, and you said the red drum would be from Florida, and we can talk about striped bass, and I might have some insight into that one a little bit, and maybe some challenges for that one, from what I understand.

DR. LUCAS: There were challenges, I think, at the higher level with that, and so that’s part of why it moved further down the list of species.

MS. GUYAS: Yes, but, if you did that, I assume it would be Gulf?

DR. LUCAS: Yes.

MS. GUYAS: Perfect. Okay. You are probably all over this, because you’ve been talking to Portia, but you will have to get a permit from FWC as well.

DR. LUCAS: Yes, and, as I understand, Portia runs the CZMA-related aspects of the -- Yes, we’ve been talking to Portia.

MS. GUYAS: I will stop there for now.

CHAIRMAN BANKS: Dr. Frazer.

DR. TOM FRAZER: Thank you, Kelly. That was a good presentation, and I was going to hit the striped bass thing too, but we’ll follow-up on that later. When you provide the EPA the data for the NPDS permits, do you know what you’re shooting for? Do they give you a criteria of any kind?

DR. LUCAS: We have a list. I mean, they do have some basic kind of information that they’re looking for, in terms of you’re going to survey the benthic environment, you will survey the water chemistry parameters and stuff, but they don’t have kind of a layout of what the plan should look like, and so they did supply some of information of these are the type of things that we are looking for, but they haven’t specified the details of what you need to provide.

CHAIRMAN BANKS: Ms. Bosarge.

MS. LEANN BOSARGE: I just wanted to say thanks. We get a lot of guest presentations, and that was impeccable, it really was. It was very thorough, and you know me. I ask a lot of questions, but you really did. I mean, you gave us almost all
the information that we could have wanted, and I just wanted to
say thank you for that, and thank you for looking at the shrimp
trawl effort. I really appreciate that. As you said, it’s not
an area that is heavily trawled, and so, as far as the shrimp
industry goes, we like that site. That will work for us. I was
wondering. Are you going to put any lighted buoys out of any
sort for night traffic? Are you thinking about that?

DR. LUCAS: I think the Coast Guard -- We will have to meet the
Coast Guard’s requirement for this.

MS. BOSARGE: So they will require that. Okay. Great. Then we
had some guidelines on medications that could go into the feed
when we had an FMP, and so that’s kind of out the window now,
and so what are the new guidelines? Is there a set of
guidelines that you all follow? Is it through a different
agency or something like that?

DR. LUCAS: Yes, there are some federal regulations and what is
allowed, in terms of how you can treat fish, and one of the
things about fish is you have to show what disease a fish has
before you are even allowed to treat it, which is a little bit
different than how it operates in other industries, and so we
will follow all the federal guidance that currently exists for
that, and, like I said, we will have an aquatic vet that
actually looks at what needs to be done.

MS. BOSARGE: Okay. Then will the fish be -- I don’t know if
this is the right word, but will they be sterile? Are you going
to put fish out there that, if they escape, they could make
babies?

DR. LUCAS: They could, and so it’s local brood stock for that
reason, if there was a chance of escape. That would be a local
genetically-diverse fish, and so you’re sourcing local brood
stock and trying to maintain some diversity in the brood stock
for that.

I know that Florida has some things with how they operate
genetics, and so we will stick to all of those guidelines for
them, since there isn’t currently necessarily any federal-level
guidance.

MS. BOSARGE: Okay, and so those are like genetic engineering
guidelines that Florida has?

DR. LUCAS: There is no genetic engineering. We will not be
doing any genetic engineering, but they do have some information
out there for genetics.

MS. GUYAS: Like they have got to be F1, for example.

CHAIRMAN BANKS: Mr. Boyd.

MR. DOUG BOYD: Dr. Lucas, thank you. Obviously, you all have
done a lot of due diligence. This is an incredible presentation
and lots of detail, and Leann basically asked my question. I
was going to ask about bioengineering, but, again, you have
obviously done your homework, and you’re going to use brood
stocks that is natural genetics rather than bioengineered, and
so thank you for your presentation.

CHAIRMAN BANKS: Traci.

MS. TRACI FLOYD: Thank you, Dr. Lucas. As you know, in
Mississippi, we have a small commercial red drum fishery, and
it’s on a quota system, and it’s staggered throughout the year,
just to keep the price steady. Do you have any thoughts on what
this might do to the price when your product reaches the market?

DR. LUCAS: Thanks. Mississippi and North Carolina actually
both have some fishery, which together is less than 200,000
pounds total, and so that’s like 50,000 fish, if you looked at
it as a four-pound fish. We have looked at that.

The rest of the red drum coming in is imported, and so it is
aquaculture, and so aquaculture is out there, and that is where
-- That is probably the majority of the fish we eat in our
restaurants, is imported fish, and so I can’t -- I can look at
some economic modeling, to see what it may do. I can say what
it’s done in other areas, where, even in the salmon industry,
where you did see kind of a dip initially, and then the salmon
harvesters started marketing themselves really well, and now
they command a premium price. For red drum, it could be
something similar to that.

I think there’s a lot of different scenarios of what could
occur. The one thing I know will occur is that there will be
more red drum, and there will be more domestic production of red
drum, and so it gives all of us more opportunity to eat that
species, and so I do know that, but we can look at some economic
modeling and see.

CHAIRMAN BANKS: Dr. Stunz.

DR. GREG STUNZ: Thanks, Kelly. As the others said, it was a
great presentation. I had a separate question for you. The pictures that you showed when you had the eighteen array of pens, and they're above water, obviously, and so you can see them, again, but that octagon shape will be below the surface of the water when it’s deployed then?

DR. LUCAS: You will always see some structure at the top. You will always see that, but the nets themselves are --

DR. STUNZ: They’re below. So the picture you showed is deployed then, the pictures you had there?

DR. LUCAS: Yes.

DR. STUNZ: My real question though is, obviously, you will have to follow the Coast Guard for lighting and obstruction and all of that, but are you restricting how close recreational fishermen can -- You’re, obviously, creating, probably, a nice FAD, a super-duper FAD, that is fed, and so are you restricting the distance that recreational anglers can --

DR. LUCAS: That is actually one of the things we can’t restrict. We did talk with the Corps about this and the EPA. The way it is now, there is no kind of restriction. If you think about a rig or something that’s off, don’t tie up and don’t do that, and you want to make sure they know, but there is no way to really restrict that now.

We hope to talk with the recreational and commercial fishing industry, and we do think we will attract fish, and we do think it will be a good opportunity for them to even catch some more fish, but make them aware of where lines are and things like that, and so they risk -- They won’t risk running into us, and we won’t risk being in each other’s way.

CHAIRMAN BANKS: Ms. Bosarge.

MS. BOSARGE: Just out of curiosity, we’ve had your presentation and one other recently on siting and aquaculture, and both of them ended up choosing areas off of Florida, which it looks like you will probably do, and is there an issue as you go west, as you were looking through all of this? Do the oil and gas industry have a big issue if you’re on top of their lease block? I was just wondering. What is it that seems to make Florida the way to go?

DR. LUCAS: Well, seeing as I’m from Mississippi, I was really rooting for this to be in Mississippi, and we did find some
areas kind of in the western south of Mississippi, kind of south of Alabama, and the distance from the port made it really difficult, in terms of economics, and so, whereas we did find some suitable habitat, it was just way too far offshore to logistically run operations and safety of your employees, and others as well, in that distance that we would be off there.

CHAIRMAN BANKS: Any other questions? Mr. Swindell.

MR. ED SWINDELL: Thank you, Dr. Lucas. It’s good to have you here. I’m sorry that LSU beat Southern Miss, but that’s the way it goes, but I’m not real sorry.

DR. LUCAS: He is only partially sorry.

MR. SWINDELL: I do have a couple of questions. What kind of netting -- I assume you’re using netting around the frame.

DR. LUCAS: Yes.

MR. SWINDELL: How heavy is the netting? Is the netting going to last?

DR. LUCAS: I am so glad that you asked that question, and I’m glad the engineer is here, and he’s probably working his way up, hopefully, if not before I said it, but now to answer those questions.

MR. SWINDELL: While he’s coming up, do you have something in New York? Do you have something operating up there now?

DR. LUCAS: No. She is currently going through the permit process in New York as well.

MR. SWINDELL: Okay, and so you don’t have one in the water yet?

DR. LUCAS: He does have cages in the water, but not in New York, but I will let him answer your question. This is Mike Meeker.

MR. MIKE MEEKER: Hi, everyone. The question about the netting, that’s an excellent question. In the industry, it’s evolving all the time, and so we have Kevlar-based netting that is shark-proof, in effect, and seal-proof, and there is a copper-based type of netting, and so there’s lots of options, and they are all proven in ocean conditions.

MR. SWINDELL: How are you keeping this thing floating?
MR. MEEKER: That’s another good question, because it wouldn’t be good if it sunk. If you look at the -- I can explain the design, real quick. There is vertical spars, kind of like an oil rig, and there is three different chambers in each of those vertical spars, and so the variable buoyancy is in the middle and the bottom. The top part of it is permanent buoyancy, and so it’s calculated very carefully to make sure that, even with growth on the nets or anything, there is lots of reserve buoyancy to keep it floating, and so did that answer your question?

MR. SWINDELL: Yes. How are you going to make this system stable in the water column?

MR. MEEKER: Well, because the lower buoyancy chamber -- It’s like the keel of a ship. There’s a lot of weight down there, and so the cages have been in the water for over four years, and it’s proven even in ten or twelve-foot waves, if we don’t sink it, that it’s very stable. It just bobs a little bit and hardly moves.

MR. SWINDELL: Do you anchor it?

MR. MEEKER: Yes, for sure.

MR. SWINDELL: That was the point of my question.

MR. MEEKER: That’s a great point.

MR. SWINDELL: Are there several anchors or one anchor?

MR. MEEKER: What has been tested, both at UNH and my personal experience, is, off of each one of the spars, there is a single anchor, and, as Kelly said, it could potentially be a deadweight anchor or an embedment anchor, whichever works the best, and so, off of each spar, if you draw a transect line from the center of the cage through the spar, there is one anchor for each of the spars, and so there’s not a lot of rope. There’s not a network of rope, which contributes to not ensnaring whales and that, which has become an issue.

MR. SWINDELL: Well, and also the amount of area that you’re taking up from fishing around it and so forth, and I was just wondering about all of that scenario, and that’s a lot of area that you’re going to have to be worried about people fishing and getting hung up with potentially recreational fishing gear and so forth.
MR. MEEKER: Well, it’s going to be interesting to see how that works out and how close people can come to fish. In my operation, I have found, and I’m not saying that’s how it’s going to end up, but, in my operation, people can come within twenty-five meters to fish. That is the regulation, and it’s a Fish and Game regulation, and what I did was I just said to people that, if you want to come closer, instead of dropping an anchor and potentially getting tangled up, then just come up and tie up on the lee side of the cages.

Now, that’s not generally done, and so the answer to that is the fishing is almost certainly going to be better. In every case around my place, and in every case that I know, the fishing is better, and so it will be up to the NOAA and the Army Corps to come up with what they think is a reasonable distance that the anglers can come up.

MR. SWINDELL: Are you able to lower this thing when stormy weather is coming?

MR. MEEKER: Yes, and I just wanted to clarify one thing for the other gentleman’s answer. This whole structure, when it’s submerged, is down. That includes all the steel structure, the walkways and everything, and so it’s all submerged, and the beauty of it is, in a storm situation, and we’re modeling it for that, and I’ve got a lot of experience already, you generally say that the wave height -- Say it’s a thirty-foot wave. You want to go at least thirty feet down from the static water level, and then you’re generally believed to be out of about 90 to 95 percent of the energy from that wave.

That’s why Kelly said that we need fifty meters, and so the actual vertical spars are fifteen meters deep, and so we want to be able to go deep enough in those storm situations to get out of that energy, 90 to 95 percent of the wave energy, and still have some room on the bottom below it.

MR. SWINDELL: Okay, and so you’re proposing that you’re eventually going to have eighteen of these cages in the water, and is that right?

MR. MEEKER: Yes.

MR. SWINDELL: So that’s going to take up a pretty good area, and it’s a lot to manage, and so I am glad to see that you’re looking at fish that are native to the Gulf of Mexico, and I think that’s a good -- That makes me feel a lot more comfortable
than bringing something else in that shouldn’t be here, and so
good luck to you. That’s all I can tell you.

MR. MEEKER: Thank you. I mean, if you have any other
questions, obviously, the other team members --

MR. SWINDELL: I just wanted to feel comfortable that the thing
is stable and that you’re going to be able to protect it and
that we’re not going to have trouble with vessels, and I don’t
know what you’re going to do about lighting and everything else,
but, if that will all be addressed, I’m satisfied. Thank you.

MR. MEEKER: Yes, and there is lots of areas around the world
that have worked on that, buoys and reflectors and lights, and
that will all depend on what you want, or what the Coast Guard
wants.

MR. SWINDELL: The one that you have is a galvanized steel, and
part of it is galvanized?

MR. MEEKER: Correct. Yes, it’s all water-resistant, galvanized
steel.

MR. SWINDELL: So far it’s okay?

MR. MEEKER: great. It’s guaranteed for twenty-five years, so
they say and so I have found so far.

MR. SWINDELL: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BANKS: All right. Thank you, guys, for the
presentation, and just a reminder that they will be around for a
while, if you have any additional questions. Kelly is an old
hat at this, and she knows that we’ll track her down if we have
some more questions.

DR. LUCAS: That’s right. We’re going to do the stakeholder
event, and so it will probably take us a little while. You all
will be clearing out, and we’ll set up our posters for the
event, and you can walk around and learn more, and you can get
even further in the weeds than we talked about here, and I
appreciate you all allowing us to piggyback on your event and
provide me with feedback and allowing me to present to you all
today.

CHAIRMAN BANKS: Thank you, Dr. Lucas. Moving on to the next
item, is there any other business to come before the committee?
Seeing none, the committee has concluded.
(Whereupon, the meeting adjourned on June 3, 2019.)