1	GULF OF MEXICO FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
2 3 4	OUTREACH AND EDUCATION COMMITTEE
5	Omni Hotel Corpus Christi, Texas
6 7	August 22, 2022
8 9	VOTING MEMBERS
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Greg Stunz
18 19 20	NON-VOTING MEMBERSDale Diaz
212223	Jonathan DugasLouisiana Dakus Geeslin (designee for Robin Riechers)Texas Peter Hood (designee for Andy Strelcheck)NMFS
242526	Michael McDermott
27 28 29	C.J. Sweetman (designee for Jessica McCawley)Florida Troy Williamson
30 31	STAFF John Froeschke
32 33 34	Beth Hager
35 36 37	Mary Levy
38 39 40	Ryan RindoneLead Fishery Biologist/SEDAR Liaison Bernadine RoyOffice Manager Charlotte SchiaffoAdministrative & Human Resources Assistant
41 42 43 44	Camilla ShiremanAdministrative & Communications Assistant Carrie SimmonsExecutive Director Carly SomersetFisheries Outreach Specialist
45 46	OTHER PARTICIPANTS Tim GrinerSAFMC
47 48	John WalterSEFSC

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	
3	Table of Contents2
4	
5	Adoption of Agenda and Approval of Minutes and Action Guide and
6	Next Steps3
7	
8	Communication Plan to Promote Return of Shrimp Fleet Effort Data.4
9	
10	<pre>Draft Media Guidelines15</pre>
11	
12	August 2022 Outreach and Education Technical Committee Report20
13	
14	Adjournment21
1 -	
15	
16	

The Outreach & Education Committee of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council convened at the Omni Hotel, Corpus Christi, Texas on Monday morning, August 22, 2022, and was called to order by Chairman Greg Stunz.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL OF MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS

CHAIRMAN GREG STUNZ: I will call to order the Outreach and Education Committee, and, first, the members of this committee are I'm the Chair, Bob Gill is the Vice Chair, Kevin Anson, Ms. Boggs, Mr. Broussard, Mr. Dyskow, Ms. Motoi, and General Spraggins.

Our first item of business is the Adoption of the Agenda. If everyone has had a chance to look through the agenda, is there any edits or changes or additions we need to include? Seeing none, is there someone who would like to make a motion to approve the agenda?

MR. BOB GILL: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: It's moved by Mr. Gill, and was that Mr. Broussard that seconded? Not seeing any opposition, we'll consider the motion adopted. The next item of business is the Approval of the January 2022 Minutes. Mr. Gill.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I may be murky, but I would rather not be considered swamp water, and so, accordingly, on page 2, line 20, I would like "Bog" to be changed to "Bob". Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: We can handle that one. Thank you, Mr. Gill. With those changes, is there a motion to approve the minutes?

MR. PHIL DYSKOW: So moved.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Mr. Dyskow, and General Spraggins seconds that. Any opposition? Seeing none, we'll consider those minutes approved. Well, that brings us to the meat of the meeting. Ms. Muehlstein, would you like to go over the Action Guide and Next Steps for us, please?

MS. EMILY MUEHLSTEIN: Sure. We have a pretty abbreviated agenda today. Do you mind if we just go through each item now, or would you prefer to do it as we --

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Since we've got an abbreviated agenda, do you just want to go -- I think it's pretty self-explanatory.

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: Absolutely. Okay, and so, really, there are sort of two meaty items for today. The first one is, if you will remember, at the June meeting, the council had asked us, the Outreach and Education Technical Committee, to come up with some supplementary ideas on how to effectively communicate the importance of returning shrimp effort data to the Science Center, and so we will first deal with that communications plan, and you're going to hear what the Outreach and Education Technical Committee recommends, and then I would like you all to provide some feedback on the approach that the Outreach and Education Technical Committee outlined, and you can make any changes, or any supplements, that you want, and then we will then send a letter to NOAA Fisheries to promote the plan that we come up with, or agree upon, today.

The next thing that we will look at is some draft media guidelines. You might be familiar with -- The last year or so, we've been trying to put together a whole suite of communication guidelines for both internal and external reference, and so, today, you will be looking at our media guidelines, or our draft ones, and we'll really just be asking for any feedback that you have, or recommendations, on how we can improve the guidelines themselves and how you can improve council member and staff function in this area as well. With that, I think we're ready to kind of jump in.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Thank you, Emily, and so then our first item of business is this communications plan, regarding the shrimp fleet data, and so, Emily, are you presenting that? Okay. Go ahead.

COMMUNICATION PLAN TO PROMOTE RETURN OF SHRIMP FLEET EFFORT DATA

 MS. MUEHLSTEIN: I did want to start by just acknowledging that, during the Outreach and Education Technical Committee, we did have a number of people join the meeting that were incredibly helpful, and a lot of the NOAA staff was there to answer our questions, and Ms. Leann Bosarge was also available, and the meeting went really well, because we had so much help from the community around us, so that we could kind of understand this issue a little bit more.

 Just a little background, and the shrimp electronic logbooks stopped transmitting shrimp vessel position data at the end of 2020, and that's when the 3G network expired, that cellular

network, and we used that program to understand shrimp effort across the Gulf, and so that's sort of why it's important.

Now that that 3G network has expired, shrimpers are being asked to manually send in their data. There is an SD card that is in their unit, and they have to send that back twice a year, or every six months, in order to make sure that we have continuous industry effort data, until the new program is implemented. As you guys know, during the Shrimp Committee, you are working on a document to find the best, most efficient way to update these electronic logbooks, and so this is sort of a stop-gap measure until a new program is in place.

Okay, and so we started with a presentation from NOAA staff on what they've already done to get the shrimp effort data back, and so they have sent three letters, and they have sent new SD cards in those letters, and those letters are coming in sixmonth intervals, and they simply tell the operator that, you know, here's your new SD card, and there's a self-addressed envelope, and they ask you to send the old SD card back.

Also, at the recommendation of the Shrimp Advisory Panel, they decided to send a compliance letter, and that's sort of a law enforcement nudge that they are required to send this effort data back in, and they also asked -- NOAA asked the Shrimp Advisory Panel, as well as the Outreach and Education Technical Committee, to sort of informally promote the return of these SD cards, and so I know that the advisory panel members for the shrimp community sort of took that on as their own role, and then the Outreach and Education Technical Committee already had some established pathways of communication with the shrimp industry, and they have been sort of working informally to promote the return of these cards, too. Despite all of those efforts, the return of these SD cards -- The return rates are very, very low.

MR. DYSKOW: Emily, could you tell us what is the percent -- Are we getting 20 percent back, or 10 percent? What's the number?

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: You know, that's a great question, and hold on. Let me pull it up really quick, and I will let you know. It was low enough for us -- For it to be a concern, and, basically, what the Science Center was telling us is that it was low enough that shrimp effort data is going to have gaps in it and be not terribly useful for kind of these years in the gap, and so I will look at that up, but I don't have it right now.

MR. DYSKOW: Is this an abnormality compared to other shrimp

reporting? Is it just this specifically that we've seen this problem with, or is it an overall issue for the shrimp community?

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: So my understanding is that the units were kind of self -- You know, they were taking care of themselves, and so we did have good data until that 3G network expired. I think, before the 3G network was being used, it was actually NOAA folks who were collecting those SD cards from the vessels, and somebody can correct me if I'm wrong, and it looks like John can answer better.

DR. MATT FREEMAN: Emily, I'm available as well.

DR. JOHN WALTER: Thanks. I'm happy to weigh-in here. We get about 58 percent of the cards back, and, right now, that's not great, but probably about average. The problem, also, that we've been having is that, of the fleet of about 1,300 vessels, originally, about half of them were selected for the original electronic logbook program, but there's a number -- Now, there's a whole lot less who still either have active units or are --

Of those 58 percent that are reporting, that's even less, and so that means we've got only about a third of the fleet with effort that's being reported, and so it's declining in reliability and in coverage of the overall fleet, because we have to then extrapolate from that sample to the overall fleet, to get overall effort, and that's one of the reasons we're going down the path of developing what's going to be the improvement in the future, which is an ongoing process with a number of studies in play, both evaluation of cellular VMS, evaluation of the existing navigational software, as I think has been presented to the council, and then right here is how we can triage, in the short-term, to continue with the electronic logbooks.

On that note, I will say that there is two parts to it, which I'm really glad the Outreach Committee is working on the carrot approach of trying to ensure and get people to understand the importance of this. There's also the stock, or the compliance, approach, where vessels may not get their permits renewed if they aren't reporting back and returning the chips, and so that's in a letter from Dr. Clay Porch that went to the shrimp fishery.

 Then we hope to get as many of those chips back, which will give us the effort data we need to meet a number of mandates we have for the use of that data. Thanks, and I'm happy to answer any more questions, if they come up.

1 2

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Dr. Walter. Susan, I think your hand was up, and I didn't see you. I thought I might have heard someone online, but I didn't catch it, and so, if that's the case, please speak up, but, Susan, go ahead.

MS. SUSAN BOGGS: I have a couple of questions, and I hope I'm not getting offtrack, and so you said there's 1,300 shrimp vessels in the fleet, and it was a voluntary program that they report, or it was a pilot program and they are required to report? That's the first part of my question.

DR. WALTER: So that original subset was selected by the Science Center Director, according to I think the rulemaking, and the Science Center Director makes a determination of who is selected for the program. It's not voluntary. It's mandatory.

MS. BOGGS: Okay. I'm just trying to make sure, because I stay confused with the shrimp industry, and so, in most of these -- My understanding is with the 3G program, they self-funded all of that. I mean, they bought into this, and now we're in this issue of trying to be diverse and get to a new twenty-first century system, and so it's not the fault of the shrimpers, in essence, that this has happened, and so anything I think that we can do to encourage them, but not penalize them, while we're kind of going through this transition phase, and you've done the communications via mail, but have we put boots on the ground, to try to reach out to these people and communicate with them and help them understand the importance, because sometimes you get a letter in the mail or something, and you just toss it, and you don't pay it any attention.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Go ahead, Emily, if you can answer that.

 MS. MUEHLSTEIN: I think that's exactly why we're here today, because the Outreach and Education Technical Committee is coming up with a plan that does involve some boots on the ground that will get the information out in a non-compliance-based fashion, in more of an encouraging fashion, and so the next couple of slides I think will answer that question for you, because that's what we're presenting, is this idea of how we can communicate without being, you know, law enforcementy, and I don't know if that's a technical term.

Then I did just pull it up, and, the first mailing, there was 64 percent compliance, and the second mailing was 58 percent compliance, and then this third, most recent mailing, which was on June 21, and so it's not that old, there had only been 7

percent compliance by the time we held our technical committee meeting on August 1, and so there is sort of a step-down in compliance, kind of over time, and so I think it is time for us to sort of figure out what kind of communication plan we can come up with to promote the return of the cards.

We'll go ahead and move on into the plan itself, and so the first think that the Outreach and Education Technical Committee sort of wanted to understand was whether or not there was any provision for a no-fishing report, and so a lot of people had indicated that maybe shrimping effort is down, or maybe, since fuel prices are up, it's not necessarily the -- The cost-benefit isn't putting people on the water immediately, and so there is no current way to file any sort of no-fishing report, and so what we're not doing is, when we're asking for those SD cards, in that six-month period, if the vessel had not been fishing --

Maybe they're not sending their effort data in because there is none, right, and so the first thing that the committee recommended was that, in those mailings where they are provided with a new SD card and asked to return their old one, that they also give them a mechanism to just simply file a no-fishing report, and so just have a little addressed postcard or something that allows those operators to check a box and complete the no-fishing report. That might -- That sort of latency, or that non-active fishing effort, might sort of take care of some of these missing reports.

 The next thing is it was said that quarterly postcards should be sent to operators to address equipment issues, and so I guess there used to be an effort to do this, where NOAA Fisheries would send quarterly postcards to operators who, through this 3G network, were having incomplete data, or no data, or something appeared to be malfunctioning, and so they would send these postcards out to those operators, to sort of remind them to check their units and see if everything is working, and so there was a suggestion that maybe we resume those postcard sendings to operators who either are not sending in their SC cards or, when they do, if the data is corrupted, or if the data is missing, and so just really creating a regular timeline to have these communications to remind these shrimpers.

Then, finally, in this conversation, the O&E suggested that NOAA send targeted letters to individual operators who have repeatedly been non-compliant, and so really just start -- Instead of doing these blanket efforts that we've seen, where they're going to all permit holders, it's to really start zeroing-in on those folks who have been non-compliant and just

sending them individual letters.

4 5

Then we went into a conversation about face-to-face outreach and how these boots on the ground are really the most effective way to talk to the shrimpers. You know, really, we had a conversation about how the shrimp fleet is especially hard to get in touch with, because they're out on their vessels for a month, or two months, and some of them swing all the way around Florida and go up to the Carolinas, and, I mean, it's a very transient fleet, and so we recognize that, when we can get that face-to-face interaction, it's really, really useful.

The first thing we suggested was that the shrimp gear experts that run out of the NOAA Pascagoula Lab in Mississippi should promote the return of SD cards during their regularly-scheduled workshops. Those guys are working with the industry to, you know, enhance their nets, their BRDs, their TEDs, and so they're already talking to those folks, and so we just want to add that as a piece of conversation that they have.

Next, we talked about having port agents promote the return of these SD cards when they encounter shrimp vessels. Now, we did have a conversation, and we noted that those commercial port agents don't always run into shrimpers, but, when they do, it would be an appropriate conversation to have, and we also discussed that there are some dedicated port agents for the SEFHIER program, but that's not in their contract, and so it wouldn't make sense to ask those guys, you know, to go out of their way to talk to the shrimp vessels.

We also said that we should ask the observers, those observers on the shrimp vessels, to promote the return of the SD cards, if possible. There was a conversation that this might require a small change of contract, so that they're having the conversation, if we want to have it done in a formal way, but, if we don't want it done formally, it can just sort of be an add-on to what we're asking those observers to do, and then, finally, it was noted that Sea Grant agents should promote SD card return, if possible.

We said "if possible" because some Sea Grant agents do interact with the shrimp fishery, and it's in their scope of work. Some Sea Grant agents do not and so we can't rely, ubiquitously, across all of the Sea Grant agents to do that if, you know, that's not part of what they're already doing, is communicating to the industry, but, if they do have established relationships, and established job items with the shrimp industry, that they will then start promoting the SD card issue.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Go ahead, Susan.

MS. BOGGS: Emily, can you back up a slide, and I apologize, but that second -- Quarterly postcards to be sent to operators to address equipment issues, including non-functioning units and/or corrupted SD cards, to me -- I mean, I don't know how they would know they have a corrupt SD card before they send it in, which is obviously the reason for this, but something like this, with equipment failure, or the corruption, it would seem to me like verbal communication would be more appropriate than yet another postcard, because you keep getting these postcards in the mail, and it's just like, oh, it says the same -- You just toss it, and I think, something like this, you maybe have more verbal communication, or one-on-one communication.

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: I think your point is well made. They are doing that when they can. I think the unfortunate part of this is -- It's my understanding that there is one person who is responsible for this entire program, and their budget is also not hugely bloated, and we'll put it that way, and so I think, when possible, when she does have established relationships with those folks, she is doing that, and I think this was sort of a way to get around the idea that there's not a whole lot of resources for this.

MS. BOGGS: So, to that point, I mean, if it's the failure of, I guess, the Science Center to communicate, there needs to be -- I don't want to use the word "leniency", but we need to think about the fact that, you know, these guys on the water, and women, are doing the best that they can do, but, if the Science Center, or NMFS, or whomever, can't communicate, there's a breakdown there, and I understand staff shortages and all of that, and I'm right in the middle of it, but, like I said, I don't want to say leniency, but we need to look at this a little more openly, I guess, before we start initiating any types of fines or things like that, because, I mean, we want the shrimpers to buy into this, and we want them to compliant, and, if they don't know they have a problem, because the funding wasn't there for the staff member to notify them, then how are they at fault? Thank you.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Thanks, Susan. Mr. Gill.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and so I agree that personal intervention, or interaction, is certainly better. On the other hand, we ought to remember that, one, this is additive to all the personal interaction that has been discussed already, but

also that these vessels come and go and are gone for extended periods of time, and so personal is a hit-and-miss kind of situation, hitting all the vessels that go back and forth, and so that gets to be problematic. I think that's a good idea, and it may not be very effective, but, on the other hand, a little more wouldn't hurt.

4 5

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead, Emily. Dr. Walter.

DR. WALTER: I would just like to respond, and I don't know --Being from the Fisheries Service, I'm not sure how happy people are to get a phone call from the Fisheries Service, and we don't always get the best response when we call up and say, hey, we're from the government, and we would like to talk to you.

I am cognizant of the position we come from, and I also know that, yes, we don't have a large, dedicated staff to call 600 people and make sure we get every one of them, and I think our best option is what we're doing actually face-to-face with the port agents and Sea Grant and the people on the docks, but I will also note that all the fishermen, every single one of them, do know how to re-up their permits, and that is something that they do every year, and so their communication with the agency does happen in that way, and we usually send them letters that way, and so there has been prior using that route of communication.

 I think the question of the compliance aspect, and the stick approach, and I hear the sensitivity to that, but we also have to realize the criticality of this information and that this is what keeps the fishery operating around a number of biological opinions where we need this data, and we want the fishery to keep operating, and we don't want to be pinned by that, and that's why we need this data, and that's why we're requesting using both the carrot and the stick approaches. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Emily, go ahead.

 MS. MUEHLSTEIN: Okay. In addition to sort of using our established communication networks with these Sea Grant agents and with the observers and with the Pascagoula Lab folks and those face-to-face interactions, we did recommend the development of some outreach materials, and so the committee recommended that print and multimedia materials be developed that do two main things.

First, explain why compliance is importance, and these materials

would ideally include examples of how vessel positioning data has been used to preserve access to historical fishing grounds. That's sort of a way to encourage them and let them know that this data can be used sort of -- I don't want to say in defense of the industry, but to the industry's advantage.

One of the examples that we thought about was when we were creating Coral 9, which was not too, too long ago, and I know a lot of you guys were around for that, and one of the things that we did was overlay shrimp effort data, as well as commercial fishing effort data, to make sure that, when we were looking at these areas that were up for consideration for being preserved, that we also were then able to balance the historical fishing grounds and preservation of that behavior, and so I think it would be a useful thing for us to explain the good side of why compliance is necessary, rather that the stick approach, using that encouragement of, hey, this could be good for you, and for your industry, to make sure that we have that continued data stream.

Then the next thing is that those materials should also note the timeline for this SD mailing, and so an understanding that mailing in these cards is really a stop-gap measure until we get something in place to collect vessel position data that automatically transmits.

Once we created those outreach materials, or not we, and I think we were suggesting that NOAA Fisheries create those outreach materials, in conjunction maybe with Sea Grant, and we have offered -- We will plan to offer, in this letter, that the council -- You know, we are happy to also help either review or help produce those materials, if necessary.

 Once those materials are produced, there were two sort of places that we wanted to make sure that they were deployed. We wanted to make sure that the print materials got to all the Sea Grant agents, all the port agents, those Pascagoula Lab folks, and so all these people who are going to have these in-person encounters, as well as the law enforcement officers that are on patrol who might be encountering these vessels, any state agency outreach staff, and then, also, any of the regional and local shrimp associations and so finding a way to make sure that we're mailing those out to those associations, so that they can distribute them to their members.

 Then, next, we wanted to make sure that the online materials were published on our council website, through the Gulf States website, through NOAA, through all of the actual individual

state websites, through Louisiana Fisheries, Shrimpers of the South, Shrimp Boat Enthusiasts, and through the regional and local shrimp associations, and so really just trying to make sure that we get the most coverage we can with those materials that we do produce.

This is our final slide here, and, really, it was just a suggestion that any of our outreach materials be developed prior to the next mailing of SD cards, which is going to happen in January of 2023. That way, we can make sure that everything is sort of being rolled out alongside this next effort for compliance, A so that the shrimpers are able to get sort of that message from a lot of different sources at the same time, and hopefully promote compliance for this next effort, and that concludes that presentation.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Emily, I've got a couple of questions for you, but I want to make sure there is no other questions from the committee or other council members. Go ahead.

MS. BOGGS: I know this isn't the Shrimp Committee, but is there any update on where we are with getting this new -- I think LGL is doing that pilot program, because you're saying 2023, and so how far away are we from getting something on the water for these shrimpers, without having to keep doing this antiquated form of reporting?

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Dr. Simmons.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CARRIE SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and so we've asked for updates from Dr. Putman, LGL, to give us an update on where they are for the project in October, and the agency staff is also going to provide an update on where they are with the VMS pilot project. That's our plan for October.

 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Are there other questions? I kind of have a follow-up question, Emily, to Susan's point. This is the Outreach Committee, and so we're trying to get the word out to fix the problem, but also the Shrimp Committee can actually solve the problem, with newer technology, but I'm a little bit concerned, and maybe this should be in front of the Shrimp Committee, and, you know, if you're on this six-month, twice-a-year, kind of return your cards, you're six months into before you know there's a problem, and so, you know, that creates issues for John's shop, and I don't know -- I don't know if there's a way around that. Is there any -- I guess maybe just let this committee know, and is there earlier -- Do we have to wait until October, or what's the general timeline for having

this more permanent fix?

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: I think Matt Freeman wants to speak, and he's online, and he's our shrimp guru.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Matt, you may not know, since it's slated for October, but it would be nice, just so, in the back of our minds, we have a general framework for the time that -- You know, how long is this going to be a problem?

DR. FREEMAN: We'll have an update, both from NMFS on their pilot study as well as from LGL, at the October council meeting. The tentative plan is, at the April 2023 council meeting, we will have final results from both of those projects, and then hopefully we can be proceeding with the action and the current draft framework a little more quickly, once those results are available, but, given that it will still a little bit of time to go final on that document, have it implemented, and, obviously, have the rollout with the industry itself, we are looking probably, ballpark, at maybe another two years until the devices are actually on shrimp vessels and transmitting data.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Thank you, Matt. Are there other questions from the committee regarding this? I would just say, again, this is Outreach, and we can take it up in the Shrimp Committee, but, you know, that's a long time, especially if there's not compliance, and I completely understand what Susan is saying about, you know, the carrot versus the stick kind of thing, but, at some point, if the cards aren't coming in, we're going to have to probably put a little more teeth in it, but I guess that's for the Shrimp Committee to take up, and, I mean, obviously, the purpose of this group is to get the word out, so that we can get as much compliance as possible. With that, John.

 DR. WALTER: I just want to add, and something that I think the Outreach Committee can help to make this much more resonate with the fishery, is what a lot of this data is used for is not necessarily for bad purposes, and that it actually was used to inform the offshore wind siting, and that actually worked out, I think, very well for the shrimp fishery, in terms of finding areas that minimized conflicts.

By having the best available spatial information of where they fished, and we'll hear a presentation on where the offshore wind siting is likely to be, we were able to find better areas that avoided a lot of conflicts, and so that is marine spatial intelligence being used, I think, really to help fishery, and so

I think, if you can convey that, and convey that message, that will probably be a very good thing for people to hear it. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: John, I think that's a great point, and, Emily, I'm sure you're on that, as far as conveying the advantages of reporting and what they're getting out of it, versus just, you know, some method to ensure compliance and, you know, catch and effort, and so there is some real potential there, I think. Carrie.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CARRIE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I think just keep in mind that it's the agency staff that's going to be developing these materials, with our staff and other Sea Grant agents helping, and so, once we have that singular bit of information about how it is important to return these, and the postcards go out again, we have one place that we can provide to our port samplers, to Sea Grant agents, to anybody else, law enforcement, that explains the purpose of returning these, how important it is, what it provides, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, but we need to develop that with the agency, and they need to take the lead on that, so that we can help roll that out before the next postcard is mailed, and so keep that in mind.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Dale.

MR. DALE DIAZ: Also, to add on to what Dr. Walter said, you know, I do know that states have used this data also for the siting of artificial reefs, and so that's another place where this data has been utilized and to the advantage of the shrimp fishery.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. I am not seeing any more hands up regarding this topic. If there's not, we'll move on to Item Number V, Emily, and that's the draft media guidelines, if you're ready.

DRAFT MEDIA GUIDELINES

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: I'm ready. Okay, and, well, thank you, guys, for your input, and I will make sure that we incorporate that into our draft letter for the shrimp communication challenges.

Moving on to our draft media guidelines, I figure what I will do here is just sort of walk you through these draft media guidelines, and I'm hoping that you can provide me with any feedback on how we as a staff, or as council members, can improve not only these guidelines, but maybe our functioning in

these areas.

4 5

Just to get started, these guidelines are written to provide guidance to both staff and council members who represent the Gulf Council when responding to media inquiries, and so these are not necessarily appropriate to guide you in your personal life and, you know, how you deal with the media, but this is if you are responding on behalf of the council, specifically.

It's important for you to know that council members may freely represent their own interests when speaking to the media about council-related topics. However, if that is to happen, you need to make it clear that you are not representing the council as a whole.

Council members are not obligated to speak with the media, and you can refer any questions to myself, the Public Information Officer, as well as to our Executive and Deputy Directors. If you want to push the media off onto us, and have us respond on behalf of the council, you are more than welcome to do that. Also, it's important to note here that there is a note that staff is asked not to express any sort of personal opinions on any fisheries issues to the media, ever. It is not our job to have our own personal opinions. We support our council, and so that is spelled out here pretty clearly.

Just to sort of paint the picture here of what we are asking for, all of the media inquiries that are received by council staff should be directed to the Public Information Officer, who is myself. I will then develop responses, using the expertise of our staff and of our leadership staff and, in some cases, our council members, if necessary, and I will just make sure that that response is well coordinated amongst all of the experts who have any dealings with the issue.

However, in some cases, media requests require an immediate, or very abbreviated, timeline for a response. You know, they will call you at 3:00 p.m., and say I have a five o'clock deadline, and they will need something immediately, and so, in those cases, the Public Information Officer, the Executive Director, the Deputy Director, the Council Chairman and Vice Chairman are allowed to respond as spokespersons on behalf of the council without review.

 In that instance, if there is some deadline shift, those people that I named are allowed to speak to the media on behalf of the council without sort of going through a review process of the council peers.

1 2

4 5

In some cases, if one of those people is not the appropriate person, other staff and council members are allowed to temporarily serve as what I'm calling a spokesperson, as long as they have permission from the Council Chair, Executive Director, or Public Information Officer.

Just to sort of give you an example of that, I am not a shrimp expert, and, if somebody calls me and says that I have a deadline in fifteen minutes, and I need somebody to tell me about shrimp, I am probably going to talk to Matt Freeman, on our staff, and say, hey, I need you to respond to this media inquiry on behalf of the council on this topic that I am not qualified to talk on, and so that might be an instance where we could sort of designate that spokesperson shift.

It is important to know that, if you are serving as a spokesperson for the council, you have to make sure that your messaging is both accurate and that it reflects the unified voice of the council, so that it's not reflecting your personal opinion as a council member. If you are speaking on behalf of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council itself, we have to use that consistent council-based messaging that is reflective of our council votes.

It's kind of difficult, right, because, at this table, we have a lot of differing opinions. Sometimes, even when an issue goes final, there are people on the dissenting side of the vote, and they might have a separate opinion. However, council communications to the media, that are on behalf of the council, have to reflect that council vote, whether or not your personal opinion reflects that. That's just sort of the nature of our beast.

Now, if we are speaking on behalf of an issue that has not yet been finalized, any messaging that we develop has to reflect the nuance of having multiple opinions at the table, and so we sort of talk about the council is considering, and using language like that, rather than, you know, sort of -- We have to tread on both sides of an issue, right?

Next, the media often asks for quotes. They want to directly quote somebody important. When possible, we ask for staff to avoid being quoted, and we rely on the Council Chairman to be our primary source for council-related quotes.

I don't want that to make the Chairman feel nervous, because the Public Information Officer, as well as appropriate council

staff, are always available to help craft a quote on behalf of the Chairman, and so, if they're asking for a direct quote to come from Dale, Dale, if you want to go ahead and take it, you can, but, if you want help crafting that quote, we are here to support you in that. It's just going to come from your name, but it might reflect that unified voice, and we'll put some work, in the background, to make sure it's a very intentional quote that we're sharing.

4 5

In cases where the Chair is unavailable to provide that quote, the appropriate committee chair, the Executive Director, or Deputy Director can be used as a substitute with the media, but, like I said, generally, staff is going to avoid being quoted, since you guys are the council and not us.

Then, finally, and this came up at our last meeting, and it's been sort of informal, the way that we handle this, but you'll notice that, every once in a while, members of the media want to come into our public meetings and take video recordings, or take photographs, and so, since we are open to the public, and these meetings are open to the public, those meetings can be openly and freely recorded and photographed, as long as the method of recording is not disruptive to the council process.

In other words, we don't need, you know, somebody running around the middle of the U with a video camera, but we allow that public reporting, or that public photographing, to occur, as long as it's done openly and it's not disruptive.

 Now, that does not speak to any media outlet might have a need to get a waiver, if they're going to show your image or your name on TV, and so that is up to them to handle and not up to us, and so that's just another -- The final thing that is spelled out in this policy, that media is welcome to come and record freely, and that's sort of an overview, and are there any questions, additions, or subtractions?

GENERAL JOE SPRAGGINS: Emily, I would just like to let you know thank you very much for trying to get this to work. I can tell you, because we're a member of the council, anything we say, somebody -- If we're not even talking about the council, somebody can put that as a little underlying thing, as a member of that council, and so understand that -- I think that most of us try very hard to do exactly what you're asking for, and sometimes people just like to quote what they want to quote, and, in the same way as me, and I have to watch what I say, because they say you work direct with the Governor, and now the Governor said it, and so it's the same thing, but I appreciate

it, and we try hard, and I would ask the council to look at the states, and please be careful with that. Be careful with what you say, because it reflects against each state, whether we may or may not agree with what your point is, but just be careful with that too, but we appreciate you.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, General. Other questions or comments? Kevin.

MR. KEVIN ANSON: I will just add, on what General Spraggins said, from the state perspective, I know we have to -- We are requested to provide comment as to what goes on on the council, through our, you know, department, and, you know, they ask for quotes and such, and so we try to say this is the position of the council member representing the state, and so there's a little bit of a nuance there, as to how we might, you know, message a certain thing, because, you know, as a representative, we're trying to at least tell the public citizens in our state of what we are -- What our position is, and so it's a little bit of a nuance, as to where you're coming from, as to how the message would be, and so I would just say that, in certain instances, not representing the council, might have a different message than we would have if we were, quote, unquote, representing the council as a council member.

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: Just to respond, I tried to sort of tease that out. There is a sentence that says, you know, you can represent your own interests. It's just, if you are representing the council interest, the idea is that you're trying to do that, and so there is definitely a nuance, and it's sort of hard to tease out roles.

To your point, you know, we definitely don't have control over what the final product is, and, as a member of the council, since you're here, you might be quoted, or what you say might be misconstrued, and that's just the nature of that game of telephone that we all play, right, but I appreciate both of your comments, and I will just make sure that, when I give this final draft a look, that I'm trying to express both of those points that you guys made pretty clearly.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Dale.

MR. DIAZ: This is kind of off-topic, but I want to bring it up, and maybe you can help a little bit, Emily, or Dr. Simmons, and so the council speaks to its record, and I know folks might be at the table sometime, and debating on whether or not they want to say something on an issue, but, if you have something that

you think might be important to the issue at-hand, it's important that you bring it up, because, for one thing, Emily is going to use the record, whenever she has to talk to people, but, anytime there's a legal action against the council, I mean, they want to see that the council fully considered things, that they thoroughly think through things, and so I just want to encourage people, as we're having discussions on different issues, to -- If you think something is important, don't be afraid to say something. Emily, did you want to -- Can you speak to that anymore?

4 5

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: I think you make a good point, and you're right. We, as staff, often refer back to the minutes, when we're looking at an issue and we're trying to either develop technical documents, or like, in my case, when I'm trying to sort of represent what the council has discussed, and we use those minutes a lot, and so it is really helpful when you guys do have those conversations, sort of out in the open, and I think, like you mentioned, in case there is any sort of legal consideration.

I think it's also just really beneficial for our stakeholders to hear that we are thoroughly discussing and weighing the benefits, and maybe potential drawbacks, of anything that we do, and so I agree with you. I support that encouragement.

MR. DIAZ: Yes, and I just want to make one more comment. This is a deliberative process, and I'm getting probably off-topic, but some of the best ideas that has ever come out of this council has come from public comments, and I really like to hear council members, when they're talking about an issue, you know, mention that they heard from the public on something, or there was concerns about things, or it was mentioned that the idea came up in the public. Thank you, Greg. I appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. I am looking around, to see if there's any more comments regarding these media guidelines, and I am not seeing any. If not, then, Emily, that moves us to some discussion of the recent technical report.

AUGUST 2022 OUTREACH AND EDUCATION TECHNICAL COMMITTEE REPORT

 MS. MUEHLSTEIN: We have covered most of what the Outreach and Education Technical Committee covered on their August 1 meeting. The only thing that we left out, and I will just give you guys a brief update, is we did get an update from Nick Haddad on the Return 'Em Right program, and you guys will remember that's the NRDA program that dedicates funding to both the research and as

well as the education and distribution of descending tools, in an effort to reduce discard mortality.

That's a project that we, as a council -- I am the liaison staff member that's on that project, and we have sort of worked with them, throughout the development, and the Gulf States have been a really integral player in that project, and so Nick came on, and he gave us just a quick update, and he reported that 155 federally-permitted for-hire captains had completed the training and received devices and that 9,455 private anglers had completed the learning module and received their gear so far as well.

We also are scheduled to have them come in January, I believe, and give us a presentation and an update on where they are with the outreach and distribution of gear, as well as where they are with some of the funded research that's being performed, and, other than that -- I think that covers everything that was in the report.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay, Emily. That's good news, especially considering I'm sure we'll hear more about discards this week, but any other questions for Emily regarding the report or, really, anything we've been discussing, before we move on in our agenda? All right. Seeing none, that takes us to our last item, Other Business, and is there any other business that needs to come before this committee? Seeing none, that concludes the business of this committee, for the Outreach and Education Committee.

(Whereupon, the meeting adjourned on August 22, 2022.)

33 - -