1	GULF OF MEXICO FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
2	OUTREACH AND EDUCATION COMMITTEE
4	OUTHING INDUCTION CONTINUE
5	Hyatt Centric New Orleans, Louisiana
6	
7	January 30-31, 2024
8	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9	VOTING MEMBERS
10	Anthony OvertonAlabama
11	Billy BroussardLouisiana
12	J.D. DugasLouisiana
13	Lt. Carl FuhsUSCG
14	Dakus Geeslin (designee for Robin Riechers)Texas
15	Bob GillFlorida
16	Joe SpragginsMississippi
17	Andy StrelcheckNMFS
18	Ed WalkerFlorida
19	
20	NON-VOTING MEMBERS
21	Kevin Anson (designee for Scott Bannon)Alabama
22	Kesley BanksTexas
23	Susan BoggsAlabama
24	Dale DiazMississippi
25	Dave DonaldsonGSMFC
26	J.D. DugasLouisiana
27	Tom FrazerFlorida
28	Michael McDermottMississippi
29	Chris Schieble (designee for Ryan Montegut)Louisiana
30	C.J. Sweetman (designee for Jessica McCawley)Florida
31	Troy WilliamsonTexas
32	
33	STAFF Non-Pindenna Control Control
34 35	Max BirdsongSocial Scientist
36	Assane Diagne
37	John FroeschkeDeputy Director
38	Beth Hager
39	Lisa HollenseadFishery Biologist
40	Mara Levy
41	Natasha Mendez-FerrerFishery Biologist
42	Emily MuehlsteinPublic Information Officer
43	Ryan RindoneLead Fishery Biologist/SEDAR Liaison
44	Bernadine RoyOffice Manager
45	Carrie SimmonsExecutive Director
46	Camilla ShiremanAdministrative & Communications Assistant
47	Carly SomersetFisheries Outreach Specialist
48	

OTHER PARTICIPANTS

1	Dylan HubbardFL
2	Kerry MarhefkaSAFMC
3	John WalterSEFSC
4	
5	
6	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	
3 4	Table of Contents3
5	Adoption of Agenda and Approval of Minutes and Action Guide and
6	Next Steps4
7	
8	2023 Communications Improvement Plan Progress and 2023 Analytics.5
9 10	2024 Communications Improvement Plan15
11	
12	2023 In-Person Event Outreach Progress and 2024 Plan20
13	
14	Communications Guidelines Book Review27
15 16	Fishery Ecosystem Plan Outreach31
17 18	Coastal Migratory Pelagics Stakeholder Engagement41
19 20	Management Timeline Review Tool46
21	
22 23	Return 'Em Right Best Practices Manual Update53
24	Other Items from the O&E Technical Committee Summary55
25	
26	Adjournment58
27	
28 29	-
29 30	
30	

The Outreach & Education Committee of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council convened at The Hyatt Centric, French Quarter in New Orleans, Louisiana on Tuesday afternoon, January 30, 2024, and was called to order by Vice Chairman Anthony Overton.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL OF MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS

VICE CHAIRMAN ANTHONY OVERTON: We're going to move forward with the Outreach and Education Committee. The agenda is presented to you as follows, and are there any amendments to the agenda, as presented? If there are none, if we could make a motion to accept the agenda as presented. I will entertain a motion to accept the O&E Committee agenda as presented.

MR. BOB GILL: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: All right. It's so moved by Bob, and is there a second? We have a second. Any opposition? None, and we will proceed as printed with the agenda. Additionally, the minutes from January 2023 are presented as well, and hopefully you had a chance to look at those.

I do want to back up, because I forgot to at least acknowledge the members of the committee, which include Dugas, myself, Broussard, Fuhs, Gill, Geeslin, Burris and Spraggins, Strelcheck, and Walker. I just wanted to acknowledge those members.

Moving back on the schedule, the minutes are presented. Are there any changes, or any suggestions, to the minutes as presented? If there are none, I will entertain a motion to accept the minutes as printed.

MR. GILL: So moved, Mr. Chairman.

MR. ED WALKER: So moved.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: It's so moved by Ed, and it's seconded by Bob. It's properly seconded, and is there any objection to the minutes, as presented? Hearing none, we will continue forward. Okay. Next is the action guide that is presented, and I'm going to pass the floor to Emily.

MS. EMILY MUEHLSTEIN: Mr. Vice Chair, if you don't mind, I would like to go item-by-item, and so, if we can skip to the next agenda item, I will start with the action item, and then

we'll go right into the --

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Okay. How about if you move at your pleasure?

2023 COMMUNICATIONS IMPROVEMENT PLAN PROGRESS AND 2023 ANALYTICS

MR. MUEHLSTEIN: Okay. Let's do it, and so our first item that we're going to approach today is our 2023 communications improvement plan, and our analytics from last year as well, and so I'm just going to go through a brief presentation on our communication analytics, and I'm also going to share our progress on our 2023 communications plan, which this body approved for us last January, and we've been working on that throughout the year, and I just would like any feedback, or thoughts, about 2023 from the committee, as appropriate.

Just FYI, our next agenda item is our 2024 communications improvement plan, and so that is going to be the appropriate place to suggest what we should be doing this year, as I present that to you, and so, Bernie, if you can bring up our presentation in Tab O, Number 4, please, and I think we can just roll right into it.

I am just going to briefly go over, as I mentioned, our 2023 communication improvement plan, and our progress on that plan, as well as the analytics for our communications department. We'll start with discussing our website, and so a couple of the things that we had committed to doing to improve our website last year was to add more information on our AP and SSC webpages to describe the commitment and process of serving, and we didn't really have any good standalone like job descriptions for those, and so we added those.

We also updated our homepage slide show with a lot more helpful resources on a regular basis, and I don't know if you guys noticed, but, when you open up that Gulf Council page, there's a slide show that should be updated with whatever is really important at the time.

Next was to create a one-stop shop for angler resources, and so we did develop a webpage that, you know, has helpful things like quota monitoring, permit information, all of the things that we thought that anglers might be looking for and just needed one place to go for it. We did add mobile user analytics, and we painstakingly, and, when I say we, this was Camilla, went through the entire website to make sure that it was mobile friendly, and so she looked at every page, and she altered the

pages to make sure that they were responsive and looked right online, so that they were more useful.

We also worked to improve our calendar widget on our homepage, and that's still sort of something that we are working to do, and then one big thing, that we didn't have in our plan, but made it into our workload, was to integrate the Fish Rules API onto our regulations pages, and you guys might remember that we were hosting our fishing regulations separately from hosting them on Fish Rules, and so, when we had a regulation change, we had to update it in multiple places.

Well, we rebuilt our regulations pages, and this was actually at the inspiration of the South Atlantic Council, so that, now, when a regulation changes, all we have to do is to go into the backend of the Fish Rules app, and we change the regulation in one place, and it automatically populates, and updates, across our website, as well as across the different Fish Rules apps.

We were not able to add a fishery-independent page to our fisheries science page. That's something that the O&E had asked for, but we're still a little bit hesitant to do that, because it's kind of a big lift, and, you know, as you guys know, we are not really the curators of those programs, and so that's something that, you know, we're just kind of pending.

The next thing that we have not been able to do yet, but has made it onto our 2024 plan, is to get a web contractor to help us modernize the aesthetic, and the theme, of our website. I think everybody really likes the way that our website navigates, and sort of the logic stream that it follows, and so we're not planning to mess with that, and we're not going to totally overhaul, but we just want to make it pretty.

I am going to start this conversation about our analytics with the asterisk, and so you will see there is a red asterisk here, and that is because we track our website analytics using Google Analytics, and I will just preface this whole conversation with, across all platforms that use Google Analytics, people lost their analytics from the first quarter when they transitioned over to the new platform.

There is also sort of a global decline of about 30 percent that is seen across all websites that use Google Analytics for their analytics tracking, and so it's not just us, and it's anybody, right, and it could be -- I think like Dylan Hubbard is on our O&E, and he mentioned that that happened to his website and things, and so anybody that uses Google Analytics has seen a 30

percent drop, and so it's really hard to look at last year's analytics and see whether or not they actually, you know, fit the growth curve that we're used to.

What I will say is, if you look at our annual sessions, and our annual page views, if we're using 30 percent as that benchmark, we're actually doing better, with the anticipated drop of 30 percent, and so, again, these analytics are tainted by both the loss of our first-quarter analytics as well as this weird drop across all Google Analytics, but there is still some useful information that we can share here.

This table on the left side here shows our website usage by page, and so what that's going to do is show you the most popular ten pages of our website. Clearly, the homepage is always very popular. Federal fishing regulations are now, and have always been, one of the most popular things on our website. Council meetings, and council meeting materials, are always very popular, and red snapper regulations are up there, and that's not abnormal either.

What you will see, interestingly, is this press release from 2021 that shows us our commercial — It was about our launch of Commercial Fish Rules, and it ended up being one of the top ten webpages visited in 2023, and I don't have any explanation for that. We also did a couple of blog posts, and one was on red snapper ID, and it was the other red snappers, and not just the one that we talk about ad nauseum sometimes, but also an ID blog on the different jacks, and those two things sort of bubbled up to the top, and so I think it's interesting that those ID blogs did get so much press.

The next thing that I want to point out is this 87,000 unique users this year, and, again, that's a number that is going to be artificially low, because of those two things that I mentioned, but, also, it's really interesting to see this bar graph that shows where traffic from our website is coming from, and so a vast majority of people are organically searching for our website, and they're finding it.

 There is also direct reference, and so that would be if they see it in an email, or somehow get a link to our website from somewhere else, and they're directly going to it, and then there's this organic social and referral, and so, you know, you'll see that most people are getting to our site, you know, on their own accord.

Okay, and so, next, we'll talk about our endeavors to make

videos, and to publish them, and so the first thing that we did was redo our AP orientation video. That was on our plan, and we got it done. Another thing that we started doing, that was not on our plan for 2023, was to create these shorts, and these reels, and so what we've started doing is creating them to announce in-season closures, to give some meeting highlights, to tell the public when there is a comment opportunity, and to share good news.

4 5

Like, when we get a stock assessment that says everything is hunky-dory, we try and do a short on that. I will tell you that, when you look at our social media -- When we go into our social media analytics, you will see that these shorts have been really, really nice. You know, those short video formats -- It's a really good return on investment, because it doesn't take very long to create one, but it does get a lot of play.

We did originally endeavor to shoot, and to edit, some blogs, some longer-former blog videos, and we just didn't have the bandwidth to do that this year, and we also did not livestream any of our content, even though that was something that that O&E had suggested that we do.

Let's just talk about our analytics from YouTube specifically. As you will see, our total views -- You know, over time, we sort of continue this upward trend. However, in 2023, we did have a lot less total videos used than we did in 2022. 2022 must have been just a very popular year for watching YouTube.

Our video views, and so what this is is you will see the bottom line here is the number of videos that we produced and published, long-format videos that we produced and published, on the YouTube platform, and then those video views are the views associated with just those videos that we published this year, and so that's different than our total views, right, and like a total view would be somebody could watch a video that we published in 2016, and it would count there, and so we did have, you know, 2,400 views of the seven videos that we produced within the year.

We did make a couple of social media improvements. We decided that we really wanted to regularly post nudges to join our email subscriber list, to regularly post helpful content that drives people to council materials, and so that would be things like our recreational fishing season calendar, or our Fishermen Feedback reports, right, and so this is trying to direct people to some of those like really neat resources that we put time and effort into, and drive people there, and we've been doing a lot

of that.

4 5

We've been trying to post reoccurring helpful content, including highlighting some different fisheries, and some fisheries facts, and we've been doing some of that, you know, happy holiday type of content that a lot of companies engage in, and we did aim to create some interactive content on difficult regulations, and we decided, this year, that we probably don't need to create extra controversy, and so we shied away from that, although it was initially a goal, at the start of the year.

Then we also did manage to update our Instagram campsite with relevant links. If you're familiar with social media, in Facebook, you can just post a link right on your post, and people can drive right to it. Instagram is not that friendly for that, and you would have to post an Instagram post and then tell people to go to the link in your bio, and so we have to update the bio with those links, and so it's a little bit of an extra step for both the user as well as the host of that platform.

These are our Facebook analytics, and what you will see is we have increased followers steadily, and we're up to fourteen-and-a-half thousand followers. I do always tell people that they don't have to like us to like us, and we -- Our engagements, as you will see, are up. I think, you know, we had a pretty good engagement year, as well as our reach. We reached almost a million people this year, which, you know, ends up being one of the more higher-performing years that we've had. You will also see the quarterly Facebook engagements over the last couple of years, and the quarterly Facebook reach, in those graphs below.

Instagram is a reasonably new platform for us, and we started in 2021, and we are up to total of 363 followers this year, and so you will see that our analytics on Instagram are not necessarily as large as our ones on Facebook, but the good news is, because they share sort of hosting platform, it's not a whole lot of extra effort for us to do this, but we continue to sort of see some upward growth, and we're happy about that.

Next, we'll jump to our blogs, and so, in 2023, we did publish eight blog articles and two digests, and I do want to just call out, because you're not going to see this in our analytics, those digests were sent out through our Constant Contact, to our listserv, and we got just an incredible amount of positive feedback from those digests, because it's serving helpful content to people's inboxes. You know, you might be shocked that most of the responses we get to the emails that we sent out

to our listserv are not terribly friendly, and we're optimistic, and this was different. People really did enjoy getting this type of content.

We also started a new blog theme highlighting stakeholder engagement and success. You know, we often hear, from people, that the council doesn't listen, and engaging in the council process is a waste of time, and so we've started a new theme that's going to be highlighting when public comment does make a difference at the council table, and that's just a way for us to try and encourage folks, through examples of this actually working.

 We also started to write this how to get engaged in the council process series, and so this is just trying to make it easier for people to reach us and know what to do, and we also added a "share" button to our blog articles, and so, if you read the blog, and you think it's really great, you can post it on our own socials and those things.

We did hope to create more of those dynamic StoryMap posts, and we weren't able to do that, and we just didn't have the bandwidth this year, and we also did not work with our web developer to -- We did not, until the end of the year, figure out some kinks we had with tracking the analytics of our blog.

As I mentioned, we've been having trouble tracking our blog, and, back in 2019, we were using an external platform, and we were using Blogger, and we decided to transfer it over to our website. When we did that, we lost the ability to look at blog views over time, and so I'm going to see if I can explain this in the proper way.

 When I showed you the website, you saw that two of the most popular ten pages were blog posts. However, because those blog posts were not written in 2023, we didn't have any way to grab the analytics and show that those blog posts, even though they weren't posted in the same year, were actually getting reads year over year over year, and so we didn't get that information, or that kink worked out, until I think November of this year, and so suffice it say the blog page analytics will be much improved for 2024.

We kind of lost some things, and, you know, it was because we transferred platforms, and, you know, strangely, our website didn't have a way for us to cumulatively get those views in our Google Analytics, and so we figured it out, and we'll do better next time, I promise.

4 5

Okay, and so let's talk about our email listserv, and so this is where we put out our press releases. What you will notice is we have an increase in subscribers, year over year over year, and so we're up to a little over 7,000 subscribers to our listserv. We did publish ninety-one press releases, but one of the things that I really, really want to point out, that I think is really important, is our open rate is 46.5 percent, and that is incredible.

If you look at statistics for not-for-profit organizations that do email blasts, their average open rate is somewhere in the 23 percent range, or, you know, the 23 to 30 percent range, depending on the type of organization we're talking about. A 46.5 percent open rate is almost unprecedented, and it's something I think that we can be really proud of.

What that shows us is the content that we're serving, and the way that we have allowed our users to select the type of content that they get, is working, right, and people are getting things to their inbox that they want to read, and they're reading it, you know, sort of based on industry standards.

All right, and let's talk about Fish Rules. We have two Fish Rules platforms. We use Recreational Fish Rules, and, if you will remember, a couple of years ago, we worked with the South Atlantic Council to develop Commercial Fish Rules as well. Recreational Fish Rules, and Commercial Fish Rules combined, are always the largest user base, the largest contact with our user base, that we get, and so these analytics are usually pretty impressive.

half-a-million unique users to the Fish Rules recreational apps, and each one of those recreational users had average of six sessions, and so people aren't just downloading it and using it once and forgetting about it, and those people are actively engaging, and so, if that's the average, you know, there's a lot of people that are on there all the time, and the average engagement time is about two-and-ahalf minutes per user, and you will see that there was 245,000 views of our Gulf federal regulations in 2023, and so that's something, I think, to be pretty proud of.

You will also see the top species views in our region, and so it starts with red drum, and gag grouper is next, and then red snapper is next, and then greater amberjack, flounder, red grouper, and spotted seatrout, and so I think that's kind of an interesting thing to look at as well.

4 5

Commercial Fish Rules is not as successful as Recreational Fish Rules, and I think that can be expected, because there is much less commercial fishermen in the Gulf, and we had 2,700 users, unique users, in 2023. I will tell you, with the number of active permits that we have out there, 2,700 far exceeds that, and I think that's something to be proud of. It means that not just owner-operators, but crew, and, you know, various people, probably multiple people per boat, are looking at those regulations in our Commercial Fish Rules app. There is an average of five-ish sessions per user, and folks are on there for about two minutes apiece.

One thing that you will see is that these are the average -- Or the users by city, the most popular cities, and I didn't understand this at first, but it turns out the way that this is tracked is not terribly accurate, and so Orlando probably isn't actually Orlando, and it's going to be the central-west coast of Florida. Miami is probably south Florida, right, and so just the way that they track these cities is not actually accurate to where the city is, and you just sort of have to give yourself a broader user base.

There's a couple of extra things that we decided to do this year that didn't fit into a neat little category, and the first one deals with Fishermen Feedback. We created a communications guideline document for our Fishermen Feedback tool, and we also created a fact sheet that explains the tool and its utility, so that, you know, we can kind of continue to serve content on that and let people know what it is and why we're doing it, because it's something that we are going to continue to do.

We also made sure that our public comment database is archived properly. You know, we have been taking online public comment for over ten years now, and our database of comments is gigantic, and so we worked with our web developer to make sure that we were properly archiving those comments, so that they're in a vault, and they are there forever.

We also looked into, and were not able, to get the recreational quota monitoring on the Recreational Fish Rules app. You know, one of the most important features of the Commercial Fish Rules app is that we track the quotas, and we have talked to Fish Rules, a number of times, and asked them to work with us to maybe consider this for the recreational quotas, and we haven't made a lot of headway there yet, but I'm not giving up.

We also hope to cultivate our Fish Brain page a little bit more,

and we have not yet done that, and then we are currently in the process of working with the folks over at Fish Brain, and Fish Rules, to get some of their catch information that's logged, because Fish Brain is a catch-logging platform, and so we're looking to get some of their catch information, to see if it's useful for informing management.

4 5

I know we had that discussion when we were talking about misidentification of gag and black grouper, and so one of the things that we're talking to them about is, if they will give us all of their catch logs in a certain geographic area, a certain temporal range, and we will look to see how many times black and gag are actually misidentified with one another.

One of the cool things with them is they said that, if we went through that database, and we ended up doing that for them, we're going to end up flagging the things that are misidentified for Fish Brain and training their AI to be able to do a better job of recognizing things, and so it's sort of a mutually-beneficial endeavor, and so we're there, and we have a contract, and we're kind of working out the logistics, to make sure that data sharing is all, you know, neat and tidy.

Then just some extra things, and we were able to beef-up our position descriptions for AP and SSC members and their chairmen, just so that people understand a little bit more publicly about what the role is that you're committing to, and we have also worked on our species timeline project, which is on our agenda for this committee, and so I will share that with you, and I'm very excited to share that with you, and then we also finalized our communications guidelines focus here, and so, with that, next slide, and I will take any questions, or feedback, or suggestions on what we did and where we're at for 2023.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Any questions for Emily? Ed.

 MR. WALKER: Not a question, really, but the Commercial Fish Rules app is awesome, because, you know, people call me all the time and say, hey, how much kingfish quota is left in the thing, and I'm supposed to know it every day, apparently, because I'm on the Gulf Council, and so that thing has updates, to the pound, day-to-day, on how close the quota is, or if triggerfish is open today, or, you know, it's fantastic. They're both fantastic, but the commercial one -- I just recently found out about it, and it's great.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thanks, Ed. Any other questions? With none, the floor is still yours.

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: Are you ready for our next agenda item? Do we have --

MR. KEVIN ANSON: We're at 4:51, and, again, I want to try to keep us on schedule and close at 5:00, and so would it be best, Emily, to maybe bring that up tomorrow, the 2024? Okay.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Sounds good to me. Dinner always wins.

MR. ANSON: All right. Well, great. We appreciate you getting through at least the first few -- Do you want to talk about that, as far as logistics for it? Go ahead and talk about the Q&A then.

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: Okay. Switching gears, two things happening tonight. First, we have a Q&A. That question-and-answer session, if you are joining us virtually, you will have to switch away from the council meeting webinar. There is a separate link, and so go to the meetings material webpage, to our agenda, and you will find the separate link to participate in that Q&A.

If you are here in-person for that Q&A, go ahead and find some space in the back, and we are going to try and figure out how we can make it formally informal, so that we can accommodate our folks that are virtual, but also accommodate everybody in the room, and so we're going to do the best we can. We'll probably get that started just a little bit after five o'clock, and then there is also — For those of you, and you should have gotten an email, and there is a social tonight at Felix's after that Q&A, and so ask me if you have any questions about that.

MR. ANSON: I don't see any questions from anyone, and so, yes, as soon as we can kind of get the back tables organized, and online, and get that going, we will go ahead and start with the Q&A, and so thank you, everyone. I will see everybody else, that won't be attending the Q&A, tomorrow at 8:30 in the morning.

(Whereupon, the meeting recessed on January 30, 2024.)

January 31, 2024

WEDNESDAY MORNING SESSION

1 - -

4 5

The Outreach & Education Committee of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council reconvened at The Hyatt Centric, French Quarter in New Orleans, Louisiana on Wednesday morning, January 31, 2024, and was called to order by Vice Chairman Anthony Overton.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Good morning. We're going to continue through the agenda where we left off yesterday afternoon, and, Emily, I'm going to pass the floor back to you.

2024 COMMUNICATIONS IMPROVEMENT PLAN

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: Okay. Good morning, everyone, and so I think what we'll do is we'll start with the action guide for our next agenda item, which is the 2024 Communications Improvement Plan, so I will present the proposed 2024 Communications Improvement Plan, which includes recommendations from Outreach and Education Technical Committee, which met last December, and I would like you all to review the plan, suggest any improvements, and, if you guys think it's good to go, then, you know, potentially make a recommendation that, you know, that it's ready, and so, Bernie, if you can open Tab O, Number 5, we'll just briefly go over our communications plan.

As we get this up, one thing that I would like to tell you guys is you've seen these in past years. This year, this communication plan specifically is kind of -- It's sort of our ambient duties, but what we're trying to do is do things a little bit better every year. What you're going to notice is that it's not a terribly ambitious plan this year, and that's for good reason.

 We have just committed to starting the recreational initiative that's going to take quite a bit of bandwidth from our department, and we also, today, are going to show you our fishery ecosystem outreach plan, which is a pretty big endeavor, as well as a coastal migratory pelagic outreach plan, and so this sort of general communications improvement plan might look a little anemic, and that is so that we can make sure that we have enough space that we commit to doing.

First, we'll talk about our blog, and we endeavor to publish at least eight blog articles this year. We would like to write another article about getting engaged, and we would like to make sure that we get our meet-the-council blogs out. We have not yet introduced Anthony and Kesley, and so we want to make sure

that we get that one next, and then, whoever comes onboard in August, we're going to want to introduce, and then we also want to rework the why commercial and recreational regulations are so different blog post.

That's a really popular post that we use all the time, because, as you know, the sort of public perception is that different equals unfair, and so we really like to -- You know, I want to rework that post, so that we make sure that we explain that things are different for a reason, and that equal and equitable are, you know, different concepts sometimes.

We would like to publish at least two of those blog digests that I mentioned last year, and I think we've got a couple of themed posts. Regulations rationale, we've got a couple of articles already that discuss why regulations are set the way they are, like why we set seasons the way they are, or minimum size limits, and so we'll do one of those digests, as well as a meet-the-council digest, and so hopefully pull together all of the articles, of all of the council members that are at the table, and put those in a digest. We would also like to make sure that we continue to highlight those new blogs on our slide show on our homepage.

Moving on to social media, we plan to post at least once a week. We haven't really had trouble with that, and I think most businesses post more than that, but I don't want to overload people with, you know, council regulatory shenanigans, and so we aim to post at least once a week.

I want to keep doing those helpful positive content posts, you know, sending people to our staff, details about meetings, helpful council resources, things like that, and we want to keep creating those reels, and those short videos, to announce things like final action and describing stock assessment results, things like that, and then we would also like to look into expanding the use of our Fish Brain platform. That was something that was on the docket for 2023 that we didn't get to, but we still think that that's a valuable thing.

Moving on to our website, we would like to add a little bit more information on the SSC. You know, the roles of the SSC are pretty well-defined, but I think they're only well-defined to those of us that are sort of in the know, and so I want to make sure that we really put some information out there for the public, so that they understand the role that the SSC plays and sort of the regulatory position that they are in.

 We also want to get a web contractor to help us modernize the aesthetic, and the theme, of the website, and I mentioned this yesterday as something that was on our list of things to do in 2023, but we did not get around to it. We would like to update, and improve, our calendar widget a little bit more, rework our fisheries science pages, and that fisheries science section, if you've seen it, is managed in tabs on our website, and it is a lot of stuff, and so we want to make it a little bit more easily digestible, because, right now, you could just get lost in there.

4 5

We would also like to improve the way that we host our regulatory areas. One of the things that has bothered me, for years and years, is that we have all -- Like we kind of basically have this stream of maps that will show you Madison-Swanson, and then the Edges, and the Lumps, and the Flower Gardens, and so everything is sort of just a bunch of PDF maps, and I think that that is completely not a user-friendly way to host those regulatory areas, and so I would like to use an interactive map tool to convert all of our fishing regulations.

If you are an end-user, if you're the fisherman, you can say, hey, I'm trying to go out for reef fish, and I'm going to use hook-and-line gear, and where can't I go, right, so that you can kind of use it from a more friendly perspective, and so that's a really big undertaking, but I think that's something that we can really start to chip away at this year.

 Moving on to Fishermen Feedback, we have talked, internally, for a number of years that we would like to draft a scientific paper that outlines the merits of the process and the tool. Just to give you an update, we are still awaiting our Paperwork Reduction Act clearance for that tool, but I think that tool is something that is really valuable, not only in fisheries management, but it could be really valuable in natural resource management in general, and so we would like to formalize our use of the tool, basically, by publishing a paper about it.

Then we also want to make sure that we can develop a database that is a composite of all the responses to the tool that we've gotten over time, because I think there is some interesting things that we can do with the data if we all have it in one central repository.

Next, moving on to videos, I just need to redo the Scientific and Statistical Committee orientation video. For our public comment, and public hearings, we need to -- I think what I'm going to start doing now is sending press releases to promote

public comment opportunities for framework actions. You will note that, for framework actions, we typically just make a video, and we share that video across social media. We put it on our website.

What we haven't done is sent out an official press release that says, hey, we're in the public hearing stage for this framework action, and here's the video, and here's how you comment.

Now, when we do a full plan amendment, we usually have public hearings, and there is a press release associated with the public hearings that announces the opportunity for the public to comment, and so I think we might be able to get a little more interest in those framework actions if we send out a press release, and I say this because I've noticed, in the last couple of years, we do these big plan amendments about changing sort of things that are a little bit more nebulous to the public, right, the catch limits and stuff like that.

When we go out to hearings, and, often, we're in the situation where we have to change the catch limits, and so that public comment opportunity is a little bit stunted, and then in framework actions is where we address these big issues that really impact the end user, things like bag limits and season changes, and so I really want to get more credibility, and get more visibility, I guess is the word, to those framework actions, so that we can get public comment from them, because they do seem to be the ones that are actually meaningful to our fishermen.

 Then I would like to audit and improve the way that we do those public hearings guides, those sort of trifolds that you've seen when we are in public comment, and it also occurs to me, based on the last couple of days, that we've got this major influx of comments coming in about the recreational data collection program, and they're kind of coming into our email box, into all of the different public comment repositories that we have, and, in the backend, we are scrambling to get all the comments out of different places, and put them into the right place, and so it occurs to me that maybe what we'll do, after this meeting, is add a third bullet here, which is really to streamline the way that our public comments come in and out, and so I do think there's some backend improvements, and that's a lesson that we've learned in the last like two or three days.

Then, finally, we will present to you, during this session, our history of management timeline tool, and we have piloted that tool for greater amberjack and gag grouper, and so we would like

to get that tool approved, and published, and then we would like to expand it by adding species, and one of things you will notice is, today, we're going to ask you to review that tool, and also suggest what species that we should do next.

We would also like to develop a StoryMap that advertises the availability of the tool and highlights, sort of each of the species we do, their completed species histories.

We will then move on to new things, and you guys might remember that booklet, the navigating the council process booklet, and we've had it for years, and we've redone it a little bit, and we would like to rewrite, and redesign, that booklet this year with some -- You know, there's some infographics in there that I think we can rework, and really modernize, about the council process, and then, also, I would like to flesh out the council member and the council chair roles and responsibilities.

Much like we've been endeavoring to make sure that we explain to the public what the APs and the SSCs are supposed to do, I think it would be really helpful to put out some guidance documents on what actual council members are expected to do and what the role of the chair is, and how that works, and so I'm thinking about putting something together there, and that sort of sits nicely into this redo of the navigating the council process.

Next, you know, we use our Gulf Council PowerPoint template, and we've had it for a very long time, and I think it's time for us to modernize that template. You know, every presentation you see that comes from council staff sort of has the same look, and so we're ready to break up with the old look and figure out if there's something better.

Then one of the other things that we've been working on, piecewise, and I really just want to put it down on paper, is I want to improve the repository of images that we use across our publications. We do have a great database that we've gotten anglers to submit photos, and give us permission, and we buy photos off of iStock sometimes, and we take them from the different state agencies, and through NOAA, but I really want to get some very high-quality photos of the different fisheries, and fish, throughout the Gulf of Mexico, so we can just continue to improve, and professionalize, the way that our products look. With that, I can go ahead and turn it back to you, Dr. Overton, and we'll see if there's any feedback or suggestions or --

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: All right. Thank you. Any questions for Emily? Hearing and seeing none, we'll continue on.

2023 IN-PERSON OUTREACH EVENTS PROGRESS AND 2024 PLAN

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: Okay. So I'm going to take the no questions to be a sort of silent approval of what we're planning to do, and I guess that means that we can move on to our next item, which is our progress on our in-person outreach plan for 2023 and then our plan for 2024. Carly is going to present a summary of the 2023 in-person outreach events that she went to, along with a proposed plan for outreach events in this 2024 calendar year.

I would like you all to review the analytics from our recent years, and the feedback that we got from our O&E Technical Committee, and then look over our proposed plan for 2024 and give us any feedback on improvements, and so, with that, I'm going to turn it over to Carly.

MS. CARLY SOMERSET: Thanks, Emily. Okay, and so I will just review 2023, what we did for the in-person outreach, before going over our outline for the 2024 plan, and so 2023 -- The Outreach and Education Technical Committee meets at the end of -- I believe in December, at the end of each year, and so the feedback for what we did -- It's already almost February, but that we did for 2023 came from late 2022, and so that was kind of ramping up, again, after coming out of the COVID cave, and so we were excited about what we could do in 2023.

For this previous year, the O&E suggested to try to find at least one event in each state and, beyond that, to attempt to find events that engage with each sector, which I think is really important, and so recreational and commercial, and we've also gone to events that may have more interactions just with the general public, and so, you know, that's usually people that may not be familiar with the council process at all. I've definitely gone to events where people had no idea what the council is, and so I think that's good to let people know who the council is, how the process works.

 The O&E also discussed tournaments, and we may want to focus our efforts elsewhere, when possible, because I think they brought up some good points about that some anglers at tournaments might -- You know, they could be tired from fishing all day, wanting to watch the weigh-in, and so our individual engagements could be lower if we had a table and trying to interact with anglers with there.

Then, generally speaking, the O&E acknowledged that our goals, and our target audience, often depend on the type of event, and,

you know, being aware that we're trying to coordinate appropriate events in each state, while also trying to avoid conflicts with other meetings, and so there's lots of moving parts to come together, but we're always, you know, cognizant of thinking about what events we choose, the type of audience it's going to have, and then tailor our approach, and what outreach materials we bring, to best reach the audience.

4 5

The 2023 plan was constructed based on all of that feedback, and we targeted smaller engagements, like fishing clubs, and we wanted to do more speaking events, if possible, and so we asked if we could provide presentations and then facilitate — That would facilitate discussion on really whatever the anglers, or that club — You know, whatever topic they wanted, we would try to present on that, and so there was a less of a focus on table events, and waiting for people to come to us, and more engagement of anglers through presentations and then Q&A afterward.

All right, and so 2023 in-person engagement, and so this is just a list of what we were able to do last year, and so we did try to attend one event in each state. Some of these were new to us, and we, you know, had no way of knowing, until we got there, how it would turn out, more or less, and, you know, actually, some of these were suggested, but, until we got there and set up, how many people we would engage, and not that that's a bad thing, because it provides us with information for the future, if we want to return, or try to find something else, and so I'll just give some background on these, guickly.

The Louisiana Charter Boat Association had two meetings, and it worked out that we were able to do these along with the Fisheries Forward Summit, and so those were put on by Richard Fischer and Josh Ellender, and so it was very helpful that they worked with us to let everyone, all the charter captains in Louisiana, know that we wanted to do this.

The main things that they wanted to discuss were the SEFHIER reporting and then regional or state management of greater amberjack, which has come up a couple of times recently, and then management using zones, and so, after the presentation, we discussed all of those.

 The Fisheries Forward Summit was excellent, because it's more a focus on the commercial sector, and so we're really happy that we were able to do that. It was a lot of -- I spoke to shrimpers, oyster aquaculture, and, you know, I talked about the role of the council, commercial shrimping, commercial

regulations, and, for all of those, Billy Broussard was able to attend, and I think that was very valuable. He took the time to answer questions and be at all of those meetings, and I think the anglers in Louisiana really appreciated that.

Then we did the Mississippi Gulf Fishing Banks meeting, and that was a group of anglers that are more offshore oriented in Biloxi, and so they also wanted to discuss the SEFHIER reporting, greater amberjack, red snapper season, general regulations, the shift from CHTS to FES, and so I think that went really well, and there was a lot of engagement there.

Then we did attend ICAST, and we were able to present at the conservation summit within ICAST, which was put on by the Theodore Roosevelt Conservation Partnership, and that included a panel discussion and then a seminar series, and so Nick Haddad, with Return 'Em Right, was also able to present, and so, for us, that was an explanation of the council process, how to get involved, and there was a lot of NMFS staff, and also a couple of council members there, and so I think that was great.

Then I already mentioned the Fisheries Forward Summit in Louisiana, and so that was a really good one to do, to focus on the commercial sector, and we were trying to get to Alabama and Texas events, but we weren't able to coordinate that for 2023, and so we're definitely focusing on making sure that happens this year.

I won't go into all of these, but I just wanted to show that we were able to do some events in 2022, and they were slightly different than what we saw in 2023, except for ICAST, and so we have been going to ICAST, but we do not table currently, and we walk around and engage with individuals, and that's really great for discussing -- Or just, you know, speaking with industry members, because it is -- It's an event that's not open to the public, but a lot of industry members, from all over, attend every year, and so I think that is beneficial.

 Some feedback, again, for just consideration or if you have any suggestions for 2024, and the O&E did provide some specific event suggestions in some of the states, and please, if you have any other ideas, either here or if you think of anything later on, and you can always email me, and I would be happy to take those, and I think that's always good for us to have, actually working on a list of everything in each state that would be good for in-person outreach.

The O&E was also agreeable to returning to certain events that

are niche events, and so the example I would give would be the Fisheries Forward Summit in Louisiana, because it's more commercially focused, and there are a lot of events that are general public and recreationally focused, and so I think that's one that is important to attend, just so that we can engage all the sectors as much as possible.

4 5

Also, balance events with large numbers of people to those with more one-on-one participation, and so that goes back to, you know, how do we measure how well in-person events -- How beneficial they are, and, if we talk to two or three people, and have really good, quality conversations, or, if we talk to fifty people, and it may be for only a few minutes, but, you know, is it the number?

We're looking at qualitative and quantitative metrics, to try to determine which engagements are best suited for us to attend, and, obviously, we want to go to events where people want us to attend and that want to engage, ask the hard questions, anything, and I think it's all -- The process is good, whether it's good feedback or bad feedback, and that's not necessarily a bad thing in itself. That kind of leads to, you know, continuing to track analytics.

An O&E member did suggest maybe using a minimum number of attendees to choose events, and I think that differs depending on the state and the type of groups, but, you know, I will just -- As an example, she mentioned that maybe, if you have -- If you table an event, if you have the event hosts more than, you know, 500 people, if you get 100 engagements from that, that might be a good way to measure whether that's useful.

 If you're speaking at a smaller club, if there's fifty or more members who show up, then that might be a metric to use to determine whether those events would be lucrative for us to attend, and so just something to think about. Again, we want to engage a broad cross-section of stakeholders, and partner with other state agencies, when possible, because I think that's always a good thing, to foster communication between state and federal agencies, and then, ideally, have a council member attend the event, and I know that's not always possible, but, based on the feedback I've heard, I think the anglers really enjoy having direct contact with all of you, when possible, to ask questions and just to, you know, have a discussion.

Just quickly, some other O&E suggestions, and so we use our favorite Jamboard tool to put these suggestions up on a board, so that everyone can look at them and engage actively during the

meeting, and so it was suggested to try to schedule council meetings to save costs, or, you know, generally to try and coordinate with other meetings, when possible, for travel, and also to get a booth at ICAST this year, rather than walking around as individuals. Then work with state agencies, Sea Grant, other groups, such as charter boat associations and CCA, but just to combine efforts, when possible.

4 5

All right, and so, getting into the 2024 plan, and so this isn't set in stone, but we just wanted to provide you all an outline, and I would love some feedback, if you have any, again, and so we're planning to attend at least one event in each state, and then, if we attend ICAST, that would be six events total throughout this year.

Again, we want to attend events that outreach to as many sectors, various stakeholder groups, as much as possible, and then, in the next slide, when I bring that up, that's -- You will see that there is more than one potential event in each state that's been identified, because of possible issues with timing, and sometimes they overlap with each other, and sometimes they overlap with SSC meetings, or other council events, and so we're just trying to get all of that worked out.

Oftentimes, there's approval that's required from, you know, leadership of the group, and then I also want to try and coordinate with all of you all, so that you can attend those outreach events in-person, when possible.

All right, and so this is the list of potential events, and, again, you will notice that there are several for each state. The first one is our primary -- Well, I should say the event that we're targeting currently, and that's in bold, and then the anticipated date and the audience, and ICAST is in there just as a potential additional event, possibly, you know, whether we walk around as individuals and engage with industry leaders, or if we table the event, but it does have some logistics to work out, if we do that.

Again, I'm open to any suggestions on these, and I believe, Bernie, if you go one more slide, that is the last one, and I will take any -- I would love any questions or feedback.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Ed.

MR. WALKER: So a couple of suggestions. If you're going to do tournaments, don't do them at the weigh-in. Do them at the captains meeting, or maybe you already know that, or maybe you

already figured that out, but definitely you're going to have not as quality input from a bunch of guys drinking beer and fishing all day, but a lot of them -- I can think of a couple in our area where they have a real nice setup, with booths, and people casually walking around and talking about fishing, while they sign-up for tournament, and I think you would have much better interaction there than trying to deal with people at a weigh-in. Sometimes those get out of hand.

8 9 10

1112

13

14

15

2

4 5

6

7

Also, I went over to the ICAST thing in Orlando this year, and it was good, and I think a booth is a good idea over there, because then people can -- People know, if they want to come talk to the council, they're over on Aisle 3, and you can go over there and talk to them, rather than hoping to run into one of us walking around, or hanging around at the FWC booth or wherever we might be, and so those would be my suggestions.

16 17 18

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you. Any other questions? Dakus.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

DAKUS GEESLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. encouraged to see Texas on the list, and Alabama also, which was notably absent from your 2022 and 2023 tours. I think it helps us to have a better understanding of those, and we face this the there's internally at department, and a return investment, right, as we think about our three strategies and where we send -- We have a dedicated -- A couple of staff dedicated to education and outreach events, just within coastal fisheries, and that's something I think we could better partner on, and identify those areas, and help you kind of narrow-in on where those areas are, what time of year where we get that biggest bang for the buck, you know, to really achieve some of that return on investment and meet those metrics you're looking for, and so I look forward to that and formally invite you to Texas.

343536

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Mr. Gill.

37 38

39

40 41

42 43 MR. BOB GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and so I thoroughly applaud the proactive outreach approach, and what is is now, three years, or four years, and I think that getting out there, as opposed to letting folks just do it generically, whether they come to meetings or not, and so I think the effort is there, and, to the extent that we can continue it, and expand it, that would be great.

44 45 46

47

48

My sense, from your report on the 2023, given the revised approach from 2022, is that, overall, you would view it as successful, and is that correct? In a measure, if it was yes,

if it worked out well for what we planned to do.

4 5

MS. SOMERSET: Yes, I think so, Bob. I think we get, you know, a little better every year, and there was -- You know, Emily did a lot before, and COVID just kind of put a stop to everything, and so 2022 is when we were getting back into it, and a lot of events were ramping-up again, and so there's already a really good base, prior to when I started with council staff, for inperson outreach, and so we're just trying to, you know, get back to that, and I think it's been successful. I have really enjoyed the interactions, when I attend in-person events, and I really enjoy it, and so it's a success in my book.

MR. GILL: Keep it up.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: General.

GENERAL JOE SPRAGGINS: I noticed that you have Blessing of the Fleet as our number-one, and, you know, our Blessing of the Fleet is getting less and less every day, and I tell you that it's really changed a lot, and I would like to see that moved to maybe the MDMR Marine Discovery Day, and that's in Jackson, and it's a big deal, but it's a lot of people, and I think you could get a lot more outreach out of the way, and so if you could consider maybe moving that.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: All right. Chris.

MR. CHRIS SCHIEBLE: Thank you, Carly. I appreciate that, and Emily as well, and the outreach that you did this past year with us, especially with the Fisheries Forward Summit. I think that really worked out well, and I thank Billy for attending that on both days and doing a great job with the public with a lot of the questions that they had. I think that's a really good public interaction, that meeting, and I think it's every other year, correct, and so, this year, you won't be able to do it, but I encourage you to try to do it the subsequent year, if you can, again, if it's scheduled around a time that works.

 Also, you guys do a great job of working with our outreach staff, and Danica and Heather do an outstanding job, with a lot of a workload, and I know they work with you guys directly on this stuff, and so I encourage you to, you know, come up with different venues, and locations, as they may pop up, and that could be higher on the radar, I guess, also, depending on how some of these tournaments get scheduled out, if they don't fit your schedule, and they may come up with some better options for you guys, too.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you, Joe and Chris, for the info. Andy.

MR. ANDY STRELCHECK: Thanks, Carly, for the presentation, and it's very encouraging, obviously, with the amount of outreach that's going on with you and Emily and the rest of the council. A couple of things. One, I would say use as a partner. Sean Meehan is our recreational coordinator, and he does a lot of outreach events, obviously, for the recreational fishing community, and so what I will encourage him to do is also pass along events that he might be going to, if there's opportunities, and interest, if you participating in those.

The other thing, which has been mentioned, is just kind of assessing metrics and the kind of value input, right, and so I see in-person meetings as highly valuable, but can be, you know, cost prohibitive, just because of the amount of people you reach, and so we've been attempting a variety of different ways to communicate with the public, from radio shows to Facebook Live to actual in-person meetings, and we're still kind of assessing what's kind of reaching -- You know, has the farthest reach, but I think there's some opportunities there as well to expand.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you, Andy. Any other questions? Okay. Thank you, and we'll move forward with the agenda. Back to you, Emily.

COMMUNICATIONS GUIDELINES BOOK REVIEW

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: All right. Thank you. We are going to move on to our Communications Guidelines Book Review. I am going to give you guys, in a minute, a finalized Communications Guidelines Book. We think it's finalized, and we would like to sort of get your input before we decide it is.

Our technical committee has already reviewed all of the individual guidelines within the book, and they looked at the book itself, and they've sort of given us their feedback, and we've incorporated that feedback into the version that you are going to see today.

You may remember that you have seen some of these communication guidelines over the years, and we were kind of doing them piecewise. Half of the guidelines that are in the book you have already reviewed and approved, and the other half you have not, and so what I will do is I will just walk you through the book,

chapter-by-chapter, and let you know if you have approved it or not.

 Just so I give you a little bit of context, we developed this Communications Guidelines Book, and my predecessor and I used to joke that, you know, if one of us got hit by a bus, nobody would know how to do some of the things that we do, and so what we've been trying to do, over the years, is really formalize our hit-by-the-bus list, meaning not only should internal staff be able to look at this book and understand sort of the communication guidelines, but it is a useful tool both for the council as well as for the public.

In a lot of ways, these guidelines outline not only what our council protocols are, but, you know, what we're hoping the public will understand about our processes as well, things like our guidelines on our public comment. You know, it very well spells out that like, if you email me a bunch of comments, I don't email it to the council members, and that's something that somebody would have to do on their own, and so there's just a bunch of little communications nuances that we've been doing as standard practice, but we never really had in writing, and so now we have this completed book, that hopefully covers the array of sort of communications protocols that we've been practicing, but just wanted to put, you know, in stone.

Bernie, if you can go to Tab O, Number 7, and I guess we'll just go into the table of contents first, and I will go through each one of these guidelines and let the council -- You know, let you guys know whether or not you've already approved them or not.

The first one that is up is our use of council products, and so those are guidelines that talk about using our logo, our images, our council documents and products, and this is a really good forward-facing guideline document, because, you know, if you were a tournament director, and you wanted to use our logo, but you didn't know, you know, are we federal, or are we not, and how does that work, this spells that out, not only for us, and for our council members, but also for external folks, and like how do you cite our data, how do you -- You know, can you use the pictures that we have, those kinds of things.

You all have not yet reviewed these guidelines, and so hopefully you did your homework and might have looked at sort of what we're spelling out as our guidelines. A lot of them are following the federal guidelines, because we are a publicly-funded organization, and so, generally, what I will say is that pretty much everything that we do is open for the public to use

and abuse, except we do ask that our logo is not used, without permission, to endorse any sort of product or for any sort of financial gain.

Our next guidelines are our media guidelines, and these spell out sort of how we respond to media inquiries, and we kind of have an internal process that is a system of checks-and-balances to make sure that the things that we are saying that we are saying on behalf of the council itself, you know, kind of who in the organization can go ahead and speak to the media freely, and it does outline that, you as council members, are individual human beings, and you can speak on your own behalf, but, if you are going to speak on behalf of the council as a whole to the media, that you should be sort of running that by myself, the Public Information Officer, as well as our executive staff. You have already reviewed these, and you have already sent them forward and said that they're ready to go.

Next are our press release guidelines, and these are very internal in nature, just basically saying who has the responsibilities of doing the guidelines, or, I'm sorry, the press releases, and what kind of press releases are being put out, what the editing process is, and what the publishing process is. You have already looked at these, and they are done. They are ready to go.

Next are our public comment guidelines, and so are both forward-facing and for internal use. These talk about the different ways that we gather public comment, sort of the different practices that we have in like sharing emails with each other, or putting the comments in the comment repositories, and so this really just spells that out. You have already looked at these and given us your blessing for moving forward.

 The next guidelines are guidelines in how we host our fishing regulations, and this just talks about our process. In, you know, hosting fishing regulations, we do have a caveat, on every one of our regulations, that does say that, you know, this is just a summary of regulations, and it's not legally binding. If you want the legally-binding regulations, you have to go to the CFRs, and nobody wants to read those. You need a translator, and so we are translating, but hosting those regulations is a huge commitment, because we do not want to have those regulations be inaccurate, and so we have set up some guidelines for how we make sure that we are producing the most high-quality, most up-to-date product that we can.

You have not looked at these regulations yet, and so, you know,

you might want to take a minute and sort of -- Or I'm sorry, or these guidelines yet, and so you might want to take a minute and look at those.

Next, we move on to our social media guidelines, and these are the first ones that we created. You looked at these a number of years ago, and one thing that I can tell you is that, since these were created, a number of folks have told us that they have found them useful for their own organization, and I know the South Atlantic Council has looked through them, and I know that FWC has appreciated them, and I think some of the other state agencies have seen them, at the technical committee level, and they have really enjoyed the use of those, and so these ones you've already looked at, and they seem like they might be ready to roll.

Next, we move on to our communication analytics guidelines, and you guys have not looked over these yet, and this just talks about the process, and intervals, in which we track our analytics and share them with you, you know, just basically spelling out that all of our communications -- We try and track analytics, so that we can do better next time.

Next, we move on to our Fishermen Feedback guidelines, and this is just sort of a process document that lays out how that Fishermen Feedback tool is used, who gets to use it, how we share the data that are provided through that tool, and you have not looked over these ones officially, and then, finally, we have a new set of guidelines for in-person outreach events.

This just spells out, you know, who is -- What the purpose of these things are, how we're going to go about collecting analytics, and it also discusses the fact that we think it's very useful to have council members at those events, and so, with that, I will give you guys a little bit of space to either discuss the booklet as a whole or any of the individual guidelines.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you, Emily, for presenting those guidelines and outlining the information. Are there questions, or comments? Yes, Ed.

MR. WALKER: It's about one of the things in this booklet, if we can go over that. You know, I've been asked to respond to a magazine about some current issues going on with the grouper fishery, and, in reading through this -- You know, I'm looking at quotes, and it says that quotes should come from executive staff, mostly, but, if a guy asks me, you know, what do you

think about this or that -- Can you give me a little clarification there?

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: 100 percent, and so those are in the media guidelines, right, is what we're discussing specifically, and so, as a council member, right, as an individual council member, you are allowed to respond on your own behalf, and so, if you are making it clear that me, as Ed Walker, the human who sits at the council table -- You can give quotes, you can give opinions, you can go off the rails as Ed Walker. However, these guidelines are specific to -- Sorry. I just gave Carrie a miniheart attack, but --

MR. WALKER: So green light. I got it.

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: At any point, if you are asked to represent the council, the council itself should be represented as what we call a unified voice, meaning what you all vote on, whether it's a split vote on not, that final vote is the unified opinion of the council itself, and so, when you are asked to speak on behalf of the council, that is when these media guidelines, and it should spell that out in here, and I'm hoping that it's clear enough in there, but that is when getting the executive staff, which includes Carrie, the chairman, and myself, to help, you know, with the crafting of the council unified voice. I think that's the easiest way that I know how to explain that. Does that clarify it a little bit?

MR. WALKER: Yes. Very good. Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you, Ed. Any other comments, or questions? Seeing none, Emily, I think the floor is still yours.

FISHERY ECOSYSTEM PLAN OUTREACH

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: Okay. Well, I think then we can move on from our guidelines book, and we are just trucking today, to our --Okay. Here we go. Fishery Ecosystem Plan Outreach is our next issue.

I'm going to give you guys a draft of our Fishery Ecosystem Plan and Red Tide Fishery Ecosystem Issue Communications Plan outline, and so what I would like from you is recommendations on how to modify this plan to engage and inform stakeholders before, during, and after the council initiates work on fishery ecosystem issues and focuses its effort on the pilot fishery ecosystem issue of red tide.

4 5

We talked with our O&E at length about this, right, because, if you remember, the Ecosystem Technical Committee has asked the O&E to be a very active participant in building and executing the outreach portion of our Fishery Ecosystem Plan, and so —Let me find it in my book, so I'm in the right place. There we go.

We put together this document that sort of generally spells out what we, as staff, and what the Outreach and Education Technical Committee, as well as the Ecosystem Technical Committee, has sort of suggested over the last two years of meetings, when they've been discussing how to communicate the FEP and the red tide fishery ecosystem issue specifically.

Bernie, if you can scroll down to the top of the second page, this is just sort of a brief introduction, a reminder of the Fishery Ecosystem Plan, but, when we get to the second page here, we sort of start to break down our communications responsibilities into some neat little sections, and so the first thing that we recognize is there is a need to communicate the Fishery Ecosystem Plan, and, if you remember, the Fishery Ecosystem Plan is sort of this big process, and then we have these fishery ecosystem issues, which are little, smaller issues that we are going to be working through in this wider process.

 We recognize that most of our stakeholder engagement efforts are going to be concentrated around those individual fishery ecosystem issues. However, there is still a need to communicate broadly about our Fishery Ecosystem Plan and what the heck we're doing, what our objectives are, why we're actually endeavoring to do this, right, and so the first sort of action item we have, in order to broadly communicate this Fishery Ecosystem Plan and the process, is that we would like to redesign and maintain our fishery ecosystem management webpage.

 We have one right now, and we haven't done much with it, and it's just sort of been on our page as like -- Honestly, as a repository for the documents and things, and so what we would like to do is really put out a flashy product that has infographics that work with showing objectives and sort of the high-level process of this Fishery Ecosystem Plan in general.

We want to make sure that we include language that tempers expectations, and we want to make sure that the public understands that this Fishery Ecosystem Plan is not a regular fisheries management plan, right, that, based on our timelines, our funding, you know, the outcome of the Fishery Ecosystem Plan

process is not a neat little package that's tied up in a bow, like the other fisheries management plans that we deal with, and so we really want to try and tackle that in this webpage as well.

I guess I will just stop here, and are there any thoughts, or feedback, about how we're going to communicate broadly about the Fishery Ecosystem Plan? Yes, Bob.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Emily, and so I think that the broad design is good, but, from my perspective, the ecosystem plan is going to be a slow-developing process, and, similarly, the communication will be structured to follow that flow, and so some of these actions that you have in here are down the road a little bit, right, and you don't have to build the whole system all at once, because this system -- We're going to be talking about this years from now, and that gets to your workload thing that you addressed at the very beginning of this morning's discussion, and so sequencing, and prioritizing, the ones that are needed upfront, to ensure that we've got the important stuff going in, and keeping pace, if you will, with the ETC. Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you, Mr. Gill. Any other questions?

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: Okay, and so I do think that's a good idea, and I think what we can do is sort of develop a timeline of what we -- You know, of deliverables, as we begin to develop this, that will sort of ride along with the process.

Okay, and so we're going to move on to gathering and ranking fishery ecosystem issues, and so it was made very clear that — You know, we, at the council table, did discuss how we are going to rank our fishery ecosystem issues. The Ecosystem Technical Committee spent a considerable amount of time trying to come up with a metric to do this, and one of the things that was identified by the Ecosystem Technical Committee is that the public is really well positioned to help us identify fishery ecosystem issues, and also potentially to play a role in ranking their priority.

In other words, if we want to understand the depth of impact that a fishery ecosystem might have, or the geographic scope of impact that a fishery ecosystem might have, relying on the public to help us do that could be a useful exercise, and so, in recognition of that, we have a couple of action items here.

 The first one is we want to develop a fishery ecosystem issue identification tool, and so this is going to be really simple, and it's going to be a Google Doc, probably, and it is going to be online, on that website that we just discussed, and it is going to allow the public to identify fishery ecosystem issues that should be addressed, right, and we imagine that this tool is going to be open continuously for responses, and that, as the council goes to review the FEI ideas, and select new FEIs, we can then report-out to the council like, since the last time we did this, here are the -- You know, the number of ideas that have been brought to us from the public, and we can also then do sort of a very rough ranking and say, actually, this one issue has come up from the public 700 times, right, and so there might be a way for us to then, at the council table, rank things by understanding how much -- You know, how much interest the public has in each issue.

4 5

We also think that we would, you know, be advertising this opportunity closer to the period when the fishery ecosystem list will be ranked by the council, and so, while it will be continuously open, we'll definitely do some media pushes and things when we are really getting ready to review the suggested FEIs.

Next, we would find a way to rank the FEIs that are suggested, and so we think that we could use our online tool to allow stakeholders the opportunity to rank the potential fishery ecosystem issues, and it should allow users to provide qualitative feedback, or a qualitative measure, of how much impact a potential fishery management issue, or a fishery ecosystem issue, would have on the fishing community, or the community at-large, and so, again, once we get a list of potential fishery ecosystem issues, we will go back to the public and say here's the list of issues we have, and let's run this exercise and figure out what you guys -- You know, what bubbles to the top as the most impactful issue that we can take on.

I will stop here and see if there is any feedback, or questions, about our intentions for this part of things, and this is just the identification and ranking of potential fishery ecosystem issues by the public.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Mr. Gill.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. All I want to say is spoton.

 MS. MUEHLSTEIN: Okay. That's helpful. All right. We'll move on then. Okay. You remember that we will be operationalizing the Fishery Ecosystem Plan through these general — Through these ecosystem issues, and so we see two things happening here. First, what I'm about to discuss is there is a need to generally communicate these FEIs, right, and so, once we select a fishery ecosystem issue, stakeholders are going to play a really important role in identifying the fact that that specific issue has on fishermen and their associated communities.

4 5

We want to make sure that we produce communication materials that both draw awareness to the council's work on that specific issue and then materials that define what the fishery issue is, and so, you know, we actually need to put out some relevant information about what we already know about the fishery issue, but we also need to gather more information from the public on that specific issue, right, and so this is -- It's a little bit confusing, but, generally, our fishery ecosystem issue outreach approach is going to be slightly standardized, and then you will see, in the next section, that, when we select an issue, we actually have to have a specific communication plan to that issue as well.

This is kind of the general way that we're going to approach things, and so our action items here are, for each fishery ecosystem issue we choose, we are going to create a dedicated webpage for that issue, and so let's say we chose Spanish mackerel as our issue, and so we are going to create a Spanish mackerel fishery ecosystem webpage.

On that webpage, we hope to outline the issue, what we understand the issue to be, and we are going to make sure that we get that all linked into our new fishery ecosystem management webpage and just make sure that that page outlines all the relevant information that we have on the issue, and also communicate a timeline of working through the fishery ecosystem issue and its deliverables.

 Next, we think we would like to, for each specific fishery ecosystem issue, curate a list of important stakeholders, of involved stakeholders. Each issue is going to have a different list of folks. Now, the unfortunate thing is those lists of people tend to change. You know, people change jobs, or people change interests, but, for each issue, as we work through that issue, we want to cultivate a list of involved people who care about that specific issue.

In order to do that, we are going to sort of do a call, and

like, basically, we're going to sign you up for a specific listserv list, so that we know that you are into Spanish mackerel, and that's your thing. You're going to get on our list, and we're going to continue to communicate with you throughout the fishery ecosystem issue process, right, if that's what we're choosing.

4 5

This is also going to include what we're calling extrajurisdictional agencies, right, and so, in some cases, there are folks that are not the council, or not the states, that are still involved in this issue, because a lot of these fishery ecosystems are bigger than what we handle at this table, and so we're going to curate a list of those agencies, or those certain taskforces or groups, that might be impacted by the specific issue.

Next, we are going to measure stakeholder sentiment, and so we are going to develop an online tool for each fishery ecosystem issue, and we're going to gather general feedback relative to that fishery ecosystem issue, and then we're going to take responses from that pool, analyze them for sentiment, and compare -- Basically compare responses by fishing sector and location, and so very similar to the analyses that we do on our Fishermen Feedback tool, but we're going to kind of adapt it for it makes sense for our fishery ecosystem plan and fishery ecosystem issues.

 I will stop here, and I know that this is a lot. This fishery ecosystem stuff is always a lot, and so I will give you guys a little space, if there's any questions, or clarifications, or thoughts about where we're at here. What I just did was outline the general process we're going to use for communicating FEIs, the fishery ecosystem issues.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Any feedback?

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: So I've either succeeded in totally confusing you or being perfect. I know which one is more likely.

MS. SUSAN BOGGS: I'm not on your committee, but it is very informative, and you've done a great job.

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: Thank you. Okay. Good, and so maybe that alludes to the second one. Okay. Then we'll move on to red tide, and so, if you remember, in a recent council meeting, we decided that maybe red tide was an appropriate pilot issue to run through this fishery ecosystem issue process, and I'm just going to sort of remind you that the reason that we selected a

pilot is because this fishery ecosystem plan, and this whole process, is not completely worked out yet, and it is a bit confusing, and so we decided to run a pilot issue, so that we can kind of work out the process, and develop the process, as we go through an issue.

Interestingly, red tide specifically is not an issue that can effectively be controlled by direct council regulatory action, right, and you guys cannot regulate people into not creating red tide. We cannot regulate nutrient upwelling, and we cannot regulate, you know, lawn fertilizing, or whatever it is, right, and so we need to find a way to communicate what the council is doing, because, in the event that the council can't do anything about the fishery ecosystem issue that it has brought up, there's a good chance that the communications are going to be the most useful way to incite a positive outcome from the fishery ecosystem issue.

In the case of red tide, if the council had their hands tied, then it becomes the responsibility of council communications to drive effective change, which is a little bit terrifying, but we can do it.

In the case of red tide, since it's not an issue that we can solve with fishing regulations directly, what we think would be useful for this specific fishery ecosystem issue, since it's not going to follow the regulatory feedback loop, is come together and try and host some sort of red tide symposium.

What we've imagined here is that this symposium is going to bring together a range of affected stakeholders, so our fishery stakeholders, as well as a number of those what I was calling extra-jurisdictional agencies and partners. You know, there is a red tide taskforce, and there is tons of county-level groups that are dealing with red tide in the State of Florida, and so we hope to get all of those people together in the same room, with our offshore fishermen and the folks that are impacted by what is literally potentially the downstream impacts of red tide, in order to get all those folks that have a stake in this together and collaboratively address the issue in a more holistic manner, right, and I think what happens is a lot of us that work on these little issues just know how it impacts us in our own backyard.

 The idea is, if we host a symposium, it would be something that would allow for effective communication between all of these different organizations, so that we can find a way, maybe, to tackle this holistically.

1 2 The next thing that we would like to do with the red tide 3 fishery ecosystem specifically is in recognizing that there are so many agencies, and so many different groups, that are already 4 5 addressing red tide, that are worried about it, that are talking about it, that are thinking about it, and we want to develop a 6 federal fisheries red tide report, which would basically be a 7 8 report about the impacts that red tide has on our stakeholders 9 specifically, on our managed species specifically, and be able to take the information that we have on that downstream effect 10 of red tide and share it with those organizations that deal with 11 12 red tide, because then, when they're thinking about making 13 making recommendations, or 14 understanding of how red tide is impacting us socially and

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28 29

30

31 32

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

46

47

48

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Bob and then Dakus.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and so the red tide symposium -- My reaction to that is a number of things. it has the potential for being yearly, and so managing where that's going is really important, which says that establishing the goal of what you're trying to achieve there is important, and I noticed that you don't mention, and I'm assuming that you included, at least mentally, the science side.

that, I will pause and see if we have any feedback on that

economically and biologically in our federal fisheries.

approach for red tide, the red tide fishery ecosystem issue.

they

have

I'm not sure that's more aspirational than helpful, because of these issues, and, as you mentioned, a million people, and organizations, are already involved in that, and so I think we need to think very carefully about what are we going to get out of this that is helpful, and useful, and how do we manage not only the numbers, but the expectations, of such a meeting. Conceptually, it's interesting to think about, and I don't know whether I see it being successful, at the end of the day.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you, Bob. Dakus.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. To that point, and then I MR. GEESLIN: had another point, we've hosted something like this in Texas, many years ago, and it was incredibly beneficial, just to gain a better understanding of the far-reaching impacts of red tide. You know, red tide is not a big deal until it's a huge deal, and you end up with more fish kills than you've ever seen, experienced, along the coast, and that's what we've experienced in Texas, especially in our nearshore waters, and so I applaud you all for thinking how to, you know, better communicate the

impacts and what we can do, as managers, as anglers, and the concerned public.

To that point, Emily, I will offer up state coordination again, and we've done some extensive kind of, you know, frequently-asked questions for the public, and we've gathered our experts within the state, and we've put together some, you know, kind of white paper and easily digestible, you know, material out there for red tide.

I will say that, you know, since the 1970s, our largest fish kill -- We always get concerns about freeze events, but they pale in comparison to red tide events, as far as, you know, localized fishing goes, and so, again, I will offer up kind of some state assistance and resource help there.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you. Any other feedback, or questions? Yes.

DR. TOM FRAZER: I mean, I'm just pondering this a bit, and I'm with Bob. I'm not sure the symposium is going to be all that helpful. We can talk about it later, but there's a lot of those types of things. What I do think would be really helpful is the production of this report, right, and I guess even -- I would think about even broadening it out and talk about the effects of degraded water quality, or harmful algal blooms, more broadly on fisheries and its stakeholders.

I mean, to Dakus's point, I mean, we have a lot of red tide issues, obviously, in our backyard, right, and we've got brown tides, and other types of things that go on in Texas, or, you know, we've got blue-green algae blooms in Mississippi waters, coastal waters, and south Florida, but why it's valuable is because the people that want to make changes, right, or affect change, can use that information to justify that work, right, and it's hard to do that, because it's not compiled and put in one place, and I think that would be incredibly valuable to do that.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you, Tom. Clay.

DR. CLAY PORCH: Thank you, Chair. I did want to say that we ought to be a little bit careful about being so black-and-white with saying that -- You know, that the council can't take any real actions in response to the red tide. Yes, we can't control the dinoflagellate, but the council can -- If red tides are increasing, we can put buffers in place to account for that, and we can include those, as we have, in stock assessments, so it's

clear that it may not be overfishing that caused the stock to achieve an overfished status, and it got depleted from red tide.

 We could -- The council could put in regulations to shift effort, maybe take some equity considerations into account, and so I think there's a lot of things that the council can do, especially if we improve our predictive and measurement capacity for red tide and what the impacts are.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you, Clay. Tom.

DR. FRAZER: I mean, I think those are great comments by Clay, and that puts a new spin on what the kind of the flavor of that symposium might look like, right, and what can the fisheries management community do, right, in response to a harmful algal bloom, or red tide, in this case.

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: Okay, and I think that is really valuable, because I think what I was talking about is we can't regulate red tide, but what Clay brought up is that we can react to it, right, and so maybe there is a good interest in having a discussion about how we can react to red tide. I understand. Okay.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: All right. Yes, Carrie.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and so we do have a proposed symposium, or a summit, in our proposal for the climate -- For the Inflation Reduction Act climate-ready fisheries, and so I think some of the points that were brought up here -- We alluded that red tide could be a possible summit topic, but we don't nail down which one we would move forward with yet for the council, but I think there was a lot good points that were brought up that we can try to integrate before we submit that.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you.

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: Just to add to what Carrie said, I think, based on Clay's comments, and based on sort of how we were thinking about this symposium, I think it can do both, right, and I think the symposium can actually help us inform this report that we plan to deliver, by gathering more information on our social, economic, and biological impacts, right, and so I was kind of envisioning that symposium to be feeding that report in some ways, or at least feeding the development of that report, as well as sort of looking into what Clay noted, which is how the council itself can react.

1 2

All right, and so let's just move on to the final portion of this Fishery Ecosystem Plan, the outreach plan, which is the evaluation portion, and so, after we complete a fishery ecosystem issue, we think it's really valuable for us to actually go back to our stakeholders and ask for feedback on how we performed in both our communications and just in general, and like we would like to understand if people are thinking that this is a valuable endeavor.

One of the things that we would like to do is I talked about cultivating a list of sort of deeply-engaged stakeholders issue, and I would like to just circle back with them after each issue is completed and ask them for their own feedback, but also ask them to ask their constituents, right, and so understanding that we might have somebody who leads an NGO that's based on a fishery ecosystem issue, and so asking that NGO to actually ask their constituents for feedback to share with us on how we did throughout our communications, as well as the fishery ecosystem plan itself, and, with that, that concludes sort of what our first layout of this fishery ecosystem communications plan is.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Bob.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and so, in a word, I like it, and I think one of the attractive features of this is that it integrates the public side with what we're trying to do on a twenty-four-by-seven basis, and it's not just the outcome of a meeting, or a news burst or something like that, but they can gauge, to the extent that they want to, continuously, twenty-four-by-seven, and so, in essence, by setting it up this way, you've allowed it to feed on itself, so to speak, without necessarily interaction by you all, and so I think it's a great plan, and I thoroughly endorse it.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you. All right. Emily, the floor is still yours.

COASTAL MIGRATORY PELAGICS STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

 MS. MUEHLSTEIN: All right. Well, if there's nothing else on the FEP Communications Plan, we will move on to the CMP Communication Plan, and so we're going to move on to the Coastal Migratory Pelagics Communication Plan.

I am going to go over, in a moment, our draft Coastal Migratory Pelagics Communications Plan. This aims to gather stakeholder input on king and Spanish mackerel. At the moment, one of the things that I would like from you guys is feedback on whether or not we want to add cobia to the mix, but we get there when we get there.

This effort, just to remind you, is something that we are suggesting as a complementary effort to the South Atlantic's port meeting effort, and so, if you remember, in August, we met and discussed the South Atlantic's intention to go up the entire eastern seaboard, and the entire range of king and Spanish mackerel, and really try and figure out what's happening with those stocks.

They want to revise the objectives of their FMP, which is a joint FMP with us, and so they asked us to sort of do something on our coast that can feed into their sort of global understanding of mackerel. We also know that we've had some issues with both Spanish and king, and, you know, our stock assessments are maybe showing one thing, and some of our public comment lately has been alluding to other things, and so, you know, we, at the council table, I think recognize that we could take some time out to really dedicate an effort to getting feedback on our coastal migratory pelagics.

Specifically, the conversation, so far, has been about king and Spanish, but we might want to include cobia, and that's something that I will take feedback on once we walk through this plan, and so, Bernie, if you can open Tab O, Number 9.

This plan that I'm about to present to you really aims to gather input from a broad variety of our stakeholders on king and Spanish mackerel. We've had declines in landings in both species in recent years, but the most recent stock assessment for both species determined that they're not overfishing or -- They're not overfished or undergoing overfishing, right, and so that's kind of a juxtaposition that we're seeing in this fishery.

 Based on that, we've updated ACLs for king mackerel, and we're considering modifying some Spanish mackerel catch limits as well, and so I have a three-pronged outreach plan. I think you might remember our conversation in August talked about the fact that we have had great in-person engagement in our CMP fisheries in the past, and so what I would like to do is sort of, rather than do a road show, which is what the South Atlantic is proposing, I think that we can accomplish gathering the same type of feedback through a three-pronged approach.

The first one is creating an online tool to gather feedback on,

at this point, king and Spanish mackerel, which is modeled after our existing Fishermen Feedback tool, and it's not going to be branded as Fishermen Feedback, because the Fishermen Feedback tool has a very clear niche, and role, and I don't want to confound that, but it will be an opportunity for us to gather virtual feedback from folks.

4 5

It should hopefully target a broad audience and require relatively minimal stakeholder investment of time and resources, and what we'll do is we'll take responses that we get from that tool and summarize them, pull out themes, and share them with the councils, both the Gulf and the South Atlantic Council.

Next is we would like to host some virtual feedback workshops. We're proposing to host three virtual workshops at different times of the day, during different parts of the fishing season, and the South Atlantic effort is going to be running through the middle of next year, and so we have plenty of time to sort of space these out, and, during those workshops, we plan to ask a series of questions to gather feedback from attendees, and so they're going to be a pretty open-ended meeting.

It's not going to be a formal public-hearing-type meeting, and it's going to be more of a workshop, and then we'll produce summaries of those meetings, and, again, we'll pull out the themes that we see across the workshops, as well as, at the end, when we deliver our final report, we're going to pull together themes and sort of geographic, or sector, differences that we see across all of the workshops and all of these efforts.

 The third part of this proposed outreach plan is to gather feedback from our APs, and so, throughout this year, this 2024 year, we're going to host a variety of advisory panel meetings, like always, right, and we've got our CMP Advisory Panel, which is scheduled for February 13, and we already have a couple of hours blocked to do this exercise.

What we're thinking is this might be something that we want to do through all of our APs, knowing that the Coastal Migratory Pelagic AP -- They are going to be a rich source of information for this, but our reef fish guys are also going to have opinions about CMP, and our data collection guys might also have -- So we're going to see, as the year progresses, which APs we're going to host, and we're going to do this workshop with each of the APs that seem like they have a relevant audience.

What that is going to look like is, again, it's going to look a lot like what I just described for those virtual sessions. I've

got a series of questions that we actually are modeling based off of the South Atlantic's fishery performance reports, and so they do this exercise with their APs right now, and so I looked at what they were doing, and we have modified the questions to sort of suit our needs, and to be specific to these CMP fisheries, and we'll gather feedback from our AP members.

4 5

Again, we're going to generate a report from that effort, and we will pull out sort of themes that we're seeing, and trends in sector, or geographic trends that we're understanding, and so, once we grab all three -- Or we perform all three of those efforts, what we envision is that we will have individual reports from each effort, but then we'll have sort of a full document that pulls out summaries and themes that we've received from the effort in general, and so hopefully, by getting feedback from our online tool, our virtual meeting, and our APs, we'll kind of cover some broad bases there.

We also discussed that, during council meetings, we could request comment to be included for each meeting announcement, right, and so, in other words, in each one of our meeting press releases for our councils -- You know, rather that hosting a session at a council meeting, because I think people, at the end of the day don't want to go through one of these big workshops, and what we could do is do a targeted effort to ask for CMPbased feedback during public comment, or it's something that we could do during public comment, if we know somebody that comes up to the mic and is talking about CMP species, and we can pull out the comment they say and add that to our body of knowledge that gather this year, and so, with that, I will take any feedback on this approach, and I would specifically like to know if we are including cobia, because it's not part of the South Atlantic effort, but it might be good for our own, or if we want to just stick with the mackerels.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you. Ed.

 MR. WALKER: First off, I would say definitely put cobia, especially if you're already going to have a group of people that you're going to ask a question to, and it won't be that much harder, but, where I live, the two migrate by at the same time, and so it's pretty related, I think, and it is in the CMP species, and so I would say at least discuss including cobia in this.

 I had a question on your virtual feedback workshops, and how will you select the participants? Will that be open to anyone, because say king mackerel -- If you have a novice fisherman, who

just went out there in his second year in his new boat, and he catches three kingfish, he may report back to you that it's been the best year ever, whereas, you know, an experienced guy would report three fish as a fraction of what he used to catch, and so, you know, I suppose it has to be open to the public, but, you know, depending on which person in the public you're talking about, you might get a different kind of an indication, based on certain reports.

4 5

What I've seen lately, I believe the stock has declined a lot, but I did land on something special, for the first time in a couple of years, this fall, and I had two really big days, and then I didn't catch any for like three weeks, and so, if you were to go based on that day, I might have said, yes, kingfish are coming back, but, you know, I think it was just a blip, would be my read on it.

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: Just to respond to that thought, it's something that we've considered, right, is the idea that somebody's experience level, or even maybe their geographic location, might sway the way that they're feeling, right, and, I mean, I've heard some of those guys down in the Keys don't think that there's a problem, right, but some do, and so, presumably, if we cast a wide enough net -- If we get enough participation through all three of these different efforts, we are going to be able to see those themes.

If there are a couple of novice guys that do think that king mackerel is on fire, because they haven't seen that historical trend, I think that that would be at least balanced, or outweighed, by the participants that have seen that trend, and so, presumably, when we give you that report, what we will be reporting out is we did hear a bunch -- You know, a couple of folks that said, hey, king mackerel are fine, and we also heard that, you know, that -- So I think that's something that we'll be able to tease out, if we have enough participation in this effort.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: C.J.

 DR. C.J. SWEETMAN: Thank you, sir. I am not on your committee, but I just kind of wanted to point out, and I would look to Kerry over there too, but the South Atlantic was very prescriptive, in terms of focusing just on the mackerel fishery, and they will certainly take input on cobia, and things along those lines, but they specifically were looking for feedback on the mackerel fisheries themselves. Thanks.

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: I think, when we generate the report to complement the South Atlantic effort, we can tease -- You know, we can pull out the cobia information, so that they're just getting what's relevant to them, but I think the idea here is, if we're going to undertake this effort, we want it to be useful for our council table as well, and so that's why I wanted to give the option of expanding, just, you know, because -- Because I think that the outcomes of this aren't just going to feed into the South Atlantic, and they will likely inform us as well.

4 5

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you. Any other questions, or comments? Emily.

MANAGEMENT TIMELINE TOOL REVIEW

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: All right. Actually, I think now is the time that Ms. Lisa is going to come, and I will set you guys up for what she's about to knock down. We have had -- This is a very exciting project, this timeline tool.

It has been on our radar, and on our list of wants, for about two years now, and it actually did come from an initial conversation that I had with Susan on the phone a couple of years ago, and some of you might recognize this problem, but, if you are coming to the council table, or if you are a stakeholder in the council process, you come in, and you can see our regulations, and you can see our, you know, fishery management plan, but it's really hard to figure out like, hey, amberjack is a thirty-six-inch minimum size limit, and like how did we get there?

What we endeavored to do was to create a tool that somebody could go to that is going to basically be -- You know, in each one of our documents, we have that history of management, and it's kind of a narrative. It's only relevant to whatever the change is going to be in the document, and so what we wanted to do was to create a history of management tool that a novice user could show up and say I'm interested in understanding amberjack, and you can click around and say I'm interested specially in amberjack size limits, or I'm interested specifically in changes to catch limits for amberjack over time.

What we did is we've created, for both amberjack and gag, and this is sort of our pilot species, and we've created full histories of management on this interactive tool that Lisa is about to show you.

What I'm hoping for you -- I will tell you guys that, in this

proposal that we're working on for fulfilling the recreational initiative, this tool should specifically feed into that recreational initiative, because it's going to help with that review of where we've been with our recreational management, and so you can actually toggle-on by sector, and so, when you think about this tool moving forward today, the list of recommendations for how we're going to expand the species in this tool should probably be aligned with the list of the species that we want to prioritize for this recreational initiative, because we do see these two things kind of helping each other out.

4 5

The tool is going to have broader use, and implications, outside the recreational initiative, but it would be really helpful if the species that we expand it to are also species that we're thinking about addressing with the recreational initiative.

With that, I am going to turn it over to Lisa, and she is going to drive you through the tool, and we would like to hear any feedback on the functionality on the tool, if you think it's useful, and not only the species that we want to expand, but the O&E -- When they looked at this, they were like this is great, and let's add this, and let's add this, and I think that's a really valuable thought exercise, but I'm just going to let you know that it is hard to just get what we have here, and so we'll focus on the species that we want to expand it to.

I would still like to hear your feedback, if there are ways that we can improve it, by adding other bells and whistles, but I think we'll just start by letting Lisa walk us through when she's ready.

DR. LISA HOLLENSEAD: Thank you, Emily. Bernie, if you wouldn't mind just taking the mouse over towards the left panel there, and just sort of doing a Vanna White, just sort of showcasing that left panel. There you go. Thank you.

Just to orient you all, and I'll talk about this, and then I'll come back to it. We've got an initial tab that will allow you to select your species. For example, that would be a drop box, for anybody that's interested in a particular species, and we're also going to have a little bit of information there. We'll have the logo, and email, if anybody has any questions, that we could then, you know, answer those, as they come through the tool, that sort of thing, and so that's sort of like a little informational tab.

Potentially we could put, you know, an image of the fish, or

those sorts of things, and, just about anything aesthetically that you can think of, the tool can do, and so maybe just hold those kind of comments to maybe the end, for when we flesh it out a little bit, for like I can't stand that color of blue, and we can definitely fix those sorts of things.

Bernie, if you wouldn't mind moving up to the management history tab, and so this is going to organize the documents just as they, you know, were published, or made final rule, over the course of time, and it's going to be reflected in that timeline down there that's sort of the main portion of the tool illustrated there, and then, Bernie, if you wouldn't mind clicking on Amendment 5.

When that happens, and let's say that somebody is interested in Amendment 5, and you will get a summary of the document, and so it will pop up, these little text boxes here, and we have bulleted out, you know, a little summary of what was in that document, you know, what it went over, and, in this case, you'll see a couple of bullets there dealing with, you know, some gear, some fish traps, some spatial management, as well as, you know, a requirement of how the fish is to be landed, right, with heads and fins attached, those sorts of things.

We also have a link to the policy document, and so, if somebody wants to click a link, it will take you outside of the tool, and then you can read it there, if you want to read it in its totality, as well as a link to the Federal Register notice. That might be interesting for some people, and the idea is, actually, here, if we could with the tool, to encapsulate like the sort of, you know, trifecta of, hey, the general public wants to know some knowledge, some of our agency partners may want to delve deeper into like the Federal Register notice, or something like that, as well as council members on the fly.

Like the idea that you're in a meeting, and you have a question about something, and you just want to look up something real quick, to provide context for your comment, or, you know, if you have a question about that, that you could do it very quickly, and so the reason those links exist is, if we put in a PDF of all of those things, it gets real clunky when it's loaded, and then, also, just to try to streamline it.

A term that I heard recently was portal fatigue, and so this idea that people -- It's just a bunch of data thrown at you like a firehose, right, and it just drives people nuts, and so we're trying to, you know, make this sort of speedy, and as useful as possible, so we can engage as many users as possible.

1 2

Keep in mind that Amendment 5 there, and, Bernie, if you wouldn't mind scrolling up, please, ma'am. If you continue scrolling up to those to tabs and click on that implementation timeline, and so, if you remember -- So that was one way to organize this, is just, hey, over the course of time, here is all the amendments laid out for gag grouper.

This organizes it a little differently, and so, if you will notice, there is that other, the catch limit, the size limit, the possession limit, and so it's sort of these categories as you go through, and Amendment 5 appears in that other category, and it appears -- So, Bernie, if you don't mind clicking on that top one.

Then, if you scroll down -- In the summary document, that's where it talked about how the animal was to be landed, right, with heads and fins, and, again, a link to the policy document and that sort of thing, and then there's an area closure associated with it, and so Amendment 5 would appear in that categorization again, as you went through, and so, if you were just curious about, hey, I just actually want to know possession limits for everything, you know, you would see them all sort of broken in that way.

As Emily mentioned, and we haven't gotten there yet, but we can certainly color-code all of these by what were sort of recreational or commercial, if they had something for both, something like that, so that you could toggle. If you're only interested in the recreational, and so, for example, the initiative folks, you could toggle on that, and only those policy documents associated with recreational fishing would popup, and that kind of cleans it up a little bit, and so that's certainly a next step that we can do.

Then, Bernie, if you wouldn't mind going back to that original tab, and so go all the way to the left, where it selects the species, and then go ahead and select greater amberjack, and so this is all for greater amberjack. There was a lot of overlap between gag and greater amberjack, but, if you will notice, in the right-hand corner, under catch limit, there is Amendment 54, for example, and so it would give you all of those, unique to those species, as you moved through.

 Now, we've talked, internally, about adding one more tab, a third tab, that would have some charts, and so here's the landings during that time period, or here's the change in size limit, you know, as you went through, and so it gives a visual,

and so this is kind of nice, in that it's got the text, and you can move through it, but visuals are always nice as well, and so it would give you a little context there, and so that's something that we could add as well, but, again, we're trying to avoid sort of that portal fatigue, and everything that's going on, that sort of thing.

4 5

That's what we had sort of envisioned. Again, sort of the skeletal elements for the code is already there, and so, as we add species, my job is fairly easy. All I have to do is just upload it. It's a little more difficult for Emily and Carly, as you can imagine, putting together all of this information and making sure it's -- It's not necessarily hard, but it just takes a lot of time, and we want to make sure that all the boxes are checked and that you've got everything that's out there and available in a way that's digestible for everyone, and so that's why we had mentioned that perhaps, if you like the idea of this tool, and where it's headed, and you would like for us to continue exploring it, if you have a couple of other species that you are interested in, you know, just letting us know ahead of time, and we continue working on that, as we build-out some of the other aspects of the tool.

Like I said, right now, we actually also wanted to get it fit into the webpage a little bit better. Right now, I'm asking Bernie to like run up and down with scrolling, and so there's ways to get around that, and so we'll have to explore that a little bit as well, but that's general idea.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: All right. Thank you, Lisa. Any questions, or comments, from the floor? Susan.

MS. BOGGS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and I'm not on your committee, but thank you, Emily, for taking our conversation, and I told Emily that, when I sit down and prepare for the council meetings, it's hard to kind of visualize where we've been and how we got to where we are, and it's a very busy table that shows, you know, amberjack, for instance.

 We've done a lot with amberjack, and we're still trying to figure out amberjack, but I did want to ask Lisa, and I don't know if it would be possible, but like, from that table right there, where you click on Amendment 54, if, when you clicked on Amendment 54, if it highlighted all the Amendment 54s in that table, meaning in the different sections, so you would know where else Amendment 54 fell, and so, if you're doing size limit, bag limit, seasons, you can quickly see, and it's just a thought. I mean, hey, this is exceptional, and I'm very pleased

with it. Thank you, all.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Dakus.

4 5

MR. GEESLIN: This is slick. I like it. I appreciate you all being proactive in thinking about, you know, the pitfalls of creating one of these dashboards, and just, once you start bolting on, it could just snowball, and so I hear you, Lisa, thinking and being very cautious about what all you bolt onto that, and so what you have here I think shows a lot of potential, and it gives us a great understanding of where we've been, how we got here, and I would echo Ms. Boggs' sentiments, and so thank you all for pursuing this.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you, Dakus. C.J.

DR. SWEETMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and, again, I'm not on your committee, but this is amazing. I mean, I don't consider myself a new council member anymore, but having this, when I was first starting out, would have been so incredibly useful. I mean, there's a lot of information in there, and kind of drinking from a firehose, but it's kind of needed, and it's kind of what we do, and so kudos. This looks like it was a ton of work to put into this, and I just want to say thank you for putting it together, because this is amazing.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: C.J., it's interesting that you say that, because, as I was at the meeting and going through this, I'm glad, as a new council member, that I now know what Amendment 54 really means. Thank you. Mara.

MS. MARA LEVY: I think this is great. We've, for quite a while in the General Counsel Office, had interns update an Excel spreadsheet that goes through recent management actions, so that we have a history of what's going on, and it's just so awesome that you could put this together, and it's so interactive, and you can get to the documents, right, if you want to look at the rule, and I love the part at the bottom, and it just has a little summary of what is in there, so that you can kind of make sure that that's what you're looking for, before you start scrolling through hundreds of pages of documents, and so thanks a lot.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you. Yes.

MR. KEVIN ANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm not on your committee, but, yes, kudos to staff for their work, and I guess I would just -- Speaking on what Dakus had mentioned, you know,

any of the stuff that needs to be updated frequently, and like you're talking about some of the landings history and such, just for staff time -- I mean, you all kind of know what it will take to update it, but I would just caution you that it could get a little cumbersome to try to, you know, update all the species with the landings stuff, and keep it fresh, and so --

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: All right. Thank you, Kevin. Any other questions? J.D.

CHAIRMAN J.D. DUGAS: Thank you. Emily and Lisa, you all mentioned a list of species to add to the tool, and so my question is are you looking for that today, by the end of tomorrow, or what's that timeline?

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: The sooner we get the list of species, the sooner we know where to go next, and so I would appreciate that, by the end of this week, we can come up with a list of species. You know, I think it's -- I think we can probably do two or three this year, to add two or three species to this, and, like I said, it takes -- I mean, I don't remember how many lines in the spreadsheet it was for each, but it's hundreds and hundreds of lines, because of the way that you have to do it, and so I think, just with our limited staff time -- I would say, like this year, we could probably add three, and then, next year, we're going to probably want to add more.

What would help is either for you to give me those three that you know you want, or if we can have a list of like red snapper must be next, and then, you know, I'm going to guess that like wenchman is probably not at the top of that list, but, you know, it might be, and I don't know.

One of the things that we did think about is should we be doing this tool for the next species that we're going to have a management -- You know, that we're doing management for, and so some guidance would be very helpful by the end of the week.

CHAIRMAN DUGAS: So would you guys like to leave gag grouper and amberjack and one more, to make it third, or you want to add three more?

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: We're thinking five by the end of 2024, is my goal.

CHAIRMAN DUGAS: By the end of the week.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Susan.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14 15 16

17 18

19 20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

29 30 31

32 33

38 39 40

41 42

43

44 45

46 47 48

MS. BOGGS: Again, I'm not on your committee, but it seems to me like the -- I'm going to name the five, because the top-five always seem to be red snapper, greater amberjack, red grouper, gag grouper, and triggerfish, gray triggerfish. I would say red grouper, gray triggerfish, and red snapper.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you, Susan. All right. can continue down the agenda. Thank you, Lisa.

RETURN 'EM RIGHT BEST PRACTICES MANUAL UPDATE

Okay, and so, just to tie a bow on that one, I MS. MUEHLSTEIN: didn't see any opposition to red snapper, red grouper, triggerfish being our goal for the rest of the year, and so, without that, I'm going to take that as my recommendation, unless somebody wants to speak now, or forever hold your piece, at least until Full Council.

We will move right along then, and so our next All right. agenda item is just a quick update on the Return 'Em Right Best Practices Manual Update. When you sat down this morning, you should have had this beautiful document, and so I just want to give you a little bit of update on, first of all, where we're at, where this came from.

A little bit of history of this is you may remember that the council, a long, long time ago, hosted a release mortality symposium. We got a bunch of stakeholders, we got a bunch of fishermen, and we got our O&E together, and we really wanted to talk about release mortality and what to do about it.

The council's Outreach and Education Committee suggested that, you know, we sort of have some program, knowing that there was potential oil spill money available, that was not branded as a NOAA program, not branded as a council program, and was really hoping to sort of encourage the use of descending devices and best release practices and sort of, you know, really work through regular, you know, business model and marketing theory, in order to get anglers involved in improving the way that they release fish.

Fast-forward to the creation of Return 'Em Right, which is that sort of third-party unbranded program. Ιt is administered through the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission and Florida Sea Grant, trying to sort of remain a little bit more neutral and get that agency stink off of this program.

The program did host a symposium, where they brought together scientists and fishermen, and what we did, through that symposium, or that workshop, was we went through the different stages of a fishing trip, and what we tried to do was ask the scientists what the best thing to do for each stage of the fishing trip was, in order to improve release mortality, and then we asked the fishermen what they thought.

Sometimes the fishermen and the scientists were right on, and the things that they said were totally right, and, in some cases, like let's say fight time, the fishermen were like, well, you know, you slow roll them up, so they be compressed, whereas the scientists were like, no, you don't want the lactic acid to build up, and so sometimes those two groups were sort of -- They had to find the best solution, right, and so this manual was created as a result of that second workshop that happened.

A couple of things that you're going to notice about this manual is it is not prescriptive. There is no, you know, check Box A, check Box B, and check Box C. It is really hard to tell people exactly what to do in every scenario.

Offshore fishing in the Gulf of Mexico varies so greatly. It varies because we do add some charter practices in here, which might be different than private recreational practices, and I can tell you that the way that people fish in one part of the coast is completely different than the way they fish from another part of the coast, and so this is very situational, and the whole goal of this document is not to tell you exactly what to do in every situation, but is to inform good decision-making.

Some of the stuff might seem obvious to some of you guys that are more experienced anglers, but, if you think about it, there are millions of fishermen who could use a reminder that they need to be doing the best they can, and they need to think about the different factors that could be contributing to survival of reef fish after a catch-and-release event.

You know, discard mortality hurts our entire fishery, and so I think we all need to be advocates for better release practices. This manual provides a start for somebody that might not know what they're doing, or might need a little nudge, to informing good decision-making in your own unique fishing situation.

I just wanted you guys to have that, and it was published I think in November, is when we finally finished it. It is out now, and it is online, as you can see in this nice little tool. The link is in the agenda, and I just wanted you guys to know

that this exists, and it's a really good tool to share with your stakeholder community.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Thank you, Emily. Any questions? Ed.

MR. WALKER: Where -- Are these passed out to the public at tackle shops or shows?

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: So the online version is broadly advertised. Return 'Em Right does have a large email list that they, you know, blast this out to. Return 'Em Right is incredibly visible on social media, and it's really done a great job of permeating the fishing community, and so they have mostly been sharing the online version.

We do have a couple of copies in the back of the room, for anybody that wants them, or anybody can request copies, but, at this point, you know, we are limiting the printing of this, because we don't really understand the appetite that people have for having a paper copy, which isn't to say that you can't get your hands on a gazillion of them if you wanted them.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: Susan.

MS. BOGGS: Thank you again, Mr. Chair, and I'm not on your committee, and so Gulf States Marine Fisheries did bring us a lot of the small brochures, that we do display in our marina store, and, just talking about the social media and all of that, the procedures, is this something that we, Susan Boggs at Reel Surprise Charters and Marina, could have a link on their website to direct people to the online?

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: That's a great question, and so Return 'Em Right does work very closely with industry partners, and ambassadors, and we're actually looking at a more fleshed-out ambassador program, and we're thinking about developing it. At this point, absolutely. The answer is, if you want to communicate to your fishing constituency about this program, please do.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: All right. Thank you, Susan. All right. Moving down the agenda, and we're getting close to the end, Captain Hubbard is next on the agenda.

OTHER ITEMS FROM THE O&E TECHNICAL COMMITTEE SUMMARY

MS. MUEHLSTEIN: Okay, and so Dylan is the chair of our Outreach and Education Technical Committee, and he is going to cover some

of the things that were discussed during our December Outreach and Education Technical Committee meeting that we didn't cover through the agenda items today, and then, if there's any action items that you want to take from there, that is up to you.

MR. DYLAN HUBBARD: Well, Emily didn't leave me much to go over, and so this will be short. As always, she did a great job outlining it, along with Carly as well. The one thing that I wanted to mention about the Fishery Ecosystem Plan outreach is there was some discussion around the symposium, and I did want to just mention that I feel like the conversation was, obviously, really good, and, Clay, your points were definitely interesting, to take that approach of how we could do some ways on how it affects us, but the idea that I had, moving into that symposium and kind of what the conversation was at the technical committee, was more of an approach of communication.

As Emily said, you can't really manage the dinoflagellate and all that good stuff, and so there's not really a big management action, but I feel like one of the big steps that this FEI could use -- I know it's kind of a practice FEI that you guys voted for, to kind of help navigate this FEP process, and I feel like one of the good outcomes could be that communication, right, because, so often with red tide, there is a lot of people talking about it, a lot of different taskforces, and a lot of different people working in their silos, and I feel like some of that --

A big benefit of what could come out of that symposium, or proposed symposium, would be breaking down those silos and causing some of these groups to communicate, which I think is a really big issue that we face across the Gulf, is people are doing really good work, but they don't communicate, and I think this could potentially help that communication, along with that plan, that federal outreach plan, and that was kind of the approach that the technical committee had envisioned for this symposium, but, along with your additions, I think it could be a very powerful event.

The coastal migratory pelagics stakeholder engagement, Emily covered that really well, and the rest of the stuff was pretty well covered. The one thing that we didn't go over in the report that Emily gave was the illegal sale of recreationally-caught fish. That was in the summary report, and it was something that the staff presented to the O&E, and basically gave a background on the council's concern over the illegal sale of recreationally-caught fish.

 The council discussion was kind of centered around cobia, but the O&E's discussion kind of got a little bit more broad. Specifically, what I run into, myself, and what I commented about at this meeting, is, often, it's -- Whether it's a headboat or a charter boat or anybody, and it's these folks that are coming from out-of-state, who are maybe not familiar with the regulations in the Gulf of Mexico, and they just assume that fish can be bought, or tourists walking through a tourist area see a charter boat coming in, and then they immediately inquire, hey, can we buy those fish.

4 5

It's a constant, ongoing battle, and I think that is the crux of the problem, right, is the outreach need of -- I think having Fish Rules clarifying that fish, recreational fish, can't be sold is important, and I think some of the outreach about even bartering for fish, that consideration rule -- It's kind of like the illegal charter issue.

Any consideration makes it a charter in the CFRs, and I think that's part of the issue here, with the illegal sale of fish, that we might face a little bit more broadly in the Gulf, is people don't realize that bartering fish for the return of a service is technically illegally selling that fish, and so I think that was the discussion from the technical committee about the illegal sale of recreationally-caught fish.

The other thing that I wanted to cover was the Other Business item at the bottom of this report, that we haven't talked about yet, and that was the outreach and education on the potential for a new electronic reporting program. The O&E had a discussion around the original SEFHIER program, and how we had a lot of heartache across the Gulf, and consternation, surrounding some of those things, like the multi-hail-out requirements, hailing-out every time the boat moved, or the economic reporting, and a lot of that consternation, and issue, I think you guys were working through at this table.

I think it was a little bit of a tough rollout, because of timing, and that, unfortunately, coincided with the whole COVID mess, where we couldn't have as much outreach in-person, and I feel like the O&E should be heavily involved, and that was essentially the crux of our discussion, is the O&E should be heavily involved as we move forward with a new electronic reporting program.

 Utilize the O&E to help with the outreach. The port ambassador program was discussed as well, and so using different tools, whether it's council staff, the O&E, the port ambassador

program, potentially, and other methodologies to help make sure that this next program rolls out with as much outreach and education as possible, to help, hopefully, improve buy-in and smooth out that initial implementation plan, because I think that could really go a long way to preserving and protecting the improvement of recreational data collection, and that's about all I had to go over that wasn't already covered.

VICE CHAIRMAN OVERTON: All right. Thank you, Dylan, and, also, thank you for the other business. Any questions for Dylan on the committee, or any additional other business? Seeing none, Kevin, I pass the floor back to you.

(Whereupon, the meeting adjourned on January 31, 2024.)