1	GULF OF MEXICO FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
2	SHRIMP MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE
4 5	The Driskill Austin, Texas
6 7	August 15, 2023
8 9	VOTING MEMBERS
10	Chris Schieble (designee for Patrick Banks)Louisiana
11	Kevin Anson (designee for Scott Bannon)Alabama
12	Susan Boggs
13	Billy BroussardLouisiana
14	Dave DonaldsonGSMFC
15	Jonathan DugasLouisiana
16	Dakus Geeslin (designee for Robin Riechers)Texas
17	Bob GillFlorida
18	Joe SpragginsMississippi
19	Andy StrelcheckNMFS
20	-
21	NON-VOTING MEMBERS
22	Kesley BanksTexas
23	Dale DiazMississippi
24	Tom FrazerFlorida
25	Michael McDermottMississippi
26	Lisa Motoi
27	Anthony OvertonAlabama
28	C.J. Sweetman (designee for Jessica McCawley)Florida
29	Ed WalkerFlorida
30 31	Troy WilliamsonTexas
32	STAFF
33	Assane DiagneEconomist
34	Matt FreemanEconomist
35	John FroeschkeDeputy Director
36	Beth HagerAdministrative Officer
37	Lisa HollenseadFishery Biologist
38	Mary LevyNOAA General Counsel
39 40	Natasha Mendez-Ferrer
41	Kathy PereiraMeeting Planner - Travel Coordinator
42	Ryan RindoneLead Fishery Biologist/SEDAR Liaison
43	Bernadine RoyOffice Manager
44	Carrie Simmons
45	Carly SomersetFisheries Outreach Specialist
46	-
47	OTHER PARTICIPANTS
48	Peter HoodNMFS
49	Jennifer LeeNMFS

1	Kerry MarhefkaSAFMC
2	John WalterSEFSC
3	
4	
г	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	
3 4	Table of Contents3
5	Adoption of Agenda and Approval of Minutes and Action Guide and
6	Next Steps4
7	
8	Reinitiation of Endangered Species Act Section 7 Consultation on
9	the Authorization of the Southeast U.S. Shrimp Fisheries in
10	Federal Waters, Giant Manta Ray and Shrimp Trawl Interactions,
11	and Next Steps5
12	
13	Status Update of Side-by-Side Testing of Cellular Vessel
14	Monitoring Systems (cVMS) and Cellular Electronic Logbooks
15	(cELBs) on Gulf Shrimp Vessels13
16	
17	Other Business19
18	Potential Use of IRA Funding to Reimburse Gulf Shrimpers
19	For Type-Approved NMFS Hardware/Software19
20	Announcement of Shrimp Focus Group Listening Sessions21
21	
22	Adjournment
23	
24	
25	
26	

The Shrimp Management Committee of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council convened at The Driskill in Austin, Texas on Tuesday afternoon, August 15, 2023 and was called to order by Chairman Chris Schieble.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA APPROVAL OF MINUTES ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS

 CHAIRMAN CHRIS SCHIEBLE: At this time, I would like to call the Shrimp Committee to order. The members of the committee are myself as the chair, and Mr. Gill is the vice chair, Mr. Anson, Ms. Boggs, Mr. Broussard, Mr. Donaldson, Mr. Dugas, Mr. Geeslin, General Spraggins, and Mr. Strelcheck, and so all of the committee members are present either in-person or virtually today. The first item on the agenda is Adoption of the Agenda, Tab D, Number 1. Is anyone opposed to adopting the agenda as written? Do we have any other business to add to the agenda?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CARRIE SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Can we add a short discussion on the possibility of using the climate resilience, the IRA funding, for the cellular VMS units, just to discuss that?

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Under Other Business?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Under Other Business, please.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Anything else? Dr. Walter.

 DR. JOHN WALTER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just wanted to, in Other Business, have an announcement for the focus group sessions that we're initiating in September, commensurate with the SEDAR workshops, and this will be the first of them, and I will just discuss that briefly. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Walter. Seeing no other additions to Other Business, we will move on. Is Dr. Freeman virtual? Is he available and good to go?

DR. MATT FREEMAN: Yes, sir, I'm available.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Can you lead us through the next agenda item? I'm sorry. We need to approve the minutes from the June 2023 meeting, Tab D, Number 2. Are there any additions, deletions, or edits to the meeting minutes from June of 2023? Seeing none, the meeting minutes are adopted as proposed in the briefing book. Next up is the Action Guide, Tab

D, Number 3, and that's Dr. Freeman, please.

4 5

DR. FREEMAN: Yes, sir. Thank you. For Agenda Item IV, SERO staff will update the committee on the request for reinitiation of an ESA Section 7 consultation for the Gulf shrimp fishery in federal waters and cover the next steps of the process. To provide context for the request, SERO staff will also review a primer on giant manta rays, including new scientific information on their life history and distribution, as well as an update on smalltooth sawfish. The committee should ask questions and provide feedback on the next steps, and Ms. Lee will be giving that presentation.

REINITIATION OF ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT SECTION 7 CONSULTATION ON THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE SOUTHEAST U.S. SHRIMP FISHERIES IN FEDERAL WATERS, GIANT MANTA RAY AND SHRIMP TRAWL INTERACTIONS, AND NEXT STEPS

MS. JENNIFER LEE: I am here to present information on the reinitiation of the Section 7 consultation. Just to give you a little overview of what I'm going to present, I am first going to just remind you of the 2021 biological opinion and then discuss reinitiation of Section 7 consultation, the requirements and then reason and scope of our reinitiation, and then, as Matt said, giant manta rays are a relatively new species, at least under the ESA that is, and so we wanted to give you a primer, if you're not that familiar with them, and so we'll talk a little bit about their life history, population status and size, feeding habits and habitat, distribution and movements.

Then we'll move on to the giant manta ray trawl effects and bycatch data and new information. Again, that's supporting and just giving you a little more information on the information behind the reinitiation. We will also touch on smalltooth sawfish new information and then go through next steps and timing and what you can do now.

We do have a relatively recent biological opinion. The 2021 shrimp biological opinion analyzed the effects of our ESA TED regs and the authorization of the Southeast U.S. shrimp fisheries managed under the Magnuson-Stevens Act, which, again, we refer to as the proposed action, and we determined that the proposed action is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of sea turtles, sturgeons, giant manta ray, and smalltooth sawfish, and then we issued the incidental take statement, which specifies the amount of anticipated incidental take for listed species.

 I will say that, tomorrow, when we talk about the coastal migratory pelagic resources bi-op, I did put a little bit of a 101, for those of you that aren't that familiar with Section 7 and biological opinions, at the request of the council, and so ask questions, or you might also get some answers tomorrow too on some of the background, but, with respect to the incidental take statements of the 2021 shrimp biological opinion, giant manta rays, since that's our focus here, is estimated at 16,780 non-lethal takes over ten years, and so averaging 1,678 giant manta rays per year, but there were no giant manta ray mortalities that were anticipated.

4 5

That's because we had no records of lethal interactions when we did the consultation, and, of course, I do want to point out that is a highly uncertain estimate, and that's in part because we, at that time, only had one year of data and twelve interactions documented during that time, and part of that is because giant manta rays were not identified to species in the observer records, and so we just -- You know, when a species is listed, we have to improve our species identification issues, so that we have better data.

As I mentioned, the opinion was done in 2021, and so, in terms of why we're reinitiating, we're required to reinitiate if certain things happen, and that's whether the amount or extent of our take specified in the ITS is exceeded, if we have new information revealing effects that we didn't consider in the biological opinion, if the action -- So if the council takes some action, for example, and modifies how the fishery is operating, so that it causes an effect that we didn't consider, and then, as I know you're aware from other presentations, a new species is listed, or critical habitat designated, that may be affected by the identified actions.

Those are the reasons why we have to reinitiate, and, if you go to the next slide, I have outlined specifically why we've reinitiated here, and so we have met the amount, or extent, of the taking specified that is exceeded. We triggered that because, since the 2021 shrimp biological opinion was completed, we have four giant manta ray mortalities that have been observed.

Those lethal takes have been only confirmed in the Gulf of Mexico, but take records in the South Atlantic include several giant manta rays caught where the disposition was unknown, and so, for that reason, we're reinitiating on the entire -- You know, both the South Atlantic and the Gulf of Mexico.

 Also, we have new information revealing effects of the action that may affect listed species in a manner or extent not previously considered, and so, again, that recent take data may constitute new information, because, you know, now we have greater impacts than we anticipated, and we also have new publications that may constitute new information revealing effects on giant manta rays and smalltooth sawfish.

4 5

At this time, we don't have any other triggers, and so that's good news, and so, for that reason, and because none of the other triggers have been met, the consultation is limited to addressing only giant manta rays and smalltooth sawfish and their management under the Gulf and South Atlantic Shrimp FMPs and their implementing regulations.

I mentioned that I just wanted to give you a little background on giant manta rays, and I've been learning a lot about them recently, and I find them pretty fascinating, and so they're the largest ray in the world, with a wingspan of twenty-nine feet. Their average size is thirteen feet, which is still pretty big, and the female manta rays are believed to reach sexual maturity at around eight to ten years old, and they tend to give birth once every two to three years.

Their pregnancy lasts actually twelve to thirteen months, and the giant manta ray's long life span, time to maturity, and low reproductive rates means the female will only be able to produce about five to fifteen pups in her lifetime, and their generation time is estimated to be twenty-five years, and juvenile nursery areas, we've discovered, are the Flower Garden Banks National Marine Sanctuary and then, on the Florida east coast, there's an area as well.

As I mentioned, they've only been listed since 2018, and they were listed based on overfishing, particularly foreign overfishing, and bycatch, and the global population size is unknown. As you can see, we do have some reasonable total abundance estimates listed on the slide, and we're getting some preliminary information, but it's probably too soon for me to mention here, and so that will be coming soon.

Other interesting information is they are planktivorous, and they're filter feeders, and they have really neat and unique feeding strategies. They do barrel rolling, and they create a feeding chains. They do aggregate in various locations and groups, usually ranging from a hundred to as many as a thousand, and these can function as feeding sites, cleaning stations, or sites where courtship interactions take place, and then also

useful, in terms of thinking about where you might interact with them, is they have a wide use of the water column, and so including feeding at the surface and night descents from 200 to 450 meters depth, and they're capable of diving to depths exceeding 1,000 meters.

They are distributed in tropical, sub-tropical, and temperate oceans, but they do have a low degree of interchange between ocean basins, and so that's of note. They are commonly observed offshore in oceanic waters and in nearshore highly-productive That was kind of surprising to me, and so, coastal areas. again, they're way offshore, but we actually have quite a bunch of records of where they're coming closer to shore, following their food, and water temperatures generally between twenty and thirty degrees Celsius, and, again, movements correspond with the zooplankton abundance, current circulation, seasonal upwelling, and seawater temperature, and possibly mating behavior.

The giant manta ray is an obligate ram-ventilator, and what that means is kind of like sharks, and they can only breathe using that ram ventilation, and so it requires them to constantly swim forward to pass water through the mouth and over the gills, and so capture in trawls can severely restrict that movement, and therefore respiration, resulting in asphyxiation.

They can -- The degree of injury and stress resulting from the capture can directly impact their ability to survive, and, again, they sort of -- We've seen videos of interactions, and they really get compacted against the netting or the TED, and by the weight of the catch, and then, coupled with, again, that issue with impaired respiration, it can decrease the likelihood of survival, and post-release mortality is a concern, potentially, as well, but we really, at this point, don't have information, and so it's largely unknown, and we typically are looking for species with similarity, and so that's something that can be a concern.

Now getting into the bycatch data, and so, as I mentioned, I think the lethal takes have only been observed in the Gulf, but we do have records in the Atlantic. Here, you can see that we have, between 2019 and April of 2023, we have twenty-two observed captures, five mortalities, but one note there is a carcass, and we also have six unknown, but the total amount of observed takes we're up to is now thirty-four, and so, again, the 2021 shrimp opinion assumed no mortality, based on that 2019 data, and, if we just do the simple math now, we're at 18.5 percent for immediate mortality, based on approximately four

years of data.

4 5

In terms of the spatial and temporal observations, we are seeing that the majority of interactions are occurring offshore of Louisiana, and so I mentioned the thirty-four, and twenty-five of those were offshore of Louisiana, and then the next highest would be off of Georgia, where we have nine.

Most interactions are occurring in the spring and fall, and then we have multiple interactions occurring on a single trip and at night, and I know I mentioned how they can travel where they're all together, and so chances are, if you are interacting with one, there are others in the area, and the majority of interactions have been at depths less than a hundred feet.

Here it's a little dark, but I just wanted to give you an idea of where we have these interactions, and so, again, you can see that they're color-coded here for fall is orange, which you can see there is seven between the September, October, and November, and there are three, and that's in blue, and red is summer, and, again, only three, and then yellow is spring, in which, again, we have twelve between March, April, and May. You can see the number of rays landed, and a few of the dots, the points, are a little generalized, but the bigger ones -- You know, the smallest dots are one animal, and then medium is two, and the large ones are three. The Xs, and I thought folks might be curious, and so I did X-out the ones that we have as known mortalities, that were immediate mortalities.

I am definitely not here to present and go into the details on this paper, but I did want to note this as one of our sources of new information. Farmer et al. 2022 integrated decades of sighting and survey effort data into a species distribution model, and it actually predicts the highest occurrence around the Mississippi Delta from April through June and October through November, and so during -- Around that area, those are the times of year where it predicts the highest occurrence, and I wanted to make sure that's not misunderstood.

It predicted the highest occurrence during April off of the northeastern Florida, leading north to North Carolina from June to October, and then south to Georgia from November to March, and so we're just showing some, you know, seasonal movement here, and, notably, the seasonal occurrence and location of shrimp trawl interactions are consistent with the predictions made in Farmer et al. 2022. Like I said, I'm not going to get into the weeds of the paper, but it does show the same kind of seasonal patterns that we're seeing with the data.

1 2

Now I did mention that we also do have some new information on smalltooth sawfish, and what we have is there's a publication, Graham et al. 2022, that highlights trawl threats, and, obviously, 2022 wasn't available, and so we don't consider it in our biological opinion. It does find that female smalltooth sawfish are at a higher risk from shrimp trawl bycatch than males, due to the greater overlap of the areas of shrimp trawling effort, and the authors recommend a year-round closure of shrimp trawling off of much of southwest Florida.

This information is, you know, I guess, Feldheim et al. 2017 and Smith et al. 2021, and, you know, that's not as new information, but, when you sort of take these two papers together, it raises some points that we would like to look into further, and so I have here how we have only 126 individual females that contributed to the majority of juveniles caught in the sampled nurseries, and so these are sampled nurseries, but, again, it just kind of raises some questions for us.

We presented this information already, actually, to your Shrimp AP, in late 2022, and so the next step that was in the plan there, and is still the plan, is we're waiting for a population viability analysis to be completed, and it should be ready in late 2023 or early 2024, and I think our date has probably slipped a little since we talked to the AP, but that is still the plan, is to take -- As far as the smalltooth sawfish information, is to present it to your Shrimp AP and move forward from there.

What we've done is we're trying to, you know, brief you and get you informed on the information we have early on, but we've developed a tentative schedule for working with our center to develop the information we need to formally initiate consultation, and then we need to have time to collaborate with you and the South Atlantic Council.

I know that I explained why reinitiation was triggered, but, in order to actually do the consultation, we do have to have what is referred to as a complete reinitiation package, and, I mean, we have to have all the information that will go into that new consultation, and we don't have that right now, and so our Sustainable Fisheries group, serving as the action agency, is working with us, and with the center, to take actions so that we can gather and get that information together, and so key data needed includes revised giant mantra ray bycatch estimates, based on the recent observer data, and I just shared with you, you know, the actual take reports, but we haven't extrapolated

that out yet, and we don't have take estimates, and that is targeted for December of 2023.

Then I mentioned the smalltooth sawfish population viability analysis, and then we also want to have a giant manta ray population viability analysis, and so, in addition to that, you know, we're going to be sharing information as we get it, and sort of working on essentially, you know, what amounts to like a biological assessment, or biological evaluation and information we need, and then we'll also need to consider any proposed Shrimp-FMP-driven actions, or any shrimp actions that you or the South Atlantic Council in response to key data as it becomes available, or any action we take, for that matter, that may affect that consultation.

We expect to have a complete reinitiation package targeted for April of 2024, and so it's going to take us a while, and we're going to share information with you, and I guess that's really I have to say right now, and so thank you for the time to share that with you.

Sorry, and I do have one last slide, which is what can you do right now, and so we just wanted to remind folks that we do have release guidance for how to handle, if you do catch a giant manta ray or smalltooth sawfish, and, obviously, you cannot read those placards there, but the link is on the slide, and they're also really easily found on the internet, just Googling for them, and then I put to share interaction data with us, because, you know, we're learning, through these new observer records and information, and certainly we know you all have information that would inform us too, and that concludes my presentation.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Lee, for the very detailed explanation of exactly what we're looking at here, and does anybody in the room have any questions for Ms. Lee? Mr. Walker.

MR. ED WALKER: Thank you, Ms. Lee. I just had one question, and so there was a sentence in there that there was a study that suggested that they stop all shrimping off of southwest Florida, and is that something that's being considered, or that's just pointing out that that was mentioned in that particular study?

MS. LEE: It's pointing out that that study recommended that we do that and not that we are right now taking action. We are following along with investigating and reinitiating and getting those different information sources that we have, that I mentioned.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Kevin.

2 3 4

MR. KEVIN ANSON: Thank you, Ms. Lee, for the presentation. Looking at the Slide Number 9, the bycatch data slide, and it has, under observed takes, one carcass. Down at the bottom-right, it indicates the carcass was trawled up, and so I mean that to read that there was a dead manta ray that was trawled up, and, if that was the case, how can that be a take on a dead animal?

MS. LEE: I am just sharing all the information, the observer data, and so I'm not -- That actually -- The point of that was to show you that that's not a -- That wasn't -- That it was already dead when we trawled it up, and so I'm acknowledging that that one is not shrimp related.

MR. ANSON: Okay, and it was just -- It was listed under the observed takes, and so that's all. I understand.

MS. LEE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Ms. Boggs.

MS. SUSAN BOGGS: Thank you, Ms. Lee, for the presentation, and so, when I look at Slide 6, and it talks about the population status and size, are we just relisting them in the U.S. as threatened? I mean, I don't know what you consider to be a healthy population, and you've got 600 in Mozambique, 1,875 in Raja Ampat, and 22,000 in Ecuador and Peru, but what do we see in the Gulf of Mexico, or the U.S., waters, and what is causing this to come back up? We have numbers for everywhere else, but not in the Gulf, and just what you're seeing as takes.

That's where I mentioned that we're working on a MS. LEE: preliminary relative abundance estimate for the northwestern Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. It's not published yet, and it's very preliminary, and so I was advised that maybe it's too preliminary to share right now, but I will have more information for you soon, but, I mean, the bottom line is, when they were listed, they reviewed all of the available information, and they did determine, you know, that they warranted listing threatened, and so I would just keep that as your context, and it's a fair question, and I'm sorry that I don't have a good answer for you, but, at this time, I really don't. I might have a better answer by Full Council, and I'm going to talk to a couple of people and just see what additionally I can perhaps share there.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Mr. Gill.

MR. BOB GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Jennifer, for the presentation, and so, setting the expectation levels, one of the things that we can expect, in the spring or midseason 2024, is an updated incidental take statement for these creatures, and is that a reasonable expectation?

Not in terms of a completed bi-op with a revised MS. LEE: incidental take statement. What we are saying is that we will have new bycatch estimates that reflect the more recent data, and so an incidental take statement is an end product of a formal consultation, and, by March, or April, of next year, we're saying we're going to have all the information that we needed to where Sustainable Fisheries -- You know, we've given you everything we have, and we have a complete initiation package, and then Protected Resources is doing the actual consultation, writing the biological opinion, and so, when the biological opinion is completed, that's when we get a new incidental take statement, but we probably -- Like I mentioned, we're hoping, by the end of December, that we would have new bycatch estimates that would give us an idea of where we -- You know, where are relative to that previous estimate.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Any further questions for Ms. Lee from anyone else? Okay. Well, thank you for the presentation, and I will turn it back to Dr. Freeman, remotely, to do the next action guide item.

STATUS UPDATE OF SIDE-BY-SIDE TESTING OF CELLULAR VESSEL MONITORING (cVMS) AND CELLULAR ELECTRONIC LOGBOOKS (cELBs) ON GULF SHRIMP VESSELS

DR. FREEMAN: Thank you, sir. For Agenda Item V, Southeast Fisheries Science Center staff will update the committee on the status of the side-by-side testing of the cellular VMS and cELB units on Gulf shrimp vessels, as well as the next steps in the timeline. The committee should ask questions and provide feedback on the next steps.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Dr. Walter, are you ready to lead us through?

DR. JOHN WALTER: Yes, Chair. Thank you. All right. Good afternoon, everyone, and I'm happy to be able to update the committee on the status of the side-by-side testing and then next steps as we're proceeding with our three-part plan, and so,

right now, I'll present on where we are with the testing.

4 5

The objectives of the testing were to recruit five commercial vessels for the project and equip them with a set of vessel tracking and reporting systems and that each vessel was to participate in at least one full shrimping trip of about eighteen days, starting with the initiation of the Texas open, or around July 15.

Our staff worked really diligently to be able to get out and get boots on the ground, literally, and we had a lot of support from our colleagues at LGL, and we had a lot of support from the shrimp fishery, in offering to put these devices on the vessels, allowing us access, and then making this happen.

Fourteen vessels volunteered, which was greatly exceeding our initial expectations, and so I think that's really great, and it speaks to the support of the fishery. Five were selected on the basis of actually having some of the existing equipment onboard, particularly the cELB, which we wanted to ensure was one of the side-by-side comparisons. All of the boats were from Palacios, and we also did the testing on our research vessel, the Caretta.

The devices we had were according to the plan, and we had five Boat Command VMS, five new cELBs, programmed cELB units, one Insight X2 VMS unit, five NEMO units, five Tracker One units, and five Zen VMS units. We actually had more than what we originally had set out to, and we said, well, it's better to try more and see what else we can get on and what we can get support for and conduct the testing while we have the opportunity to be on the boats.

 Then they were installed on the industry vessels, and we had our actual electronics technician come out to assist with the installation, and so we had professional installation on the vessels, and that during July 3 to 5, and so I think that, for our staff, we watched the fireworks in Palacios, and thank you very much for giving up your time there, and thank you to the vessels who allowed us access, and all those vessels left port on the opener, and a number of the vessels seemed to be making trips that might be of a shorter duration, but the units are still onboard the vessels, and we're actually getting data back.

We were unable to secure Succorfish units, due to some manufacturing shipping time, and remember that we had to get this underway quite quickly. With further, with more, time, we might have been able to work with that manufacturer, and we could only purchase one Insight unit, due to the cost, and we

deployed it on the Caretta, because a functioning Insight is not available was not available to get out to Palacios in time. Then one of the Tracker units was nonfunctional, and it was not deployed.

Right now, we're going to be awaiting the acquisition of all of the data before we start the analysis. Right now, at least preliminary indications are that we're getting most of the data back, and that the data looks pretty good, and we think that we're going to likely get all of the data back, and then we're going to analyze that data for the rest of August and September, and the next step is that we'll be bringing that analysis to the council at their October meeting.

I think there was a plan to have a special Shrimp AP meeting prior to that, so that we could convey those results and get some feedback from the AP before going to the council with the results, and I think we can confirm whether that's the case or not and whether we can schedule that, but we would be happy to do that.

Now I will have to apologize that we're using jargon, and I will have to put another dollar in the jargon jar, but API is a something programming interface, and this somehow slipped through the jargon tracker, the automated programming interface, and I think I already owe this council a lot of money, after having given an MSE presentation, which was loaded with jargon, and so I'm probably deeply in the jargon hole.

What this means, actually, is that we are -- Our internal staff are trying to develop a catcher's mitt to see whether we can actually catch this data. We think we can do it, from the standpoint of testing, which is a small number of units and vessels, a small amount of data, and then that's going to give us the information to determine whether we can set that up on a production scale or whether we need to look for more resources and set that up externally, but, if we can do that, that could be a cost-efficient way of doing it.

 Now, one of the things we were needing from that was that the vendors would actually push the data to us, rather than us having to pull the data, and, if we can do that, and that's a part of what the testing is allowing us to do, is to evaluate that, we might be able to get some cost savings, and so it looks like it's working fairly well, but we will have more information on that as we go along.

Some next steps is we're going to continue monitoring. For some

of the vessels, we may get another trip or two out of them, and we figured that more data is better than less data, and so, if they've got the units on, and they're making a short turnaround, they may be keeping the units on, and then they will just mail in the chips, if they need to replace the chip on the cELBs.

Then what we want to encourage of the vendors is to try this new API, in terms of getting the data to us, and it's not part of the original testing plan, but we might streamline the next phase of the early adopter approach. We're going to get the data from the cELB units, in terms of getting the chips returned, and then we'll send people out to recover the other devices eventually from the vessels.

Then we'll do the analysis phase, where we'll run the data through the Dettloff algorithm and compare the total effort from each of the devices, and as well as overlaying the tracks, to see if we are indeed getting the same answer on the total effort, which is really the measure of success or not, and then, after that, we'll assess the initial steps for equipping the vessels with devices, as part of the early adopter program.

Right now, the Gulf States has a call for contractors to support that early adopter program, and so that is actually underway, and, once that goes out and gets awarded, then the early adopter program will be underway, and it will be starting, and so we're hoping that vessel owners will see that as an opportunity to take on those units and start collecting the data and moving us into the next step of where we're going to go with the future of the program.

I think that's the last slide, and I'm happy to take questions, and I thank all of the people who participated in this, and it was really a team effort from, I think, our staff, LGL, and the vessel owners, in terms of allowing us to get out there and get this underway. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Walter, for the excellent presentation, and I appreciate that. Do we have any questions for Dr. Walter regarding the testing? Mr. Gill.

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and this is not related to your presentation, but thank you for that, John, and so, if folks have questions about the presentation, I will defer my questions until after.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: I have one quick one, if no one else does, and so the API -- I guess it's a database, or a data housing

system, or whatever it is you described, and one of the things that we heard, from the AP in the past, was getting the data from the systems directly to you all, instead of having to go through law enforcement, correct, and do you see this system, in the future, potentially being the clearinghouse for this data coming in directly? Is that the goal?

DR. WALTER: Yes, that's the goal, and remember we've got two paths for how the data might go, and we've got the moving through the normal NOAA protocols and then there's been the desire, and the request, from the AP to explore an alternative option, and this is indeed exploring that alternative option, where the data goes directly to the Science Center from the vendors, and I think that's our due diligence commitment to try to meet the desires of the AP, and then we'll evaluate the costs and benefits and pros and cons of those two and bring that before this council, in terms of what you decide on the recommended approach going forward. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Thank you, Dr. Walter. Mr. Donaldson, real quick.

MR. DAVE DONALDSON: Thank you, Dr. Walter. Under the next steps, what's the timing on the data analysis, and when do you expect that to be complete, and I'm assuming you will come and present that, those findings, to the committee, and kind of just a general timeline, and I understand that sometimes stuff takes longer than normal.

 DR. WALTER: We hope to have that in early October, completed, and I think that we wanted to get a special AP, if possible, so that they could get the first sort of review of it, before the council, and is that indeed something that's going to be possible, and do we know? Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Any other questions regarding the presentation? Ms. Boggs.

MS. BOGGS: Carrie, do you need a motion to convene the AP, once this information is available, or is that just something that you all can do?

 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: That's just something we can do. I think we talked about it, multiple times, when we went through the congressionally-funded spend plan with the AP and the council, I believe, and so I don't think we need a motion.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Back to you, Mr. Gill.

1 2

4 5

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and, again, thank you, John, and so my question, and it somewhat relates to this, was if you could update us on the \$850,000 congressional funding, and what that status is, what the allocation ultimately came to be, because there was some discussion between the agency and the AP that did not necessarily agree, when I last heard about it, and what the status is. I guess the corollary to that is, is that helping fund some of this new API initiative, which gets to some of the AP's concerns?

DR. WALTER: Thank you. We were not able to accommodate the AP's recommended changes to the funding allocations, and so the funding is exactly what we had proposed, and we had actually tightened it as much as we could, focusing on putting as much money as we could towards the early adopter approach, because that's what actually gets units on boats.

There was, in that, money that was going to support our IT infrastructure, which is helping with that API development, and so indeed our IT experts internal to the center are working on that, and so, yes, indeed, it is probably helping to achieve the desires of the AP on that, but we weren't able to reallocate more money, and I think they had wanted more money to go to early adopter, and less to go to some staff time. However, as I think we see, it takes staff time to make these things happen, and it took staff time on the $4^{\rm th}$ of July to make the testing happen. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Mr. Gill.

MR. GILL: Thank you. A follow-up to that, and what's the status of the remainder of the funds, according to the allocation plan that you had presented and the associated timeline for the expenditure of it?

DR. WALTER: So I think the \$360,000 that was supposed to go to the early adopter program -- That money has been sent over to Gulf States, and they have that money, and there will be the awarding, hopefully, if there are valid and good bids for that, and I think that will proceed, if indeed the Gulf States gets good bids for that and can award that contract. That is, right now, in Gulf States' hands, and so they're our partner in this, and I think they're -- We had mentioned that probably, once they said they could do it, they would probably be the better ones to administer that.

In terms of the remainder of the money, most of that remainder

money is either going to a number of internals costs, maintenance and administration and staff time and then the staff time for the IT support.

MR. GILL: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Do we have any other questions for Dr. Walter or regarding the presentation? Then we'll move on to the last agenda item, which is Other Business. Dr. Freeman, do you have anything else for us, before we address those two items?

DR. FREEMAN: No, sir. We can move to the agenda items from Dr. Simmons and Dr. Walter.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Dr. Simmons, do you want to take that one?

OTHER BUSINESS

POTENTIAL USE OF IRA FUNDING TO REIMBURSE GULF SHRIMPERS FOR TYPE-APPROVED NMFS HARDWARE/SOFTWARE

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair. I know you might not be able to answer right now, Andy and John, but I guess, since this early adopter program is kind of falling short of, I guess, what the industry had in mind, is there a potential to use the climate-ready Inflation Reduction Act funding that would come to the Southeast in 2024 to consider offsetting some of that, and it would be putting some more funding towards some type of reimbursement program for those type-approved devices.

I was thinking it would meet the climate resilience and, you know, adaptability needs of that funding, because, I mean, we use so much of this information, the shrimp effort monitoring information, in almost every single stock assessment, and we use it in the biological opinions, and we need that spatial area information, as Ms. Lee just presented, for specific shrimp fisheries.

You know, the pink shrimp fishery, if we have that spatial information explicitly, on how they're interacting with these giant manta rays -- I mean, that's very valuable information, and we certainly need that more with time, and so I think it could meet the needs of the priorities of that funding, not to mention the determination, you know, as well as if we've met the red snapper threshold for the shrimp fleet. I just -- I don't know if we could send a letter and get a follow-up or what that process needs to be, but I would just like to put that out

there. Thanks.

MR. ANDY STRELCHECK: I can jump in.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Go ahead, Andy.

 MR. STRELCHECK: In response to Carrie, I couldn't speak to whether or not climate-ready IRA funds could or couldn't be used for what, you know, you're suggesting, and I think that would have to be a conversation with those leading, obviously, the various buckets and how that aligns, or doesn't align, with the goals and objectives of IRA, going forward.

What I can say is that there is an appropriations bill that includes an additional \$850,000 for next year, and it's still — That is not approved, right, and that's just in a bill right now being proposed, and so, if that goes through, like the \$850,000 did in FY23, then that would also be available for use for the shrimp ELB program, and the Science Center, working with the council and others, would come up with a proposed budget in which to expend those funds, but, right now, it's not definitive whether that will pass or not, and so I just wanted to mention that this at least in an appropriations bill at this time.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Dr. Frazer.

DR. TOM FRAZER: I just am -- I want to kind of hone-in a little bit on Carrie's query here, right, and so, in the climate-ready fisheries, there is \$349 million in there, and one of the kind of boxes for the lead, right, is the essential data acquisition and the advanced technology, and so I think the question is it's both of those, and it's essential data, and it's kind of innovative technology, or advanced technology, and is it appropriate, I guess, and for what individual, to contact a lead of that particular bucket to pursue this, to see if it's an option? Is it the Science Center's responsibility, or is it the council's responsibility? Who needs to push the ball?

 DR. WALTER: Mr. Chair, I'm a little at a -- You have stumped me here, because I think we're going to need to get back to you, in terms of what of those buckets it would fit under, if it would, and I know that a lot of the advanced tech is not -- It's to develop things like automated systems that would change the way we're collecting our survey data, and fundamentally change things.

To my mind, this wouldn't fundamentally change anything, and it's not really using advanced tech, and so it wouldn't quite

fit that bucket, because it's largely just implementing existing technology for an existing need, and so I think, as we look across those buckets of IRA funding, and where it might be most effective, from what I have seen, those are for more transformative projects. This is not transformative, necessarily, and it's filling an existing need. It might be a little bit of an uphill battle to fit in those buckets, as I have read them.

DR. FRAZER: Yes, but it's certainly -- I get that, and I know where they want to go, right, but I don't think you can get more essential, right, and that's the key word in that, essential data acquisition, and so, anyway, if you can get back to us. If we need to push the ball, right, to make the inquiry that's going to get a response, we'll do that.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Dr. Walter, the last Other Business item.

DR. WALTER: I think we have Andy who would be wanting to weighin here on Tom's --

MR. STRELCHECK: Just one other comment, and I don't -- I am, obviously, not there in the room, and so I don't know if Evan Howell is still there, but I would certainly recommend that you talk to Evan as well about the climate-ready fisheries bucket, since he's one of our leads on the IRA funding.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Andy, I think he left, but he'll be back later, supposedly, and so sorry.

 DR. FRAZER: That's all right, and so I appreciate that comment. I will track Evan down, and, I mean, there's a number of folks, obviously, from the agency that are here, and I'll have some conversations behind the scenes. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Anything further on that topic? Then we can go to the last Other Business item.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF SHRIMP FOCUS GROUP LISTENING SESSIONS

DR. WALTER: Thank you, Chair, and I wanted to announce that we are, the Southeast Fisheries Science Center, holding what we call focus groups for the shrimp fishery participants. The purpose of these are to conduct the participatory modeling, and this is a process that we've embarked upon and used for red tide research, and we've used it for dolphinfish research in the South Atlantic, and, basically, it's getting participants in the

fishery, and stakeholders, into a room to develop conceptual models of the drivers and pressures in their fishery.

By doing that across different diverse groups, then you begin to capture two things. One, what are the main pressures and drivers and what are similar across different regions, and then what are the ones, the pressures and drivers, that are different, that need to be accounted for on a regionally-specific basis.

This was quite effective in us being able to develop what are the conceptual management objectives for the dolphinfish fishery, which were very different between south Florida and North Carolina, and that is the building block for us to be able to incorporate those and turn those into operational management objectives, if you were going to embark on things such as management strategy evaluation, and so this is the initial scoping for those kind of things, because we need to understand what drives the fishery, what are the things that they need, what are the things that they want, and what are the pressures they face, as well as the ecosystem connections that might actually affect the shrimp population that they're fishing on.

The first of these is going to be commensurate with the SEDAR that's going to occur in Tampa in September, and I think September 20, from 4:00 to 7:00 p.m., is when we're going to hold the first of those sessions, and it's going to be inperson.

The reason that it needs to be in-person is because these sessions work best when you have people around the table, so that they can interact with each other. This is the first of what's going to be several, and the other ones are going to be scheduled for areas that are probably a little more convenient to the bulk of the shrimp fishery, and, ideally, we can get to Mississippi, Alabama, and Louisiana in the winter timeframe, but this will be at least the start, because there will be a number of participants from the fishery who are there as part of the SEDAR process.

There is going to be -- We've got an announcement for that, and there will be a Federal Register notice that should come out tomorrow, and we hope to glean a lot of information that's going to help us set a path forward for the shrimp fishery, because I think we haven't really taken a deep dive into a lot of these things that come up repeatedly in the APs that say, hey, you need to better account for ecosystem considerations, that we're struggling, because of this factor or that factor, and I think

this is the opportunity for us to start gathering that material and then turning it into things that might give us a better assessment and better management advice. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Thank you, Dr. Walter. Mr. Gill, you have a question?

MR. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, John, and so could you talk a little bit, so that folks listening can know, how you're going about inviting people to these, and I know it's an FRN coming, but not everybody reads the FRNs, but, nevertheless, for those listening in, they can pass the word as well, but how are you going about identifying and getting the word out for folks that should be at these listening sessions?

DR. WALTER: That's a great point, and what we would like to do is have the Gulf Council agree to actually putting it as one of their scheduled events, and also kind of echo this, and I think, if we can get it on the announcement and the link to where we're hosting it on our webpage, that would be good. I think passing the word around, for people who are stakeholders, deckhands, captains, owners, in the shrimp fishery, that these are occurring, and this is an opportunity, is what we would like to get. Then I'm open to other ways that we can amplify the message, either on social media, or if there is other recommendations.

MR. GILL: Well, I have one, to put the word out to the Shrimp AP and ask them to touch base with all their folks, and you will probably get the word out faster that way than most any other, and certainly better than the FRN.

DR. WALTER: If we could use the Shrimp AP distribution list, we would welcome being able to do that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Dr. Simmons.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and so we have the draft flyer, that I think you shared with us, and, as soon as the FRN publishes, and you guys produce a press release, we will forward that, in addition to the flyer, to the Shrimp AP and to our listsery.

 CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: I've got a quick question, Dr. Simmons, and so we have a council meeting in January in New Orleans, which would be a good venue for one of these, and is that something that can be added like after the end of the day at a council meeting, perhaps?

1 2

 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: I think we could, if we think that we could get folks to the council venue, and it would just probably take some planning, but we're not running the meetings and hosting them, and I think it might be too late in January for them to consider it for the stock assessment, but I will let Dr. Walter chime-in on if they want to tack-on having another listening session for penaeid shrimp in January.

 DR. WALTER: I think January would be an excellent time. I can't guarantee that this information is going to be used in the stock assessment. Most likely, it won't, in the sense that the stock assessment is going to probably not -- It's going to have to proceed on its own timeframe, and so I don't want to set an expectation that this will -- That this is going to be a separate process, but I think that would be a good time to target, as we get out to areas that are a little closer and more convenient to say, for instance, the Louisiana fleet. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Walter. Any other questions? Dr. Froeschke.

DR. JOHN FROESCHKE: You just piqued my interest, and so I guess what is the primary use of this, of the listening sessions, then?

 DR. WALTER: Well, as I noted, I mean, the first step is to identify what are the drivers that are actually affecting the fishery and what are the ecosystem factors that we need to be considering. What it does is it builds a conceptual model of all the things that are the pressures and drivers and the things that stakeholders need, and then, eventually, from that, we build the model, and those help to inform, and we've been very open about wanting to develop a management strategy evaluation for the shrimp fishery.

 It's, as I've noted, not funded right now, and we may or may not get support for it, but we think that it's one of those fisheries that, because it's so interconnected to everything that we do in so many other things, that it's one of those things that needs that kind of holistic consideration, because you can't manage one stock without considering the backs of the shrimp fishery on other ones, and it's challenging to have those conversations, and I think it sets up things that people might be concerned that there are conflicts going on.

There are actually conflicts between the shrimp fishery and just about all of our other fisheries, and whether we acknowledge

them, explicitly acknowledge them, they still are actually in effect, and I think we saw, for instance, in the biological opinion and Section 7 consultations, we've already seen that there are conflicts that are going on.

How we manage those, going into the future, is that we've got to actually write those down, identify management that finds the best solution to those, but also meets the goals and needs and objectives of all the participants and stakeholders in it. That's a tall order, and I think it's one of those things that I something that you could say that we would love to get there, and I think that's why we're trying to start slow, small, and just saying, okay, what does the shrimp fishery need to stay in existence. Let's get that information and bring it to the table, and then we'll proceed from there, depending on how much further we can take say a full management strategy evaluation. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Walter. Dr. Simmons.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SIMMONS: Thank you. I just think we need to be super careful about terminology though, when we're talking about listening sessions and workshops and engagements and management strategy evaluations during a stock assessment, and so let's try to keep those separate and make sure we're crystal clear with the public on what we're trying to do. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN SCHIEBLE: Okay. Any further questions? Well, thank you, Dr. Walter. We appreciate it, and we'll look forward to your further results in October, at the October council meeting, and, with that, Mr. Chair, I conclude the Shrimp Committee.

(Whereupon, the meeting adjourned on August 15, 2023.)