1	GULF OF MEXICO FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
2	
3	LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE
4	
5	Beau Rivage Resort & Casino Biloxi, Mississippi
6	
7	October 24, 2022
8	,
9	VOTING MEMBERS
10	Joe SpragginsMississippi
11	Kevin Anson (designee for Scott Bannon)Alabama
12	Susan BoggsAlabama
13	Billy BroussardLouisiana
14	Dave DonaldsonGSMFC
15	Jonathan DugasLouisiana
15 16	Phil Dyskow
10 17	-
	Dakus Geeslin (designee for Robin Riechers)Texas
18	Lisa MotoiUSCG
19	Bob ShippAlabama
20	
21	NON-VOTING MEMBERS
22	Dale DiazMississippi
23	Tom FrazerFlorida
24	Bob GillFlorida
25	Michael McDermottMississippi
26	Chris Schieble (designee for Patrick Banks)Louisiana
27	Andy StrelcheckNMFS
28	Greg StunzTexas
29	C.J. Sweetman (designee for Jessica McCawley)Florida
30	Troy WilliamsonTexas
31	
32	STAFF
33	Matt FreemanEconomist
34	John FroeschkeDeputy Director
35	Beth HagerAdministrative Officer
36	Lisa HollenseadFishery Biologist
37	Ava LasseterAnthropologist
38	Mary LevyNOAA General Counsel
39	Jessica MatosAdministrative and Accounting Technician
40	Natasha Mendez-FerrerFishery Biologist
41	Emily MuehlsteinPublic Information Officer
42	Ryan RindoneLead Fishery Biologist/SEDAR Liaison
43	Bernadine RoyOffice Manager
44	Carrie Simmons Executive Director
45	Carly Somerset Fisheries Outreach Specialist
46	
47	OTHER PARTICIPANTS
48	Chester BrewerSAFMC

1	John WalterSEFSC
2	
3	
4	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	
3	Table of Contents
4	
5	Table of Motions 4
6	
7	Adoption of Agenda and Approval of Minutes and Action Guide and
8	<u>Next Steps5</u>
9	
10	LETC Meeting Summary from the October 2022 Meeting5
11	
12	Adjournment
13	
14	
15	

1	TABLE OF MOTIONS
2	
3	PAGE 8: Motion to recommend that the changes made to the
4	fishing violations section of SOPPs be brought to the
5	Administrative/Budget Committee for discussion and approval at
6	their next meeting. The motion carried on page 9.
7	
8	PAGE 9: Motion that the Law Enforcement Committee concurs with
9	the LETC's request to allow the Operations and Strategic Plans
10	to expire and only be updated as needed in the future. The
11	motion carried on page 10.
12	
13	
14	

The Law Enforcement Committee of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery 1 2 Management Council convened at the Beau Rivage Resort & Casino 3 in Biloxi, Mississippi on Monday afternoon, October 24, 2022, 4 and was called to order by Chairman Joe Spraggins. 5 6 ADOPTION OF AGENDA 7 APPROVAL OF MINUTES 8 ACTION GUIDE AND NEXT STEPS 9 10 MR. DALE DIAZ: I am going to read out the membership of the Law 11 Enforcement Committee, before I turn it over to General 12 Spraggins, and so the Chair of the Law Enforcement Committee is 13 General Spraggins, and the Vice Chair is Mr. Dyskow, Mr. Anson, 14 Ms. Boggs, Mr. Broussard, Mr. Donaldson, Mr. Dugas, Lieutenant 15 Commander Motoi, Mr. Geeslin, and Dr. Shipp. General Spraggins. 16 17 CHAIRMAN JOE SPRAGGINS: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and I would like to call the meeting of Law Enforcement to order. 18 19 The first action would be to adopt the agenda. Can I get a 20 motion to adopt the agenda? 21 22 MR. DAVE DONALDSON: So moved. 23 24 MR. BOB GILL: Second. 2.5 26 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Any discussion? Is there anyone opposed? 27 All right. It's adopted. Now we need the approval of the 28 August 20021 minutes. Is there any changes or anything that we 29 could do that anyone sees that would need to be done on that? 30 If not, could I get a motion? 31 32 MR. GILL: So moved. 33 34 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Could I get a second? 35 36 MR. DONALDSON: Second. 37 38 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Any discussion? All right. Any opposed? 39 All right. The motion carries. Now I'm going to turn it over 40 to Dr. Lasseter. 41 42 LETC SUMMARY FROM OCTOBER 2022 MEETING 43 44 DR. AVA LASSETER: Okay, and so the action guide and next steps 45 is very short and simple. We have one main agenda item for this committee, and it is the Law Enforcement Technical Committee, 46 47 LETC, meeting summary, and I'm just going to review that summary

and note the recommendations that are going to be provided in 1 other committees, because they relate to other actions. 2 If we could go ahead and bring up the meeting summary, and it was 3 4 emailed to council members. 5 The meeting was just last week, and the LETC met in San Antonio, 6 7 and, if you were familiar with the agenda beforehand, we did 8 switch and put, after the closed session, put "LETC Items" 9 before "LEC Items", so that the report is a little out of order from the agenda, due to the needs of staff to attend other 10 11 sessions. 12 13 We did begin with a closed session. We convened it in closed 14 session, and we have drafted the reports to provide it to Full 15 Council, and we wanted the law enforcement officers to be able 16 to discuss the issue of fishing violations in private, like they 17 did do, and we had them review your changes to the SOPPs in regard to fishing violations, and, through their discussion, we 18 19 do have some further recommendations. 20 21 If we remember, recently, you made some changes, and so we 22 presented them with the revised language of the SOPPs pertaining 23 to fishing violations that you had, that the council had, approved at your August meeting to the LETC, and they reviewed 24 25 it, and they discussed this issue of written warnings, and it 26 seems that there is a difference between a federal written 27 warning and a state written warning. 28 29 A federal written warning does entail a process by which the 30 person cited can appeal, and, with a state written warning, the 31 warning is logged, and is recorded, of course, but there is no 32 process, or there is no due process, thereafter. In light of 33 this, the LETC thought that this difference should be reflected 34 in the text, based on their understanding of what the council 35 was interested in considering. 36 37 Their suggestion is the little bit of blue text here that's in If we reorganize those -- It previously said, the middle. 38 39 "i.e., written warning, civil fine, and criminal conviction". 40 If we switch it around and just specify that the written warning is federal only, and that this would not apply to a state 41 42 written warning, the LETC feels that that would be more consistent with the council's intent, and we do have two members 43 44 of the LETC available for further discussion, and I will pause 45 there for discussion. 46 47 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Go ahead, Mara.

2 MS. MARA LEVY: Thanks. I just had a question. The states are 3 the ones that provide the information about -- I mean, to the 4 extent it's a state action, right, and do they normally provide 5 you information about state warnings? I guess I'm just curious.

7 DR. LASSETER: I don't believe so, and that's why I feel like, 8 because there was some confusion before, and it would not have 9 been an issue -- Where the state warning would not have been an issue before, and then, here, the language had been modified to 10 reflect a federal warning, and there was some concern that it 11 12 could be misunderstood that the language, as adopted from the 13 August meeting could allow, or could be requesting, state 14 warnings as well, and so to make it more clear, but I do not 15 believe that the states are asked to provide warnings.

17 They are not, and I think, CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: in the 18 conversation the other day, if they were asked, they will not, most of the time, because they're not going to provide 19 20 Because it is not considered. We're looking at a federal 21 offense here, basically, is what they're looking at, some kind 22 of federal violation, you know, for this council, and so that's 23 part of it, but the other part is the states are done different. In other words, if you issue that, it basically just stays 24 25 there, and it doesn't say anything, and it doesn't give you 26 anything.

27

16

1

6

28 under your federal, you can go ahead and do some type of -- As a 29 matter of a fact, you can even appeal it under the federal, but 30 you can't appeal one under the state, is my understanding, and 31 is that correct, and so that was the big issue, and I just know 32 of several states that we don't even look at our warnings and 33 give them to anybody, and so I think the idea was to change the 34 wording to say if it's a federal warning, because that is the 35 same thing, and there's still a process that it can go through 36 yet, and is that right, Ava?

37

38 DR. LASSETER: Yes. Correct. Okay. If there's no further 39 discussion on this, I will get with Dr. Simmons, and I don't 40 believe that there's a council motion, but I feel like you may 41 want, the council may want, thereafter to take this up in a 42 separate committee, and I'm not sure how to handle this. 43

44 **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CARRIE SIMMONS:** I was hoping we could, maybe 45 at Full Council, if this committee was in agreement, go ahead 46 and recommend, at Full Council, that we modify the SOPPs to 47 reflect these changes. If the council feels this needs to come

back before the Admin/Budget Committee, we could wait on that, 1 and so I would defer to council leadership on what they would 2 I don't see this as a huge change from the 3 like to do. council's intent. 4 5 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: So are we suggesting to table this until we 6 7 have another meeting? 8 9 DR. LASSETER: I don't believe there's anything to table. This is just a report from the LETC, but I'm just not sure where and 10 11 when and what context the council responds to it, because it 12 would be the Admin/Policy is where you change the SOPPs, and so 13 I think Carrie and Dale are just --14 15 MR. DIAZ: My preference would be not to table it, but, the next 16 time that we meet the Administrative/Budget Committee, to have 17 that on the agenda, provided that that's what this committee 18 wants to do. If this committee wants to accept this change, and 19 the next time put it into our SOPPs, we meet the 20 Administrative/Budget Committee, we could put this on that 21 agenda and deal with it then, if that's the will of this 22 committee. 23 24 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Yes, Ms. Boggs. 25 26 So would it be appropriate for this committee MS. SUSAN BOGGS: to make a motion that this go before -- Okay. 27 I would like to 28 make a motion that this recommended change by the LETC be 29 before the Admin/Budget Committee brought for review and 30 approval. 31 32 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Okay. Can I get a second? 33 34 DR. BOB SHIPP: Second. 35 36 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Any discussion? All right. Is there 37 anyone opposed to this motion? 38 39 DR. LASSETER: Can we pause just a moment and get the motion on 40 the board? Thank you. 41 42 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Yes, sir. 43 44 DR. TOM FRAZER: I'm not on the committee, but I just wanted to 45 say that I appreciated the Law Enforcement Committee bringing this up and clarifying the language, as we move forward. 46 I just 47 think it's a step in the right direction, with regard to

1 transparency and understanding, you know, what, in a federal 2 sense -- When they issue you a warning, they actually issue you 3 a case file, right, and so you need to be keeping track of that 4 and don't just ignore it.

5

13

25

31

6 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Yes, and I learned a lot at the meeting in 7 Texas with the law enforcement, because they're very detailed 8 about what they were looking at, and we always have that caveat 9 at the end, too. One thing says that we as a committee can go 10 back and look at anyone after they -- You know, if they have 11 some type of violation, we can look at it at that point, even 12 after they've been appointed. Ava, are you okay?

14 DR. LASSETER: I am. I think we're -- Let's see if we have the 15 motion. I think we have the motion on the board now. 16

17 Okay, and so we have the CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Are you good? motion that is recommending that the changes made to 18 the 19 fisheries violation section of the SOPPs be brought to the 20 Administrative/Budget Committee for discussion and approval at 21 their next meeting. we have a motion made by Ms. Boggs, and it 22 was seconded by Dr. Shipp. All right. Is there any other 23 discussion on this? If not, does anyone oppose this? If not, 24 Dr. Lasseter. then the motion carries.

26 DR. LASSETER: Thank you very much, and, okay, and so that was 27 the closed session, and so we're moving into the LEC section of 28 the report, and most of this part -- This is what the commission 29 covers. If we scroll down to where it says, "LEC Section" at 30 the top.

The LEC, which is the commission side of the LETC, followed up on the future of the Strategic and Operations Plans, and so, for those of you who have been on the council for many years, you probably remember going through the Law Enforcement Committee and going through those red-line versions as you approve the changes in these two plans for the law enforcement.

They have decided that they're going to do away with one of them and that they will retain the other one, to make changes as needed, and so they did make a recommendation, both to the commission, and then they would like to carry it over to the council here as well, for your approval for this plan.

45 They're going to discontinue the Operations Plan, and the 46 Strategic Plan will remain there as needed, as reference, and 47 they will change it if they need to use it for the future, and

so there is a motion that we could use that the LETC is 1 2 requesting the council's approval. 3 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: We do need a motion on this, and 4 Okay. 5 could I get approval for the motion? 6 7 MR. DONALDSON: So moved. 8 9 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Is there a second? All right. Is there any discussion on this? If not, is there any opposed to this 10 11 motion? 12 13 MR. DONALDSON: Just a comment that the commission did approve 14 their motion last week, just FYI. 15 16 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Okay. 17 18 DR. LASSETER: Right, and so I would say the council concurs 19 with the LEC's request to do this, or the committee. We're in 20 committee. 21 22 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Okay. Do we need to add something in there 23 to basically -- Do we have to put with the Gulf States 24 Commission's approval, because that's who made the approval. 25 When we say request that the committee concur with the LETC's request, that was approved by the Gulf Council approval, 26 committee, and would that be the right wording for it? I guess 27 28 what I'm asking is, if we're going to add something in there 29 about the Gulf States, then we need to put -- If that's the 30 thing that we're looking at. 31 32 DR. LASSETER: I think this is fine. I think the commission has 33 approved discontinuing it, and Dave has just provided that on the record, and so this body here could concur with the LETC's 34 35 request to allow the Operations and Strategic Plans to expire 36 and only be updated as needed in the future, and I think that 37 will work for this group. 38 39 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: All right, and so we have the motion, and 40 we have a second. Does anybody have discussion? Is there 41 anyone who opposes this motion? If not, that motion carries. 42 Moving right along, the next couple of 43 DR. LASSETER: Okay. 44 sections I would suggest just reading at your leisure. This is 45 a summary of that the LETC discovered in regard to commission business, and then we come to the LETC section, right here, and 46 47 so these are council-related actions.

The first one is the potential management changes to vermilion snapper and gray triggerfish, and so I just did an overview, for the LETC members, of various actions that had been put on hold and then discussed the commercial trip limit action, and Carly will bring up the LETC's recommendation during Reef Fish, when we come to this action.

9 The next section was on IUU enforcement, and I do not see Lisa Motoi, and she's not here yet, but both she and Les Casterline, 10 11 of TPWD, provided short presentations and just discussed recent 12 enforcement efforts in regard to IUU fishing, and each of their 13 short presentations was posted up on the LETC meeting page, 14 which is still available on our website, if you're interested in 15 looking at those, and I believe Lisa will give her U.S. Coast 16 Guard presentation at the end of this meeting and will be using 17 that same information to share with you all.

19 The next section is on IFQ landings transactions discrepancies, 20 and so this was an issue requested by Louisiana, with an issue 21 that they have been seeing with the time period allowed for 22 correcting a landing transaction report discrepancy, and so Dean Aucoin got together with Joe Scarpa, and we also have Duane 23 24 Smith here of NOAA GC, and so the NOAA guys, and they're 25 discussing, internally, kind of what -- If there's a situation 26 and if there's something that they would like to pursue and bring back in front of you later. 27

28

18

1

8

29 If we scroll on down, the LETC did talk about the illegal 30 charters, which we've heard about here as well, and they're keeping an eye on that, and then we reviewed some of the other 31 32 framework actions to change for-hire requirements, and so I 33 updated the LETC with the preferred alternatives that you 34 selected when you took final action in August on the VMS failure 35 framework action, and then we reviewed the draft abbreviated 36 the modification of for-hire vessel framework action, trip 37 declaration requirements, and Carly will present that, again, 38 when we get to the Data Collection Committee. 39

40 Moving on, we did not have -- SERO not have a SEFHIER program 41 update, but we did have the opportunity for discussion, and an 42 Alabama representative, Jason Downey, identified an issue and is 43 working together with the Permits Office to straighten that out. 44

45 We heard from -- We heard about the Return 'Em Right program, 46 again, and he reported on -- Nick Haddad, excuse me, reported on 47 the number of surveys they've completed, the follow-ups, and

1 they are winding-down the program, and he provided some 2 background, and then we touched on the nomination process for 3 next year's Officer or Team of the Year Award, and that is all 4 that I have for the report. Thank you.

6 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: Okay. Does anyone have any other business 7 that we need to bring up on the Law Enforcement? Does anyone 8 have anything? Mr. Anson.

10 MR. KEVIN ANSON: Not other business, but just to go back to one 11 of the items that was discussed during the LETC meeting, and 12 that was the potential management changes to vermilion snapper 13 and gray triggerfish, and they just came out with a statement that they supported counting fish, rather than weighing them, 14 15 for I guess enforcement activities on the water, if they were 16 interdicted, but was there any discussion relative to prior 17 discussions we had regarding trip limits for commercial trips and setting those pounds relative to the IFQ and landings, and 18 19 did that come up again, or no?

21 DR. LASSETER: As in the accuracy of the landing notifications?

23 MR. ANSON: Or accuracy of the -- The ability of the fishermen 24 to be able to monitor that while they're one the water and able 25 to bring those in.

27 DR. LASSETER: Right. No, they did not, but, when they were 28 talking here about the numbers of fish, he specified that it was 29 something about calibrating the scale for them to be enforcing 30 on the water, that that's why they couldn't be accurate enough 31 to make a legal case, but they didn't discuss the issue of 32 fishermen being able to estimate catches, no.

34 CHAIRMAN SPRAGGINS: The only other thing on that is there was 35 something that came up talking about some possibility of people 36 owning a boat, the processor, the plant, and everything, and to 37 the fact of whether or not they were actually accounting for all the fish, and I think that was something they're working out, 38 39 and I think it was more so in one state than the others, and I 40 don't think they had so much issue in the other states as we did 41 one, and so they have got it under control, and I don't think it 42 was something that they needed to elaborate on. Any other --Anything else for the law enforcement? If not, Mr. Chairman, I 43 44 will turn us back and get us closer to time. Thank you, Dr. 45 Lasseter.

46

5

20

22

26

33

47 (Whereupon, the meeting adjourned on October 24, 2022.)